

**Town of Scituate
Conservation Commission
Town Hall Selectmen's Hearing Room
Meeting Minutes
May 7, 2014**

Meeting was called to order at 6:17 p.m.

Members Present: Ms. Caisse, Mr. Harding, Mr. Parys, and Mr. Schmid.

Also Present: Patrick Gallivan, Agent and Carol Logue, Secretary

Agenda: Motion to amend the agenda to include a Certificate of Compliance for Lot 3, Old Oaken Bucket Road Mr. Schmid. Second Ms. Caisse. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Request for Determination: DPW/Smith, 110 Oceanside (remove & replace section of breached seawall)*
Sean McCarthy was present at the hearing. Lost approximately a 70' half section of seawall; fell landward. Proposing to remove what is loose and replace. Currently submitting a grant to repair a larger section of seawall in that area, so this may be temporary depending if we receive the grant. It is private, but the owner will give a 10' easement on either side of the wall that will make it public. Will be hearing more of that for repairs throughout town. Disrepair started about 2 winters ago, believe the wall is reaching its life expectancy. Mr. Schmid: Why are we choosing this piece of the wall? The homeowner is willing to offer the easement for the public. What would the answer be to someone's question of why town funds are being used to repair someone's seawall. It makes that particular area public. Ms. Caisse: he is willing to make the beach in front of the wall public? There are a lot of legal logistics. The 10' landward easement will remain permanent to the mean low water mark. Mr. Harding: isn't it already public? Not necessarily. Ms. Caisse: does the seawall in this section belong to the homeowner or is it the town's? Goes back and forth. In some areas, easements were done in the 40s and 50s. Some people think it is town's anyway because it was built with public funds. If the homeowner to the left and right requested to have repair of the seawall, they'd be approached to give or gift the property and that would be required. Would need to obtain easements from all the homeowners. Mr. Parys: case by case and deed by deed. Ms. Caisse: is there anywhere to go to find out who owns the walls? There are bounds and DCR is a very useful resource; they do have plans of record, but sometimes difficult to find. Deed and title research is expensive. Motion for a negative 3 determination - "The work described in the Request is within the Buffer Zone, as defined in the regulations, but will not alter an Area subject to protection under the Act. Therefore, said work does not require the filing of a Notice of Intent, subject to the following conditions (if any)." Mr. Schmid. Second Mr. Parys. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Informal: DPW / Highway Department (metal shed)

Sean McCarthy, DPW. The Highway Department is at 68 Captain Pierce Road. Discussing building a small garage for equipment storage, approximately 24' x 18', on existing pavement. Storm septic being ordered, regarding to pitch runoff through a storm septic, for any gas or spills. Mr. Harding: do we need an RDA? Yes. Willing to make improvements to the site. Will file when there are final specs.

Request for Determination: Howe, 92 Clapp Road (12' x 14' deck)*

Builder Steve Bryant was present at the hearing. Existing deck being screened in and they would like to add a deck to it, 50' from the BVW, on sonotubes. There is an outstanding Order of Conditions. Usually they should get a Certificate on a previous filing before starting a new one. A post and rail fence and some plantings are called for in the Orders. Mr. Schmid: could we say that it would be OK if the Order was closed out? Mr. Parys: continue this until the Order is signed off. Mr. Harding: things look pretty good, but until the existing Orders are complied with, should not issue another permit. Motion to continue the hearing to June 4, 2014 Mr. Schmid. Second Mr. Parys. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Informal: McCallum, Mass Audubon (plovers & least terns)

Sue McCallum from Mass Audubon. So. Shore director was present to discuss Plovers and Least Terns. There are nests at the Glades, 4th Cliff, 3rd Cliff, and Duxbury Beach. Piping Plovers are tiny birds, protected by MA & Federal Government. Least Terns are special concern in MA. Both of them are offered protection. Submitted some information to the Commission. Plovers are back on the beaches, they returned late March. Usually signage is up by April 1. They are pairing up and starting to nest now. They come up from the Caribbean. Least Terns starting to show up and nest a little later; using 3rd and 4th Cliff mainly. Plover chicks start running around within an hour of hatching. Tern chicks are dependent on the parents. Photos show how camouflaged the eggs and chicks are. Very easy to step on. Dogs chase the birds. Currently there are 2 pair of Piping Plovers on 3rd Cliff. The high has been 5. They had 6 chicks 5 flew. One pair is nesting so far. Usually lay 4 eggs. Least Terns are starting, there are a couple on 3 & 4th Cliff. At the beginning of season usually speak with Patrick & Patricia to come up with an agreement for a contract. Once the contract is agreed on, fencing and signage is put up by April 1. The coastal biologist hired usually checks 2 to 3 times a week until the chicks are gone. Once the nests are established try and move the fences in if we can; should remain 50' from nest sites. We supply the materials and monitoring, check the fencing, talk to people whenever we can, plus there is a state and federal report that needs to be done in June and submitted on behalf of the town and Pat & Patricia are copied. Ms. Caisse: what type of area is ideal? Sandy cobble with beach grass around. Dune area is perfect for them. Mr. Schmid: do they come back to the same area? Usually the same vicinity. Most of the areas are unique, depending on winter storms. Mr. Harding: what is the prognosis for the population? Up and down the Atlantic seacoast is steady. Heavy hit by hurricane Sandy. In MA increased slightly, it really is a roller coaster. If a bad storm hits in June and wipes out all the nests, they are persistent and try one more time. Last year skunk came down and ate all the eggs twice. They put in a lot of energy. Something happened to the skunk the 3rd nests were successful. Least Terns are very shy and flighty and disturbed easily. They will leave their nests and not return. Some moved to 4th cliff and were successful. Chief Mike Stewart and Mark Patterson, the Harbormaster have been very helpful. Used to carry the metal posts out, now thanks to Mark we have boat transportation. Chief has been really good working with us, regarding drinking and fireworks. The real challenges out there are loose dogs. If the Commission were interested in seeing the coastal biologist? Yes could make arrangements. Our goal is to get a few more eyes and ears to see what is going on. When the fence is cut, have

someone give a call or if birds are outside the fence. Rosemary Dobie: understand there was a coyote. Fencing will not keep the coyote out, there are fox too. There are methods for dealing with that. Solar electric fencing. Try to keep people out of the restricted area. Someone has to be out there daily, but don't have the funding for that. Put in about 140 hours over the season. Doesn't cover Sue's time. Mr. Bjorklund: do they still have the 1 day program? Yes, they do invite some local beach people. It is an introduction to what the regs are and what is necessary for protection and gives a natural history of the birds. It teaches how to look for the nest, otherwise you walk right by them. It is not really a whole day, it is for our trainees given by a lead biologist with 2 years' experience. Closely supervised by the master biologist.

Wetlands Hearing: Drinkwater, 7 Barry's Landing (detached garage/driveway/landscaping)*

Greg Morse, Morse Engineering and David & Mary Ellen Drinkwater were present at the hearing. Abutters' notification was submitted. Proposing a detached garage with access driveway, landscape wall and plantings. Bordered by residential property and the North River. Resource areas: top of the bank and land subject to flooding. Elevation is 9' by ground survey. The 50' buffer is marked in red and the 100' is shown in green. Substantially similar to a 2008 plan. Garage is entirely outside the 100' buffer. The driveway, regrading of back yard for patio, removal of vegetation, and landscape wall are within the buffer. Removing 6 cedar trees; leaving the one closest to the river. Pervious asphalt driveway is 85' and pervious patio is 60' from top of bank. Retaining wall is 2-1/2' high to allow for a flat area and in the middle of an existing lawn. Back slopes 18' to 8' to the river. Mr. Gallivan: project falls within the Rivers Protection Act, which is a resource area. Walked site with a couple of members and Judy Greco from the North River Commission. They look at heights and vegetation removal; she also talked about the width of the garage. Is there a reason the driveway has to be asphalt based? Trying to keep asphalt out of the resources. Mr. Drinkwater: The grade is such that asphalt would make the most sense for plowing. Also we are trying to keep as much vegetation as possible. You are kind of clear cutting this area; Commission wants more vegetation, not less. Could the garage be moved? It is the only place to put it. Are there proposed plantings? Mr. Morse: filed with the North River Commission, within the 300' radius of the river. They primarily look at the visual impacts as seen from the river and vegetation removal. They had previously allowed some tree removal, but not all 6 trees. With the dead spaces, we are hoping they will look at them again. Also they look for a no touch 100' to the river. Commission would expect additional plantings within the 100' buffer zone. The trees will be replaced with some sort of vegetation. Mr. Harding: does the patio have to go where the trees are? Would it be possible to move the patio slightly to the left? Patio catches the view down the river. Throughout town we want to keep reasonably healthy vegetation. We will try and work with you, but why do all of those trees have to go? Mr. Schmid: confused about the work being done in a resource area; top of a bank, 200' riverfront area, and 300' North River Commission jurisdiction and in this particular case it is only restricted to the 100'? Reduce lawn in the resource area. How far is the wall from the coastal bank? 52'. Essentially there is lawn to the coastal bank. All the cedar trees within the 50' buffer are proposed to stay. Commission may ask for salt tolerant plantings and entertain replacement of some cedar trees. Mr. Parys: How much fill? 2' of fill up by the house; 200 yards +/-, with grading from wall to house. Mr. Harding: noticed several rubber pipes. They are roof leaders to the river. Mr. Drinkwater: trees removed for the driveway, 2 trees have gaping dead spaces on top from where the vines were pulled out. The other 2 trees are squishing the good cedar tree. As far as the patio, it would be workable to move it to the east along the same plain. Motion to continue the hearing to June 4, 2014 at 6:30 p.m. Mr. Schmid. Second Mr. Parys. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Moran, 46 Town Way Extension (septic / tight tank) (cont.)

Greg Morse was present at the hearing. Installation of a new tight tank. Existing property is a seasonal summer cottage. Proposing tight tank in the front and it will be decommissioned at the end of the season and reopened in the summer. Entire property is in a V flood zone, elevation 18'. There is no leaching system, plumbing comes into the water tight tank. There are 2 cemented access covers on top, bolted down. Tried to sight as far away as possible. Mean annual high tide elevation 4.2'. Wave action is to 18', front of lot elevation is 12'; tank is below elevation 12' with 1 foot of cover. In any storm event the tank would be dry. Mr. Harding: how is it anchored? Extended base on the tank and the sheer weight of the tank and sand on top weights it down enough. Don't need approval from DEP, received local Board of Health approval. Motion to close the hearing Mr. Schmid. Second Mr. Parys. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Amendment: Swartz, 14 Kimberly Road (new build)*

Applicant's representative requested a continuance. Motion to continue the hearing to May 21, 2014 at 7:30 p.m. Ms. Caisse. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Hoffman, Lot 2, 55 Colonel Mansfield Drive (new build)*

Atty. Bill Ohrenberger, Ohrenberger Associates, Paul Mirabito, Ross Engineering, Greg, Rich & Helen Hoffman, also Mr. Brennan from Amory were present at the hearing. Believe the stormwater has been worked out between the engineers. Some work was inside the 50' to 100'; most outside. Made a couple of minor stormwater changes. Eliminated pervious pavers in the bioretention area. Natural woods will stay in place. Stonewall will be lined with rubber. Shallow water quality swale. Other than that no changes to the plan. Amory Engineers reviewed; Greg Tansey prepared the revisions. Pat Brennan: reviewed plans May 1. Yesterday afternoon received some revised plans and revised calcs. Went through those today. Groundwater is fairly high. The roof drain structures are fine. The bioretention area: some will infiltrate, some will go to the wetlands, but does a good job of treating the water. Suggested allowing the stormwater to run through the natural woods; same type of treatment as a rain garden. At this point addressed his comments and met regulations of the stormwater bylaw. Mr. Schmid: did we have anything else besides the stormwater? Mr. Gallivan: asked for relief of the cul-de-sac for a hammerhead design. We could condition that. Calcs are based on the hammerhead. Spoke to Planning Board informally last December. Rather than 3 houses, only building one. They were OK with the hammerhead. Mr. Gallivan: Still a walk out basement? Yes. Basing stormwater calcs on this design? If there are any changes like pulling the house out of the buffer zone, rotating the house or pulling it closer to the street, that would be less disturbance. If something changes you would have to come back. Mr. Bjorklund: seems like low impact instead of 3 houses. Good for his son to build a home and stay in town. Motion to close the hearing Mr. Parys. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Mr. Ohrenberger: technical question on quorum. Query is it possible to vote this subject to any conditions you set since there is a minimum quorum? If one isn't here the next meeting, you won't have a quorum; actually vote tonight? Vote to issue an Order of Conditions subject to approval. Mr. Parys: the next vote is to approve the orders. Mr. Gallivan: if one of these members is missing we could ask another member to read the file and minutes and they would be eligible to vote.

Agents Report: River bank erosion. Photos were e-mailed. Bob Urbani, 171A Caesar's Way was present. Alden Street was a dirt road, then it was paved, the excess asphalt was dumped on the riverbank and that is breaking apart. Foreign material/crushed stone was put down to fill holes and that doesn't allow the water to go down and grading turns the material into soft dirt and it blows around. You can see some of the erosion in the pictures. Gas line was exposed. Want to stop erosion on property. What are you hoping we can do for you? What can be done?

Pave and put serious speed bumps in. The town caused it and it is getting worse. Cedars fell over because of the erosion. What are the rules about stopping erosion. Ms. Caisse: What do you feel is causing the erosion? Water just flows over and the material blows away. You need a low tide to see the erosion properly. Maybe a retaining wall could be built. When did they last grade? About a month ago. Mr. Gallivan: it is a private way, the town isn't doing the grading. There was a betterment plan that several people were involved with. Town can suggest, but they are not going to do the work. You would need an engineer. Spoke with the head of public works. There are possible solutions, but have to come up with a plan to do it right. Mr. Harding: sounds like we can't tell you what can be done. Sit down with someone to explore possibilities. Mr. Gallivan: we don't want the river polluted, but as far as paying for a structure, we don't have the budget. Try to set up a meeting with DPW. There is a Humarock community meeting in May. Ms. Caisse: meet with Pat and DPW and maybe have a meeting with your neighbors. Al Bangert talked about seasonal speed bumps at one time. Mr. Parys: put together a plan after your meeting. A lot is being lost quickly.

159 Hollett: Received a call regarding tree cutting. Went out to site and there was work done in the buffer. When the house was constructed the buffer was planted; markers were put up. Talked to the owners and they were unaware of any Order of Conditions. No work was done in the wetland, but really close. Told them to hold off removing the stumps. Joe Sullivan and his wife from 159 Hollett were present. There were some dead trees listing toward the house that were removed and some others outside the buffer zone. We were told there was Conservation Land, thought wetlands were well beyond the house. There was no ill intent; 100% unaware. Thought we had a big back yard. Called the builder and he said, oh I didn't give you those papers? Have a bunch of questions, but we want to rectify the situation. What else can we do? Ideally would love to put a lawn out there. Mr. Gallivan: there were a lot of briars. The tree cutter should have known the area was wetlands. The blueberry bushes was the buffer line. They were about 30' into the buffer. They thought it was the builder trying to save money not clearing the whole back yard. Mr. Parys: any continuing orders that their title attorney should have picked up on? Don't have the orders here. Mr. Bjorklund: developed the property. Split rail fences went across both properties and the enhancement plantings were installed, which marked the no disturb zone. There was no fence. Rails were there, but thought it was from the old house. Believe we got a Partial Certificate of Compliance before property was transferred. Paul Shea was the agent at the time and there was an issue of trees dying because of the vines. He actually asked us if we were interested in doing more clearing. Took a reasonable amount of area, but not up against the wetland boundary and cleared some of the vines. The builder may have removed some of the split rail fence and gone back there. Mr. Gallivan: need a replanting plan, try not to take root and stumps out. The problem comes in when people want a lawn which you can't have in the buffer. Could check the wetland line, maybe there is room for a little lawn. The buffer zone is forever? Yes. When a developer builds, they push to the limit and nothing can happen in the 50' buffer, based on vegetation and soils. There is a plan and plantings that might have been buffer plantings and continuing Orders that go with the title of the property. Mr. Bjorklund: if you allow them to remove those vines, vegetation will be healthier. Upsetting that we were terribly ill informed. Mr. Harding: will work with you to have a yard. In that area there are a lot of bottles, cups, and debris, can we clean it up? Yes. You will have to come back with a planting plan. Ms. Caisse: before you buy plants, go to a nursery and tell them what conditions you have and they will help find the plants that will survive. Not all plants will grow in all conditions. Come up with some sort of plan for plantings. Mr. Schmid: your property is not unique with these types of conditions.

Wetlands Hearing: Serani, 5 Irving Street (rebuild existing deck 12' x 28' add 26' x 7' front porch)*

Dick Rockwood was present. Property is right on the South River. Existing deck is in disrepair. Proposing to make it 2' larger and farmers porch 7' out on the front. 2' closer to the river. Proposed distance from the bank 10.6' and hoping to go out another 18". Mr. Gallivan: any way to cantilever the deck out the 18" on the river side and keep sonotubes in the same place? Yes, don't know the condition of the sonotubes, may be able to keep them. Will put gutters on the farmer's porch. Will do the structural work at the same place and use erosion controls. Brian Maxwell is the contractor. DEP called us and they have issues regarding lack of information on the forms. Riverfront is a different fee, need to get the fees straight. Would like to close the hearing. Driveway stays pervious, existing location of pilings, and install erosion controls. Motion to close the hearing Mr. Schmid. Second Mr. Parys. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

308 Central Ave: house at Cliff and Central, property owner has more than his share of stones. Town moved the stones in the past; going to sit down and discuss. He wouldn't mind if his place was the storage area, but would want them taken away in the spring.

214 Central – large boulders, met with him twice. They have been removed.

181 Edward Foster Road: Tim White was present. Received a letter; pumped out some of the water in front of his house. Really wet; plants have failed. You are not allowed to drain a wetland. It triggered a violation letter. Mr. White did it and had no excuse. It is a large piece of property and over the years there has been significant building. Elevation changed next door, ZBA just approved another. More and more rain water is draining down with nowhere to go. Now seeing water surge over the seawall, because property is at the lowest point; the crawl space is no longer draining and we will have a mold problem. Every year it is getting worse. This year 18" of standing water was in the yard. Abated the phragmites and depression gets deeper every year. One of the things we talked about was a fresh water pond. Mr. Gallivan: if you have water in the foundation area, you can pump, not trying to prevent people from protecting their property. Could file a Notice of Intent for the pond, the question would be, will it work, or will it be a phragmites pond? You should hire an expert. Intention would be to plant vegetation around the edge. Mr. Gallivan would like to make a call to DEP, they must have regs that we could look at. The concern that we see, is development outside the area, which is handled individually and at the end of the day there is nowhere else for the water to go. Years ago there was a clay pipe draining system that is long gone. Grade changes just makes things worse. When Pat went out to the site there was probably 6" of water in the crawl space, which will be a long term problem. Every year something else gets built up above and there are more hard surfaces. Mr. Gallivan: hard to drown blueberry bushes, but they were all drown when he was out there. Commission believes the pond would be worth pursuing. And if not a pond, because there may be some salt water intrusion, maybe there is some other solution.

Whitcomb Pines: Dave Nubuis, 18 Whitcomb Pines was present. Naturalist by nature, truly committed to the welfare of our environment. Moved in last July, no intention or thoughts about the woods except they were nice. But as time went by, noticed the thicket of pine trees were so filled in, a lot were dead. He removed some dead trees and trimmed to allow for more air. Completely ignorant of the situation that involved the Commission. In the meantime in the fall tried to reach Michael Breen called several times to offer himself as a volunteer, but never got in touch with him. Desire would be work in the woods. Moved from Hingham, from a mini estate, which was on the garden tour 4 times and have done a wonderful job in the woods. Mr. Gallivan: call came into the office about cutting maybe being done on town property. It is in the care and custody of the Commission. Underbrush was cleared out and the dog walking area was visually opened up to the condos. Maybe the intention was to clean it up and make it a healthier area, but there was a better mix before. It can be solved. Can't do any cutting on town property and there may be some planting required. Mr. Nubuis: Frankly think very little was cleared out there. Need to put something

together for plantings. Will talk to George Story, the Tree Warden to get some ideas. It became a privacy issue. Richard & Patricia Lambert, 14 Alexander Place were present. No animosity, he's a wonderful gardener. It just became a privacy issue, we can now see all the dog walkers. Think we can work something out that will fill in the area.

Mr. Bjorklund: One of the developers of Evangeline Drive off of Elm Street. Detention basin that has a berm, between the 50' & 100' buffer. Through the design process asked to move to the 50' line. It has grasses; it is a great basin, well established. Specific plants are to be installed in 3 areas. Actually ordered 240 plants; smaller plants, shrubs and trees. One of landscape architects pointed out the vegetation in the front yards; the deer are eating everything out there. Talked to Frank and a number of local landscapers, as well as Kennedy's, and the Bloomin' Place asking what they are doing because of the deer. Between \$10,000 and \$12,000 worth of vegetation. Tremendous issue with deer. Right now it is all grass. Mr. Schmid: Is this an order of conditions for the development? Yes. The plantings are at the back of the basin itself, can't be seen from anywhere. Bern is approximately 5' high. Wednesday the landscaper will be there. Street trees are included in the price. Whole area to be planted was about \$24,000. Mr. Gallivan: mitigation is probably required for a reason. This particular development is an open space development. Gave 4 acres and kept all houses out of the 50' buffer. Mr. Harding: if you can get them to take some of them back, maybe try again later. Will do what the orders told us to do.

Wetlands Hearing: Inly School, 136 Cornet Stetson Road (nature trail & plantings)* No DEP File #

Motion to continue the hearing to May 21, 2014 at 7:40 p.m. Ms. Caisse. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Order of Conditions: Pratt, 180 Central Ave. (footings for deck) (NO DEP File #)

CORRESPONDENCE

April 17, 2014 – May 7, 2014

1. Zoning Board of Appeals re: 135 River Street: approved to raze and rebuild. (in file)
2. Request for CofC for 68-2439 – Nashen, 272 Central Avenue – request, engineer's verification, as-built, no check (in file)
3. DPW Plan: proposed drainage improvements & metal building at the highway barn, 68 Captain Peirce Road (informal 5/7/14)
4. Plant List Inly School, 136 Cornet Stetson Road (in file)
5. April 17 – Request to continue Town Way Extension to May 7, 2014 (in file)
6. DEP File #68-2509 – Drinkwater, 7 Barry's Landing (in file)
7. Recording of OofC for Duval, 87 Maple Street (Bk 00578, pg 68, Document #00719154) (in file)
8. Zoning Board of Appeals re: 135 River Street – Granted Special Permit to allow the raze/rebuild (in file)
9. Planning Board Agenda for April 24, 2014
10. Recording of OofC for Blaney, 274 Central Ave. (in file)
11. Northern Woodlands Magazine - \$23 for 1 year.
12. DEP File #68-2510 – Serani, 5 Irving Street (in file)
13. Second set of pictures re: Walden Woods flooding concerns – 26 Tilden Road (in file)
14. Request for a CofC for 68-2439 – Nashen, 272 Central Ave. – request in writing, engineer's letter – general conformance, as-built and check (in file)
15. Nationalgrid herbicide applications – YOP previously submitted. Current Vegetation Management Plan is posted on websites
16. Letter from Building to Francis Davis, 111 Humarock Beach Road – re: unsafe foundation. Remove and fill the excavation to grade.
17. Mass Wildlife Magazine
18. Letter from BOH re: horse at 97 Edward Foster Road
19. Request for CofC 541 Hatherly Road – request, letter from Steve Lind stating work was never done & check (in file)
20. Request for CofC for 244 Central Ave. – request by engineer's letter, as-built, no check
21. DCR's Trail Guidelines & Best Practices Manual – e-mailed by Steve Ivas (printed 1 copy)
22. Stormwater Magazine
23. Woodard & Curran "Directions" Newsletter
24. Revised Trail and Landscape Plan for 136 Cornet Stetson Road (in file)
25. CZ-Mail Information - Grant Opportunities, Products/Publications, Web-Based Resources, Calendar
26. Letter and pictures from Bob Urbani - Erosion of River Bank, River Street, Alden, Old Mouth, etc. also pictures
27. Request for CofC for Lot 3 OOB - 68-1647 – No work was performed – Recorded Order, \$100 (in file)
28. Notification to Abutters re: Brian Burke – no address of 50 Surfside on the notification form (in file)
29. Attorney General Letter re: Linda Carroll – Request for further review within 90 days re: diversionary ditch
30. RECORDED DEED for Lot 18 - 26 Shadwell Road (in file)
31. Recorded OofC for Gordon, Ocean Ave. (new build & septic) (in file)
32. Zoning Board re: 179 Edward Foster Road to create a 50' frontage lot.
33. Stormwater Report for Lot 2, 55 Colonel Mansfield (in file)
34. Request to continue 14 Kimberly Road to the next available hearing (in file)

Meeting adjourned 9:20 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Carol Logue, Secretary