Town of Scituate Conservation Commission Selectmen's Hearing Room / Remote Meeting Meeting Minutes Wednesday, December 6, 2021 5:30pm

Members Present: Mr. Frank Snow, Chair (joined late)

Teleconference: Ms. Penny Scott Pipes, Ms. Jen Foley, Mr. Brendan Collins, Mr. Andrew Gallagher, Mr. Richard

Harding, Mr. Doug Aaberg

Also Present: Amy Walkey, Conservation and Natural Resource Officer; Jenn Smith – Recording Secretary

Richard Harding: This meeting is being held remotely as an alternate means of public access. On June 16, 2021, Governor Baker signed into law an Act Extending Certain COVID-19 Measures Adopted During the State of Emergency. This Act includes an extension, until April 1, 2022, of the remote meeting provisions of his March 12, 2020, Executive Order Suspending Certain Provisions of the Open Meeting Law. You are hereby advised that this meeting and all communications during this meeting may be recorded by the Town of Scituate in accordance with the Open Meeting Law.

1. Call to Order, 5:30pm Roll Call of Commissioners

Penny Scott-Pipes – present, at home, alone – **Jen Foley** – present, at home, alone, **Brendan Collins** - present, at home, alone – **Andrew Gallagher** - present, at home, alone – **Richard Harding** – present, at home, alone, **Frank Snow** – present at townhall, **Doug Aaberg** – present, at home, alone

Jen Foley joined Late Frank Snow joined Late

2. Agenda Acceptance:

Ms. Penny Scott-Pipes made motion to accept agenda with addition of boy scout and continuances. Mr. Andy Gallagher second. Roll call to vote. Unanimous vote accepted. (5-0), Mr. Richard Harding – yes, Mr. Andrew Gallagher – yes, Mr. Brendan Collins – yes, Ms. Penny Scott Pipes - yes, (Frank Snow and Jen Foley not joined yet)

3. PUBLUC HEARINGS – NOI

a. 7 New Driftway DEP#: 068-2960

Applicant: Driftway-LLC

Representative: McKenzie Engineering

Proposed: Redevelopment of former medical building to new residential building and site improvements in buffer to BVW, riverfront, and land subject to coastal storm flowage (LSCSF)

Eric Shoumaker, McKenzie Engineering, and Brad Holmes, ECR, flagged limits of inland bank. Eric – 1.25 acres developed commercial building with associated parking lot. Building is not in use, use to be medical office building. First Herring Brook north of property. Elevation 18 to 3 at the brook. Commercial development surrounding property. No SW on site currently – run off flows untreated to road way or in to brook. Showed current existing site and zoning districts. Listed all zonings/districts on site. FEMA AE 16. Limited inland bank is located to the north. Tidal included area. Difference of a couple feet between high and low tide. Delineated in April 2021. Propose 4 story residential building with 21 units, parking lot, and parking underground. Subsurface infiltration system proposed for SW management under parking lot and utility connection. Pavement located 5.5 feet from bank of brook at closest point. Proposed impervious surface 7.5 feet from

mber 6, 2021 Page 2 of 9

bank is retaining wall. Qualifies as redevelopment project under Riverfront Area regulations. Designed drainage system for site. Goal to maintain existing drainage patterns as much as could and design system that complies with local and state regulations. — catch basin — conveyed to pre-treatment into infiltration system. Then into native sand and grass material there. Explained overflow plan for water — reduced rate flowage into Brook. All utilities underground including sewer connection to existing sewer manhole on New Driftway. Decreased peak volumes and rates from existing conditions.

Brad Holmes, ECR, riverfront redevelopment site make site better for riverfront, reduction in degraded sq ft. reduction benefit to riverfront, propose plantings, and mitigation and restoration. Bank stabilization proposed with soft solutions and native plantings. Runoff from parking captured by catch basin to treatment unit to Redevelopment is to make site better and improve conditions in riverfront. Doing that with stormwater measures and reducing degraded area on site by 9000 sq ft. Providing riverfront restoration and mitigation with plantings

Art Allen – EcoTec – historically rocks armored bank with large rocks. 1B5 - 1B8 as bank continues to erode, armory has been undercut, a lot has fallen into brook already. Will continue to be a problem for the brook and future development of site. Will be tough for site. Brad came up with innovative idea for area. Once pull existing building, will be able to get in and stabilize bank along first herring Brook with Brad's protocol. Art provided written comments October 5, 2022. Agree with Brad's delineation of area. Agree redevelopment project and showing some mitigation and improvements. Additional details provided for native plantings. Had issues with standards C&D of Wetland Protection Act regulations with 200 feet riverfront, work not closer to riverfront then what is there already. Section C, proposed work will not be closer to the river then what is there. Still concerned with NW corner of proposed building, where encroaching closer to brook then existing structure, both with new building and retaining walls. Other comments pertaining to performance standard need to be addressed. Other comment not addressed as of latest review email oct 28, pertaining regulatory standards still need to be addressed.

Brad – regarding two standards about work closer to river. redeveloping allows flexibility in redevelopment regulations. Goal is to move things farther away from river, accept f & G – where it says unless propose mitigation or/and restoration. They are propose restoration and reduction of 900 sq ft of degraded area overall for site. Since proposing new building where not degraded, mitigation and restoration proposed on plan. Shed located in middle of proposed new building.

Art Allen – NW corner is existing lawn, which is not degraded, NE corner is in parking lot.

Penny – why situated building at than angle and then would not be worried about that corner.

Eric – set backs regulations determine height based on how far from street.

Agent – although opening project, get main points about resource areas agreed to. The project has not gone through all boards yet. Second planning meeting focus on agreement on resource area. Commission should give thoughts on whether going to give release on redevelopment on non-degraded area. One review for SW and lengthy report of findings that will need to be addressed. Think site plan will be revised.

Doug – Old Oaken Bucket Pond behind it. Very sensitive site. Concern around protection, SW, protection ground water, making sure should be improvement to site. Tasks with protection environment so needs to be improvement at end of project. Will be leaning heavily on consultants to make sure concerned are addressed satisfactorily. Consultants needed for site like this to make concerns met. Roof run off managed with system? Amount of grading on site? 5 feet off retaining wall out of ground— significantly out of ground? Can deduce amount of grading? Would reduce amount of fill on site? Concern to protect ground water and aquafer on site. Richard – agrees with Doug. Sensitive area. Which building was farther away from brook, even a few feet. Penny – don't like building closer to brook. Can it be a narrower building? Concerned going farther back, instead of narrower building.

Brendan – question about decision on location and angle of building on lot. 9000 less degrade space? Eric – height of building influenced set back from road. Currently 29,563 sq ft degraded, or 54.4% of riverfront. Change to 37% of riverfront area. Parking lot decreases by about half.

December 6, 2021 Page 3 of 9

Andy – proximity to brook concern. Before next meeting good clarity exception to rules under riverfront act regarding interpretation.

Art Allen – they have flexibility on redevelopment to do mitigation work. Art's opinion they need to keep building away from river and no closer then degraded. But restoration can be done closer as necessary. As far as changing angle, can it be reconfigured in shape and made smaller. Don't see hardship to put this size and shape building on lot. There is a lot of degraded space on this lot. Doesn't seem in keeping with the regulations to push building closer to riverfront.

No abutter comments given.

Art – main flow of water seems to be closer to building, where saw failing armor of bank.

Frank – run off roof? Eric – modeled initially going to First Herring Brook. Chessia asked to capture roof run off. So that will be in the next revision of plan. Frank – when building and parking lot built, was done without a lot of regulation and no treatment of water run off when built. Is there reason parking lot needs to be along edge like that of the brook? 100 foot set back from CJC for the building, but does that include parking? Eric – yes there is a parking restriction on that side. Frank – number of units a function of land? Eric – density calculation and what makes project economically feasible for client.

Agent – hearing that new building should be brought away from brook and design should not include work in non-degraded areas. So no new building in undegraded areas. Frank – if feasible. If getting better treatment of water, could look at it. Should show plantings that will occur on plan.

Eric – yes, bringing fill to site to meet height requirements.

Art – in terms of mitigation comments, Oct 26, 2021 Brad responded to Art's comments, with restoration and mitigation plan, which does not believe part of original set. Brad showed number of native plantings in restoration area. Art agrees with proposal, just wants to make sure they get drawn into plan. Brad – not sure it is plan set.

Ms. Penny Scott-Pipes motion to continue 7 New Driftway to February 7, 2022. Mr. Doug Aaberg second. Unanimous all in favor roll call vote (7-0). Ms. Penny Scott Pipes - yes, Ms. Jen Foley – yes, Mr. Brendan Collins yes, Mr. Andrew Gallagher – yes, Mr. Richard Harding – yes, Mr. Doug Aaberg – yes, Mr. Frank Snow - yes

39 Arrowwood Dr. DEP# 068-2946 continued from 10/18/21

*Please see applicant request to continue to Jan 10, 2020

Applicant: McGavin

Representative: ECR, Brad Holmes

Proposed: pool, patio, grading in buffer to BVW

Ms. Penny Scott-Pipes motion to continue 39 Arrowwood to January 10, 2022. Mr. Doug Aaberg second. Unanimous all in favor roll call vote (6-0). Ms. Penny Scott Pipes - yes, Ms. Jen Foley – yes, Mr. Brendan Collins yes, Mr. Andrew Gallagher – yes, Mr. Richard Harding – yes, Mr. Doug Aaberg – yes, (Frank Snow not joined yet)

c. 109 Elm St. (ANRAD) DEP#: 068-2953

Applicant: Richardson

Representative: Morse Engineering

Proposed: ANRAD

Greg Morse, Morse Engineering, confirmed BVW, no work proposed with this ANRAD. 9.3 acres. 408,000 sq ft. Brad Holmes delineated property. No comments from DEP. Art Allen from EcoTec reviewed site. Report from 10/26/2021. He had revisions to first plan which are addressed in revised plan being presented. Unmapped intermittent stream on site. Culvert for stormwater from Elm St and upgraded areas in agreement with on revised plans.

Agent – no comments. Recommends closing.

Frank – why were flags moved. Art – where revisions made based on vegetation and soils. Most property in water protection district.

Page 4 of 9

Ms. Penny Scott-Pipes motion to close 109 Elm Street. Mr. Richard Harding second. Unanimous all in favor roll call vote (7-0). Ms. Penny Scott Pipes - yes, Ms. Jen Foley – yes, Mr. Brendan Collins – yes, Mr. Andrew Gallagher – yes, Mr. Richard Harding – yes, Mr. Doug Aaberg – yes, Mr. Frank Snow - yes

d. **O Ridge Hill Rd. DEP#: 068-2944** continued from 11/15/2021

Applicant: Donohue

Representative: Morse Engineering

Proposed: new lot w/ single family dwelling and title V septic within buffer to BVW

Ms. Penny Scott-Pipes motion to continue 0 Ridge Hill Road to January 22, 2022. Mr. Andy Gallagher second. Unanimous all in favor roll call vote (6-0). Ms. Penny Scott Pipes - yes, Ms. Jen Foley – yes, Mr. Brendan Collins – yes, Mr. Andrew Gallagher – yes, Mr. Richard Harding – yes, Mr. Doug Aaberg – yes, (Frank Snow not joined yet)

Lot 1 Bayberry DEP#: 068-2949 continued from 10/18/21 e.

Applicant: Baron

Representative: Morse Engineering

Proposed: move existing single family dwelling in buffer to BVW & LSCSF

Greg Morse, Morse Engineering – 14 Bayberry Rd is current address. Subdividing lot into 2 lots. Lot 1 would be relocate existing home on this lot. Reviewed resource areas on property, LSCSF, AE 15, Salt Marsh. Building will be elevated above flood plain. Peer reviewed by EcoTec. Retaining walls removed from proposed plan. Dry wells on plan now.

Art Allen – agree with Greg update. Met at site. Soil sampling done. Confirmed delineation. Buffer in corner of

Agent – abutter asked about impact project on stormwater. Asked calculate SW each lot vs what was given. Which was total for both lots.

Good not to increase impact on road.

Greg – SW bylaw specific – project as a whole, not disturbing more than 15%, no 4:1 slope listed other reasons. Does not see that bylaw is applicable.

Agent – if building things SW applicable, would re-apply

Greg - LSCSF, no rate for run off. Recharge ground water which handling with dry wells.

Penny – Would like plantings edge of roadway to help control what goes to street. Neighbors might like a plan. Doug – did you do pre and post

Steven Delahunt – 35 Bayberry Rd – reiterate water on road 8-% of time. Anything that can be done to help minimize making it worse is appreciated.

Ms. Penny Scott-Pipes motion to close lot 1 Bayberry Rd. Mr. Richard Harding second. Unanimous all in favor roll call vote (7-0). Ms. Penny Scott Pipes - yes, Ms. Jen Foley – yes, Mr. Brendan Collins – yes, Mr. Andrew Gallagher – yes, Mr. Richard Harding – yes, Mr. Doug Aaberg – yes, Mr. Frank Snow - yes

f. Lot 2 Bayberry DEP#: 068-2950 continued from 10/18/21

Applicant: Baron

Representative: Morse Engineering

Proposed: new single family dwelling in buffer to BVW & LSCSF

Ms. Penny Scott-Pipes motion to close lot 2 Bayberry Rd. Mr. Richard Harding second. Unanimous all in favor roll call vote (7-0). Ms. Penny Scott Pipes - yes, Ms. Jen Foley – yes, Mr. Brendan Collins – yes, Mr. Andrew Gallagher – yes, Mr. Richard Harding – yes, Mr. Doug Aaberg – yes, Mr. Frank Snow - yes

g. **9 Pond View Ave. DEP#: 068-2964** continued from 11/15/2021

Applicant: James & Mary Shea

Page 5 of 9

Representative: Morse Engineering

Proposed: addition in LSCSF

Greg Morse – Morse Engineering. Proposed addition. Property in FEMA flood zone. Addition will match first floor. Flood vents in foundation underneath addition. No DEP comments. House is already FEMA compliance. Recently received certificate of compliance for house. Jen – confirmed all the work in already disturbed area of property. Doug asked to confirm did not trigger stormwater.

Ms. Penny Scott-Pipes motion to close 9 Pond View Ave. Mr. Doug Aaberg second. Unanimous all in favor roll call vote (7-0). Ms. Penny Scott Pipes - yes, Ms. Jen Foley – yes, Mr. Brendan Collins – yes, Mr. Andrew Gallagher – yes, Mr. Richard Harding – yes, Mr. Doug Aaberg – yes, Mr. Frank Snow - yes

h. 208 Chief Justice Cushing Hwy. DEP#: 068-2959 continued from 11/15/2021

Applicant: Pacheco

Representative: Morse Engineering

Proposed: Boat house on lot w/ new single family dwelling within buffer to BVW, LSCSF, and Scituate

Stormwater Bylaw

Greg Morse – work proposed in buffer to salt marsh and LSCSF, SE 16. John Zimmer delineated property. House construction is underway. That work is outside ConCom jurisdiction for the main house. Boat house is new project proposed. AE 16. Utilities underground, outside the 100 buffer. Building is 81 feet from salt marsh. Deck proposed to be 65.7 feet from salt marsh. One and a half story building. Slab on grade foundation. Erosion control. Approximately 3000 sq ft land clearing. Drive in on north side of building with gravel driveway. Bob Gray Sabatia reviewed. Greg and Bob met at site with Agent to review. Believe Sabatia in agreement with delineation. Added 200 Riverfront on site, in association with Herring River, but boat house outside of 200 ft riverfront area. Sabatia asked include on plan vista pruning in riverfront for view. 20 foot wide with notes on plan. Selected branches taken down, no earth disturbance proposed, to create view. DEP no comments.

Agent – Concur about site visit. Resource area appear to be shown to be accurate. Note vista pruning could be condition and needs to be spelled out so specific to vegetation. Getting close to 100 buffer and the 50. It is a buffer project and combining with main house triggering stormwater. Main house is up and controls are in. Looks stable although being consistent with other projects of this nature, should be reviewed by professional that they will work and appropriate.

Richard – why in the 100 and not pulled back closer to the house? Greg – location chosen for the view. There is a new house that will go in another location. Location for structure taking that house into account also. Andy – same thing, why that location. Jen – any replication proposed? No. not proposed plantings because outside the 50 ft buffer. If condition, could install conservation post so documented limit of clearing. There would be a couple cedar trees going down and some invasive growth. Jen – would be interested in some form of plantings or markers on site. Doug – no grading suggested? Greg – Concrete front wall into ground. Salt would be elevation 17. Salt marsh side is 14. Not proposing bringing fill in. Deck is off the second floor so elevated. So how gets boats in and out? Greg – more of a place to view boats.

Agent – plan does not sure complete site – only what provided for NOI. Did receive SW application with calculation for entire site.

Greg – the house did not trigger SW and outside 100 ft buffer. House did not trigger SW permit. Limit disturbance of site over 15k with this new project. Now disturbing 18,000 sq ft of land. Bylaw allow for low impact for administrative review. Submitted SW application. Applicant installed drywells attached to roof system of house. Boathouse is 600 sq ft in size, discharges to LSCSF, no requirements of rates of run off. No ground water at site. Discharge to salt marsh. SW not significant part of site. Not required to have peer review. Asked peer review not be required and administratively issue permit.

Agent – need a professional to look at calculations, which is consistent with what do for other projects. Unfortunate that SW review coming up this part during their project. Need to demonstrate that their systems work. We give to the peer review professionals to sign off on calculations.

Minutes

December 6, 2021 Page 6 of 9

Frank- trees being taken down in the 100? Yes. No re-plantings proposed. Frank would like to see some planting of trees to enhance area. Understands frustration of when SW is needed and when it is not. Greg – could proposed some planting – enhance along property line, some supplemental plantings. Doug – would need SW even if out of the 100? Yes, would have been Town Planner reviewing. This project would trigger SW one way or other.

Agent – we roll the SW permit into the conditions

Ms. Penny Scott-Pipes motion to continue to January 10, 2022. Mr. Doug Aaberg second. Unanimous all in favor roll call vote (7-0). Ms. Penny Scott Pipes - yes, Ms. Jen Foley – yes, Mr. Brendan Collins – yes, Mr. Andrew Gallagher – yes, Mr. Richard Harding – yes, Mr. Doug Aaberg – yes, Mr. Frank Snow - yes

4. **Public Meeting - REQUESTS FOR DETERMINATION OF APPLICABILITY**

644 First Parish Rd (SBL# 42-21)

Applicant: Elaine McDonough Representative: Morse Engineering

Project: septic repair

Greg Morse, Morse Engineering, John Zimmer delineated. 65K sq ft lot. Proposed work in BVW. Unnamed perineal stream on lot also. 1500 gal septic and new leaching field proposed.

Agent – buffer project. Was pool that was filled in on property in last 10 years. Flagging is consistent with previous filing. Recommends negative finding, not agreeing with resource area with determination. Ms. Penny Scott-Pipes motion to issue a negative three for 644 First Parish Rd. Ms. Jen Foley second. Unanimous all in favor roll call vote (7-0). Mr. Doug Aaberg- yes, Ms. Penny Scott Pipes - yes, Ms. Jen Foley yes, Mr. Brendan Collins – yes, Mr. Andrew Gallagher – yes, Mr. Richard Harding – yes, Mr. Frank Snow - yes

62 Kings Way (SBL# 43-21)

Applicant: Jamie O'Donnell

Representative: Morse Engineering

Project: septic repair

Greg Morse, Morse Engineering, John Zimmer delineated property 11/21/21. Septic failed title V inspection. New title V system proposed. All work out of the 50 ft buffer. Erosion control proposed down gradient to work. Doug asked about location of system on site – Morse explained why in that location.

Agent – recommend negative determination. Not confirming resource area with finding. Great improvement to site.

Ms. Penny Scott-Pipes motion to issue a negative three for 62 Kings Way. Mr. Richard Harding second. Unanimous all in favor roll call vote (7-0). Mr. Doug Aaberg—yes, Ms. Penny Scott Pipes - yes, Ms. Jen Foley yes, Mr. Brendan Collins – yes, Mr. Andrew Gallagher – yes, Mr. Richard Harding – yes, Mr. Frank Snow - yes

57 Oceanside Dr. (SBL# 44-21) c.

Applicant: Erin Byrnes Representative: applicant

Project: expand deck/ replace existing and new footings

Erin Byrnes, owner, presented project. Recently purchased property. Would like to extend deck and will need 5 new footings, sonotubes. Doug asked about documents needed for building. Rest of documents submitted explained.

Ms. Penny Scott-Pipes motion to issue a negative three for 57 Oceanside Dr. Ms. Jen Foley second. Unanimous all in favor roll call vote (7-0). Mr. Doug Aaberg-yes, Ms. Penny Scott Pipes - yes, Ms. Jen Foley - yes, Mr. Brendan Collins – yes, Mr. Andrew Gallagher – yes, Mr. Richard Harding – yes, Mr. Frank Snow - yes

5. Administrative Items

a. **Minutes**: 11/3/2021 &11/15/21

Ms. Penny Scott-Pipes motion to accept minutes as written. Mr. Andy Gallagher second. Unanimous all in favor roll call vote (5-0). Ms. Penny Scott-Pipes – yes, Mr. Andrew Gallagher – yes, Mr. Brendan Collins – yes, Mr. Richard Harding – yes, Mr. Doug Aaberg – yes, (Frank Snow and Jen Foley had not joined yet)

b. Certificates of Compliance/ Enforcement: Humarock, Old Oaken Bucket,
 Toll Brothers – Seaside at Scituate –

16 Salt Meadow – received RDA in spring for after the fact RDA. Has NOI filed. With Norwell also, because property is in both towns. Norwell has property on the Enforcement lists. Plan to open at next meeting but recommend restoration before give orders for proposed work. John Zimmer handed in revised plans for site.

Silverado – did work on beach in Humarock without a permit. Spoke to owner of company about needing permits. Fine and letter to owner(s).

Agent – **Toll Brothers** wants reduction in bond. Maybe have them on in January. June had stormwater violations. Had meeting. Met with them recently again about penalty amount. Agent, Frank, Mr. Ohrenberger, Toll Brothers were present. At least another year before Toll is done working there.

Noticed today tree cleaning at 111 Old Oaken Bucket Road. Will contact the owners.

111 Ann Vinal road - fill in BVW. Try to get them to the table and stop filling and restore.

18 Hatherly Rd – deck in salt marsh. No permit.

Frank – should work with Craig Keefe, police, on some of these.

Penny – what about **150 First Parish Rd** – she has a permanent fence in wetland.

Agent – minor modification request received for **184 CJC**. Additional changes to request received today, thinking enough change and maybe they should file for amendment. Since received changes today, decide at future meeting so commission has chance to review. Work has started o site.

Jen Foley – good feedback from **NSRWA on event on Driftway** for decorating tree. 100 people have register gifted for event.

Agent – **7 Dunbar Lane** – we received NOI and met with Art Allen, EcoTec to review on site. Art - December 2 met on site. Reviewed the site and additional documentation on site, including letters regarding unpermitted sed work and DOA for addition in exchange for removing shed. And ANR from 2011. Two enforcement letters have been sent in past and 2014 DOA and ANR from 2011. 2011 plan showed Brad Holmes, ECR delineated. More wetland in 2011 than in 2021 delineation on site. Also looks at aerial photos including one from 2017 in his report. Shows piles of fill material that had not been graded yet within area delineated as wetland previously. Shed in wetland area. At site visit noted fill edge exists within lawn and shed area. Noted hydraulic soils between flags and fill material. Thinks 150 cu yards placed on site. In addition to unpermitted shed, did quite a bit of filling in historically wetland area. Did not spend a lot of time on NOI proposal bc violations of

Wetlands Act and Scituate Bylaw. Unpermitted clearing, fill and shed. Recommend hold NOI until resolved and restoration of areas filled.

Agent – should vote on enforcement order and restoration. Received NOI, triggered peer review. Should send notice that saving NOI until in compliance.

Art – Can consider an incomplete filing with issued with fill and delineation not representative of pre-violation conditions of site – in regards to holding the opening of the hearing.

Ms. Penny Scott-Pipes motion to issue enforcement order and request restoration plan for 7 Dunbar Lane. Ms. Jen Foley second. Unanimous all in favor roll call vote (7-0). Ms. Penny Scott Pipes - yes, Ms. Jen Foley – yes, Mr. Brendan Collins – yes, Mr. Andrew Gallagher – yes, Mr. Doug Aaberg – yes, Mr. Richard Harding – yes, Mr. Frank Snow - yes

c. Request for Minor Modification: 184 Chief Justice Cushing Hwy (Keveaney)

Agent – Engineer sent more revisions today, so will need to review and discuss at a different meeting. With several changes, suggesting an Amendment be filed.

d. Signing of Documents:

6. Adjourn, Roll Call

Ms. Penny Scott-Pipes motion to adjourn at 8:45pm. Mr. Doug Aaberg second. Unanimous all in favor roll call vote (7-0). Ms. Penny Scott-Pipes – yes, Mr. Andrew Gallagher – yes, Ms. Jen Foley – yes, Mr. Brendan Collins – yes, Mr. Richard Harding – yes, Mr. Doug Aaberg – yes, Frank Snow - yes

CORRESPONDENCE

November 15, 2021 – December 6, 2021

- 1. NOI 135 Rear Glades Rd r/r, septic
- 2. CofC request 24 Bittersweet
- 3. Abutter notification for 7 Dunbar Lane
- 4. Photos for 57 Garrison site pre construction erosion control
- 5. National Grid notice regarding Yearly Operational Plan Public Notice
- 6. Planning Board Site Plan Administrative Review for 131 Front Street
- 7. ZBA 28 Wampatuck Ave decision for special permit granted
- 8. ZBA 146 Central Ave decision on special permit granted
- 9. ZBA 8 Studley Rd special permit granted
- 10. Letter from resident regarding development in Scituate
- 11. Abutter notification for 135 R Glades Rd NOI
- 12. Recording information for CofC for Athletic Fields 68-2771 606 Chief Justice Cushing Hwy
- 13. Recording info for 261 Central Ave for 68-2547 Extension
- 14. Recording inf for 10 New Driftway for OofC 68-2699
- 15. ZBA 603 Hatherly Road vote to grant the special permit
- 16. Planning Board agenda December 9
- 17. 8 Newell orders of conditions appeal information 68-2859
- 18. Letter from DEP re: 8 Newell MEPA needed

Page 9 of 9

Minor Activity Permits

#78 - 16 Carriage house Way – trees #77 – 0 Rear Driftway – monitoring well install