

**Town of Scituate
Conservation Commission
Town Hall Selectmen's Hearing Room
Meeting Minutes
June 3, 2015**

Meeting was called to order at 6:17 p.m.

Members Present: Mr. Snow, Chairman, Ms. Caisse, Mr. Harding, Mr. Parys, Ms. Scott-Pipes and Mr. Schmid.

Also Present: Patrick Gallivan, Agent, Carol Logue, Secretary

Agenda: Motion to amend the agenda to include: informal discussion with Mark McLaughlin regarding properties on Central Ave.; 99 Glades Road plan revision; site visits for possible violations at Ann Vinal and Bulrush; tree cutting at 97 Clapp at edge of open space; walkway along Glades; Boy Scout projects on the next agenda for the kayak ramp near the Glades and trail project on Indian Trail Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Eagle Scout Project: Dave Ball and Connor Hodges were present. Proposing a project at 47 Old Oaken Bucket Road, the Old Oaken Bucket House. Project consists of clearing a trail and working on the drainage ditch and footbridge that crosses the drainage ditch. This is the 19th project since 1996 scouts have done for the Historical Society; quite an achievement. Connor Hodges: The main part is clearing a new trail to a service road and working on the drainage ditch and footbridge. Flagged a path he thinks would be best; no large trees. Put ribbons on trees that need to be cut; no more than 3" in diameter, or just dead. Footbridge will have all new planks on top. Clearing the small bushes on the drainage ditch that are clogging it. Putting a bench on the new trail in a pine grove and putting up signs pointing out significant wildlife and fauna. Dave Ball: this is part of the CPC project passed at town meeting. Coming back later this summer for a horse shoe drive to existing garage. Taking up asphalt and putting down some type of pervious material; also garage needs work. Mr. Snow: A lot of work; terrific project. Pat met on site with Dave. Stream crossing should be protected so there is no disturbance. When you are getting ready to start, give the office a call. Eventually area will be more accessible. Good luck. Contact the Commission's office to pick up a Minor Activities Permit.

Discussion: Moskowitz, 158 Border Street (stream crossing)

August 2014 wrote a letter regarding the current condition, should either follow the Enforcement Order or file a Notice of Intent. This has gone back and forth, made several visits, and gotten new information from both Mr. Moskowitz and abutters. Need a decision on this. Issued a Minor Activities Permit to clean up the stream and the bank. Ms. Scott-Pipes: Looks like some things were cleaned up. The water was running, but wasn't going through the pipe, but was going to the other side of road. Would like to see the cut logs and waste removed up stream; think it will help the flow. Saw no major issues and had heavy rain for 3 days. If everyone would get together and clean up the stream, believe it might start to flow properly. It is still getting through the bedrock. Watched the water on the other side moving along. Mr. Moskowitz did exactly what he was told to do at the time; it is an unfortunate situation. Mr. Gallivan: three possibilities: 1. Return to original EO which would require hiring an engineer and restoring the stream. 2. An after-the-fact Notice could be filed to see if an Order could have been issued. 3. File a new Notice with engineered plans to restore the stream flow. Also require the yard waste, logs and landscaping waste be removed from the abutter's yard north of the driveway, remove mulch from the driveway area and replant natural vegetation. It is still a ponded area; stream flow seems to be restricted. If the water gets high enough it will make it through the pipe. If this wasn't an EO we could try different scenarios. We would normally tell people to file an after-the-fact Notice of Intent, but this should be a new Notice, because what is there isn't working; it needs to be corrected. Maybe it can be restored without the pipe. Once there is a filing, the other party can appeal. Mr. Snow: in the meantime it appears Mr. Moskowitz cleaned up everything he could. Don't think this is impacting abutters as much. Limited amount of water standing there today. Cleaning up or removing debris should get a MAP. With the combination of the people getting together to clean up the stream and Mr. Moskowitz filing an after-the-fact or new Notice with engineered plans, there might be a solution, then the EO can be closed. The driveway was there, but after the water line was repaired, is when the stream flow got restricted. Part of the problem was installing the pipe; an engineer needs to come up with a solution. Should file a new Notice of Intent within 60 days.

Wetlands Hearing: Stewart, 160 Chief Justice Cushing Hwy. (raze/rebuild) (cont.)

They were told this week to come to us for a permit first, but we feel it should go through Zoning first. Motion to continue to July 1, 2015 at 6:30 P.M. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Phippen Trust, 35 Dreamwold Road (total of 3 new lots)

Requested a continuance to the next agenda. John Chessia is working on the Stormwater review for the Planning Board; he looked at the DEP regs and feels stormwater structures shouldn't be in the lawn area. It has gone back to the engineer requesting a new location. It has been decided there is no vernal pool. Motion to continue to June 17, 2015 at 6:30 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote. The latest thinking of DEP is to keep everything out of the 50' buffer.

Wetlands Hearing: Cote, 38 Atlantic Drive (leaching field/shed/install landscaping)*

Jeff Delisi and Paul Mirabito were present at the hearing. Abutters' notification was submitted. Project concerns two lots, one easterly of the Atlantic Drive dwelling, and one westerly to an empty lot on Central. Shortly after purchasing in 2014, a portion was cleared and quite a bit of debris was removed. They were then called in for a discussion regarding the activities. This winter septic was washed out. Proposing to move the system to the vacant lot. Test pits showed the lot has been significantly altered over time. The parcel was historically used for parking (showed google maps) and they want to use for seasonal parking and the rest of the lot would be landscaped and stabilized. There is no place to park at 38 Atlantic. Located a water line adjacent to Central where it was cleared last year. Submitted septic upgrade and proposed planting plans. Proposing to go across the private way to install a new leaching area, approved by Board of Health and build a shed on sonotubes 2' above existing grade. Also proposing a water main for Central Ave. and a seasonal board walk with vegetation on both sides that will go onto a walking path to the house for less human impact. Had to remove the first 3' to 4' of rubble. Resource areas: barrier beach, coastal dune, and velocity zone; from elevation 7' & 8' at the beach up to 13'. The coastal dune does not provide any sediment to the beach. Ms. Scott-Pipes: need to go back to the original reason for the filing. Know you need a septic, but originally dune was cleared for parking, that's what started this. Wanted the dune on Central Ave. restored, but this plan shows no intention of doing that. Don't feel the EO has been addressed. Mr.

Gallivan: violation letters were sent over a year ago; May 2014. Peter Armstrong plowed out the vegetation and Google earth shows the area has widened over the years, but could possibly allow some seasonal parking. Site will go up 5' from the street for the leaching field. Proposing to build a 3' versalock wall, which would hold the dune, with 2 or 3 steps to the boardwalk; plant the slope and have a seasonal walk. The green area on the plan will all be planted. Parking would probably be for 3 or 4 small cars. Total square feet of the lot is 4,201 sq. ft. with 80% to 85% vegetated. Mr. Parys: when we went out a year ago, it was dug up and we talked about restoring the grade. Mr. Mirabito: trying to address the restoration of the dune, plant area, and isolate human traffic. Once you remove the dune, it's not relevant how often cars are there. Ms. Caisse: going into the dune approximately 4'. Water comes down Central Ave. to that lot and moving materials will allow more water to come over. The intent was to restore the area; add sand and plant grass. Going to create more problems and the dune isn't being restored as we originally requested. Mr. Gallivan: it is complicated; need to go along with some kind of septic, but started as a wetland violation. Abutters on either side may get more stormwater directed toward them. There was vegetation in the past and the abutters have as many pictures. Vegetated dune has performance standards. Originally discussed possibly creating parking on the Atlantic side. Atty. DeLisi: Presently there is a need for some type of system. In a position now of what is done is done; recognize it was done without a permit. Saw an opportunity to see what might be beneficial. It is important to address the septic now, even if it is through an Emergency Certificate. If you put the system in, more than half the site is disturbed. Different position now than a year ago, address at least the septic. What you want is a full restoration. Mitigation is heard for the first time? May have to forego the parking. Mr. Snow: agree, we have two separate issues. Don't think the leaching field is a real issue. Have to think about shed and parking whether they are appropriate. It has been a long time since we asked for that dune to be restored. It is an altered area, but we always try to achieve something better. Septic is certainly better, it is in the ocean now. Robert Branca: 164 Central. Welcomed the Cote's when they moved in. Don't think we have enough time to refute 85% of the mistakes Paul has made. When they dug out Central Ave. it was a gentle slope. Original owner parked one car, now can park 10 or 12. Lot has been continuously filled. It is now 4' above the wall and 6' above neighbor's; erosion will be worse. They also installed a flag pole in cement. There are 21 or 23 lots on Central and every single one has a declining gentle slope to the street. When they graded, vegetation was lost and they took a third of the bushes. Now property is getting silted in like crazy. Back to front was a gentle slope. They just think they can do anything. Bill McKinnon, 168 Central Ave.: How deep is the septic? From top of ground to top of leaching area is 2.8', bottom is just over 5' above groundwater at high tide. What is the guarantee it won't leach into his basement if it gets destroyed? Also a shed? He thought the lot was unbuildable. Francis McKinnon: Want to reiterate, been there 71 years, initially that property sloped down like the rest. Not opposed to septic as long as it meets standards. The property was used as a dump and don't want to see a 6' mound. On his right rear there are roses, that significantly go off property onto their property. Jenn Keefe, Board of Health Director: Internal review of the septic plan that proved it meets Title V requirements. There is a 4" double sleeved pipe under Atlantic Ave., much stronger than older systems with heavy duty chambers made out of reinforced concrete. Elevation in front of the septic is 13' or 13.5'; at top of chambers elevation 8'. System can't have more than 3' of cover and has to be at least 4' above groundwater; didn't need any variances. Biggest concern seems the lot is higher, to the point abutters are getting water now. Can it be lowered some? Maybe that could be mitigation. Branco has a 4' wall and the other side is packed. At a minimum want to see a channel on the other side of his wall. When all done want it to be a dune, but more consistent to what was there. Motion to continue to June 17, 2012 at 7:15 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: McLean, 9 Oliver Street (elevate)*

Bob Crawford and Thomas McLean were present at the hearing. Abutters' notification was submitted. In the process of getting the structural plans. Top of foundation is 8.08', elevating the structure 5'. All we have is an existing conditions plan. Motion to continue the hearing to June 17, 2015 at 6:50 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Trifone/Masker, 37 & 39 Collier Road (raze 2 dwellings/rebuild 1)*

Adam Brodsky, Stan Humphries, Bob Crawford, Bill Trifone, Jenny Tiji, & Tom Murdough, Architect were present at the hearing. Abutters' notification was submitted. Bill & Steven own 2 developed lots, in an AO flood zone under the 2012 FIRM, but will be located in VE zone. Proposing to demolish both houses and build one flood compliant with VE 18'; will exceed the building code. Wetland resource area is land subject to coastal storm flowage; located in the buffer of a rocky shore. Dwelling is pulled back and the only thing in the 50' buffer are 5 concrete piles, everything else is removed. Not doing anything to increase floodplain; also accounted for sea level rise. There is a municipal storm drain; agreed for an easement to circumvent the property relocating the line to reconnect at the rear. Sent a copy to DPW. No letter back from Natural Heritage regarding priority habitat. Does not require stormwater and there is no net increase of impervious surface. Tom Murdough: existing property is two 5,000 sq. ft. lots; conforms to zoning requirements. Proposing to raze and elevate to 21' at the lowest elevated structure. Removing square footage from lot; do not exceed the 25% pervious material; at 21.4%. Parking area is pervious. There will be a low retaining wall in a different area no more than 2' above grade. Proposing full erosion control except at access; grading will be minor in nature; and elevating the parking area. Decks cantilevered with one stairwell surrounded by breakaway panels. Dwelling about 3,400 sq. ft. Stan Humphries: lots consist of cobble and boulder materials. There is lawn throughout the 2 properties; proposing no lawn and no landscaping. This is an improvement by removing two houses with solid foundations. Using the new FEMA standards; VE zone elevation 18'. Under bylaw 1' above base flood, under building code 2', they have gone up 3' with freeboard. No vertical sheer walls, no concrete foundation walls, permeable driveways and patios; tied into town sewer. Atty. Brodsky: tried to anticipate environmental improvement under existing conditions. Existing houses are 2,000 sq. ft. each; a small cape and ranch. Mr. Gallivan: this is a real comprehensive Notice of Intent. DPW sent a memo about the change to the street drainage, also waiting for Natural Heritage. Instead of gutters for roof runoff, designed gravel drains along the sides. Drain is near revetment, but just relocating the drain. There will be a formal easement. Mr. Snow: siltation should be contained during demolition; revise the plan. Don't usually allow straw waddles, filter socks are better. Will use state of the art erosion controls. Brian Jones, 6 Michael Ave. No other variances? Complies with Scituate Zoning. Lowest member is 21' and it is below the height restriction. Continuing for DPW input and Natural Heritage. Motion to continue to June 17, 2015 at 6:35 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Any reason we can't have conditions ready for next meeting? No. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Mark McLaughlin, 234 Central was present representing some of the neighbors. Have a seawall/patio structure that covers 6 houses, 5 had problems in the storms this past winter with an undermining foundation and scour between the houses. One of the houses was close to needing a foundation. Proposing to take some of the material from between the houses and build a cobble field with larger stone, approximately 150 to 200 pounds to dissipate some of the wave energy. Behind the seawall would be steel with sand over it, like a hidden floor for the wash over. Don't actually get much wave action, just overflow. Wanted to know if a formal plan could be put together. Mr. Snow: think of cobble as 10 to 20 lb. stone. Would be helpful to get a little feedback from CZM to see if this would work. Meeting Jim O'Connell the first of the week, maybe we could get some input from him. Mr. Gallivan: Could be an RDA since the work is on the inside of the wall. Mr. Snow: Doesn't hurt to pursue. Ms. Caisse: may help to keep cobble off Central Ave., would help the town and residents and maybe if it worked it could be used in other parts of town.

Enforcement Issues: 10 Montvale: Laurajeane McDonald, owner of 8 Montvale was present. Last week 10 Montvale had a huge dump truck and a bobcat clearing the wetlands. Built a second driveway and removed vegetation. Says it is not wetland. Lisa, Bill and Pat visited the site. Mr. Gallivan: person who lives there contacted Greg Morse to put a plan together and Brad Holmes to flag the wetlands; there is a large folder from the past. There are a lot of different areas that need to be looked at. Also talking about a trench down the road. Is he going to put the beach grass, beach roses and other vegetation back? Probably will come in on June 17th. Ultimately he will have to file and you will get a notice. Shouldn't have had a bobcat or dump truck out there. Abutter is really concerned.

Monticello: violation notice a month or so ago. Dennis & Francis Dimarzio were present. Talked to them in the past, but this time the pathway was widened. Owners are interested in planting beach grass. Mr. Snow: Sounds like he is just taking over washed sand and putting it back on the beach. Had to go around the rocks at the end. Some say the rock in the middle of the pathway has been there for years and it was scoured out over the winter; others say it wasn't there. Francis Dimarzio: if the rock had been there previously, it would have meant we would be walking up a hill, but don't know how the rock got there. On the left side the grass was taken away by the water. Been there 21 years, never saw the rocks before; used to be level to walk to the beach. Used a bobcat to put the sand back where it came from; never touched the dune. Took tons of sand from their back yard back to the beach and planted beach grass. Mr. Snow: typically after a bad storm the state allows people to move the sand back to the beach. Mr. Gallivan: need some kind of mitigation. Obviously can leave a path, but plant on the left side. Ms. Dimarzio: we are interested in finding out what we can do to stabilize the back yard to prevent stuff from entering the yard. We'll come back in the fall and ask for recommendations for a landscaper.

Bob Turner, 6 Atlantic Drive was present. Mr. Gallivan: Received a report of a dune created in front of a house without a permit and it is a pretty good dune, which could have been permitted, but not sure what is underneath. Wrote a letter telling him the situation and if he wanted to submit an after-the-fact NOI. Had property since 1989 this was the first year there has been any serious erosion. He has a property manager who told him in March there was a serious erosion issue from storms and the foundation is dangerously exposed. Contacted a contractor that they've used in the past and he moved about 16 rocks away from the house, back where they came from; brought in about 6 truckloads of sand to protect the foundation and told him there should be some stones, because sand would just wash away. He said he would take care of everything. Assumed he would contact Conservation and get the right permits. Think it was the first week of April the work was done and within a few days got a call from Scituate Police and was told there was a dispute between his contractor and the contractor at 2 Atlantic. He asked the police if there was anything he had to do and they said as far as they knew the two contractors were going to work it out. He contacted his contractor and he said there was no problem. Then he received the letter and he contacted Mr. Gallivan; he feels he has done everything he can to be cooperative. Didn't know what was used below the sand so contacted his contractor and he said he just used brown stones. Talked to neighbor and the neighbor explained there was damage to his house. Bought over wash stone from the town and had them moved to his property and accused his contractor of stealing that material. Have a good relationship with both contractors; and his contractor concurred that my contractor stole material and did damage to the neighbor's property. Just wanted to protect his property. That is the situation as he knows it. If the material has to be removed, is it possible to require my contractor to remove it? And if it does have to be removed, would like permission to be able to restore the beach so his concrete foundation is protected. Mr. Snow: The person who did the work, worked on your behalf, so unfortunately it is your responsibility. Do we want an after-the-fact Notice of Intent? Yes. Might have to be taken out, might have to do some sort of test hole. Between his house and neighbors there are sharp stones; neighbor also brought in sharp stone to fill the holes on Barrett St. which is badly eroded. Will need to file. Mr. Turner wants to be cooperative.

Ms. Scott-Pipes: there is tons of cobble on Central Ave., why can't someone like that use it? If they'd asked early, they probably could have.

Peggotty Beach/34, 36 & 38 Inner Harbor Dune (extend/amend/revoke)

Spoke to Jim Toomey: his decision was to just rescind and have them refile. Plan on next meeting. They put up fencing this weekend. He thought the amendment wouldn't work because the town should have been part of the original filing. If they had a cease and desist from the selectmen why did they put up the fence? Selectmen agreed they could do that; they have an open order.

Certificate of Compliance: Bjorklund, 15 Captain Daniel Litchfield

Mr. Gallivan need a little while to look through the conditions. Built the house, didn't build the pool house at the time and came in to amend the conditions. Received a partial CofC for the house and septic. OK for full Certificate of Compliance

Request for Determination: Howe, 92 Clapp Road (deck) (cont.)

Sent letter to move trampoline or file a new proposal to see if they can keep it where it is. Just sent last week. They didn't believe the trampoline couldn't be there. Listed four references to the fact it shouldn't be there.

Order of Conditions: DPW/GZA, Central Ave. (removal of storm over wash)

Motion to condition the project Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Big part of this filing is to read the orders with the contractors and that is one of the Orders. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Order of Conditions: Town of Scituate, 138 Edward Foster Road (rehab +/- 185 lf of seawall)

Motion to condition the project Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Parys. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Order of Conditions: Town of Scituate, Oceanside Dr. 4th to 6th Ave. (rehab approx. 786 of seawall)

Motion to condition the project Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Hazard Mitigation Plan: Commission needs to vote to transfer \$2,500 for a Hazard Mitigation Plan, which is required every 5 to 7 years in order to be eligible for FEMA/elevation Grants and the CRS program. It is complicated to write and there is someone who has written three and all three were approved and received funding. Planning is taking \$3,500 and DPW or TA is taking \$9,000. We haven't supported anything for Nancy's budget. Motion to move the line item budget Mr. Schmid. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

99 Glades Road: new home on pilings. Met with contractor today. There is a planting plan, but it's not clear if they were allowed to have a paved driveway. One plan shows gravel. Pat said he would mention it to the Commission and go through the file. Commission can't imagine they would allow a paved driveway. Abutter next door stated it's been a gravel driveway. If he wants to pave he will need to file.

147 Jericho: spread all the material that was brought in. Abutters say it amounts to about 8" to 10" and claim they are getting more flooding. It shouldn't have been done without a filing.

Jim O'Connell meeting this week.

Site walk next week for the new fire station with engineers. Will get times from them and let the Commission know.

Violations on Ann Vinal, Bulrush and Tilden.

97 Clapp: Tree Lady: Frank will get out there to see Mrs. Leary.

Glades walkway: people want to do something with the stone and sand that has washed up. Will read tomorrow and get back to the Commission.

Meet scout at Minot for the kayak/canoe ramp – maybe tomorrow afternoon, Friday or Monday.

CORRESPONDENCE
May 21, 2015 – June 3, 2015

1. DEP File #68-2537 – Town of Scituate, Oceanside Drive (in file)
2. DEP File #68-2538 – Town of Scituate, 138 Edward Foster Road (in file)
3. Request for a CofC for 61 King's Way Received request, engineer's Title V inspection report, and check (in file)
4. Request for a letter confirming extension for SC#07-649 - 114 Edward Foster and SC#07-650 - 118 Edward Foster (in file)
5. Request for design change after is elevated at 15 Seagate re: stair case – 5 schemes. OK PG just tell us which scheme (in file)
6. Division of Marine Fisheries reviewed ENF by Geraldine Mazzola for proposed septic and seasonal wooden walkway, 30 Inner Harbor Road. Not expected to impact marine resources. (in file)
7. MA Historical Commission re: Hunter's Pond Dam Rehabilitation or removal. ENF info insufficient for the MHC to understand proposed project impacts to the Sawmill & Mordecai Lincoln Historic District. Submit info to Scituate Historical Commission & MA Underwater Archaeological Resources for review and comment. Copies of any written comments should be provided to the USFW & MHC. (in file)
8. Scituate Planning Board Agenda for May 28, 2015
9. Recording of OofC for 68-2536 Benelli, 46 Atlantic Drive (in file)
10. Recording of CofC for 68-1980 – Booras, 61 Kings Way (in file)
11. Recording of CofC for 68-2045 – Howard/Toomey, 81 Townsend Road (in file)
12. Request for CofC for 68-2496 – Duval, 87 Maple Street – Request, Engineer's letter (substantial compliance), Existing Conditions Plan, and check (in file)
13. DEP File #68-2539 – McLean, 9 Oliver Street (in file)
14. DEP File #68-2540 – Cote, 38 Atlantic Drive (in file)
15. Barry re: Dune Disturbance, Humarock – rip rap uncovered; plowing and destruction of dune. Picture enclosed 2013
16. Chris Kennedy re: 64 Moorland Road – flags in place for plantings. Hopes the additional 40 trees, shrubs and grasses satisfies the previous request for 6 trees. (in file)
17. Request for CofC re: Bjorklund, 15 Captain Daniel Litchfield. Request, Engineer's verification, As-built & check. (in file)
18. Revised plans for Phippen, 35 Dreamwold Road Sheets 1 – 4 (in file)
19. Planning Board re: Special Permit – Common Driveway 35 Dreamwold Road – COMMENTS BY June 9, 2015 (back to Pat)
20. Request to continue 68-2533 - 35 Dreamwold Road to the next available hearing date (in file)
21. PG note re: 158 Border Street – possible steps to be taken (in file)
22. Abutters notification was submitted for 37 & 39 Collier Road (in file)
23. DEP File #68-2541 – 37 & 39 Collier Road (in file)
24. 68-2445 – 188 Central Ave. – When received orders planned to repair & patch existing asphalt. Contractor Kevin McDonough has determined the driveway base needs replacement. Driveway will remain in same location / same length, but 280 sq. ft. of asphalt will be removed and replaced with pervious pavers. Asking for ConCom's support.
25. Planning Board re: Major Site Plan Review/Stormwater Permit 13 Ford Place for 6 unit commercial building on 25,750 sq. ft. site with existing 3 bedroom dwelling. COMMENTS by 6/22. If discussing at a meeting let Planning night & time.
26. Planning Board hearing 5/28/15 re: 214 Clapp Road – expected function of stormwater system. High water levels observed and dewatering of constructed wetland & detention basin, the need to establish plants, and a dispute regarding permission to install basins on private property. Intensity of lighting and replacement of dead plants. 8 conditions to fulfill.
27. ECR/Holmes re: 35 Dreamwold Road – 2 site evaluations performed. BVW does not function as a vernal pool. (in file)
28. Recording of CofC for 68-2373 – Morris-Hipkins - 222 Central Ave. (in file)
29. Letter from Morse re: 10 Montvale Ave. – Mr. Bradley hired Morse Engineering and Brad Holmes to flag wetlands (in file)
30. Zoning Board of Appeals Agenda for June 18, 2015
31. Request for Special Permits/Findings for 115 Hatherly Road & 28 Otis Place/27 Allen Place scheduled for 6/18/15 at 7:00 p.m. Appreciate any comments. Plans and file in Building Dept.

Motion to adjourn Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Meeting adjourned 9:53 p.m.
Respectfully submitted,
Carol Logue, Secretary