

**Town of Scituate  
Conservation Commission  
Selectmen's Hearing Room  
Meeting Minutes  
April 11, 2018**

Meeting was called to order at 6:25 p.m.

**Members Present:** Mr. Snow, Chairman, Ms. Caisse, Mr. Harding, and Ms. Scott-Pipes.

**Also Present:** Amy Walkey, Agent and Carol Logue, Secretary

**Agenda:** Motion to accept the agenda Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Wetlands Hearing:** Ayers, 2 Prospect Ave. (retaining walls/parking & lawn) (cont.)

The cart has been rented for the abutter that is hard of hearing. Paul Mirabito from Ross Engineering was present at the hearing. Submitted details they were requested for the landscape boulder walls. Orange line shows 100' buffer; home is outside of it. Extended gravel for boat trailer. Another issue was the amount of disturbance; calcs show 12,500. Ms. Walkey asked them to push the limit of work line out 10', which makes the disturbance 14,600 sq. ft.; no other changes. Atty. Gregory White, representing John & Kathleen Hillman: has there been a change in the gravel driveway? Whole driveway is in the 100' buffer. A 1' x 2' wide trench will be dug, soil removed and filled with gravel, level with lawn, for the tracks of the trailer. Gravel will allow recharge of water and tires won't sink. Mr. Snow: think there was concern drive would be too short, so they extended it into the 100' buffer. It is within the area of the drain, which has been the catch-all for water. Is it going to be restricted as to how many boats? From a practical matter isn't there sufficient space next to the existing driveway on the Prospect side? No. Outline in yellow is the perimeter of the property; it is existing lawn area. What is the length of the boat parking area that is actually on private property? 40' to 45'; well out of view of any traffic. Less water will go to the basin because gravel is being used. Edge of pavement is the purple line with a cape cod berm. It will be 12' to 15' back from the pavement. Is the tree going to go? Tree is in the layout of the road; removal would be some benefit for site distance, but that is a decision for the town. Walls are for landscape purposes because of the fairly steep slope; they are at elevation 27.5', about 3.5' above the roadway grade; existing driveway has insufficient length. Could expand the space between the current driveway and the wall for an additional 10'. Can't do that because it would expand the stormwater thresholds. But it would be outside the buffer, not create site interference for clients, would keep impervious away from ocean and off the main road. John & Kathleen Hillman, were concerned about safety issues in relation to their driveway location to where the boat is going; site distance; gravel trenches bringing water to the road; contaminated pool water discharge. Old pool will be removed and new pool installed out of the buffer, will meet all the current standards. Mr. Snow: we can put an order in that states any discharge from the pool goes to the town sewer and/or ask where the discharge will go. Atty. White believes if there is any flow it will go into the buffer or onto the Hillman's property, who will be impacted by chemicals, placement of boats, and driveway. Commission's purview is the disturbance to the ground. Does topo change? Everything flows toward Edward Foster Road. Mr. Snow: what is the surface around the swimming pool? Pavers or concrete? Probably pavers. At the point when they construct the pool, look at some sort of french drain around it. Will check with the landscape architect. Commission is asking them to deal with both discharge from the pool and runoff from the property. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Wetlands Hearing:** Martin, 67 Border (raze/rebuild) (cont.)

Atty. Bill Ohrenberger, Atty. Jeff DeLisi, Paul Mirabito from Ross Engineering and Monica Martin were present at the hearing. On March 21 there was a meeting with: Paul Mirabito, Brad Holmes, Tom Liddy, Amy Walkey, and Bob Vogel to discuss stormwater (SW) and the open issues. Tom Liddy was all set with minor changes. There was discussion on the status of peer reviews. Did the numbers for redevelopment and new development; new development worked in their favor, but kept with redevelopment. Tom noted there is 892 sq. ft. reduction in impervious area and 3,077 sq. ft. of degraded area within the riverfront and a net reduction of 1473 sq. ft. of impervious surface within the 50' buffer. Included a water quality improvement swale by the tennis court. Went on to say he was looking for cross sections of how the top of the coastal bank was delineated; cross sections; that's fine. DEP's policy on how to determine coastal banks is all based on slope. This site's coastal bank doesn't provide sediment to a coastal dune or beach or protect against wave action. Most of the site is ledge. Only changes made: clarify further architectectual plans and the solid concrete wall was replaced with piers. One section will be on a wall rest of the perimeter for decks will be sonotubes or concrete piers. Silt sock line now behind the shed at the upper area of the tennis court. There is less impervious area post-development; no impact on any abutter. When ran numbers peak discharge 13.87 cu ft. per second per 100 year storm, will reduce by 7.78. Likewise for volumn control reduction .83 to .54; therefore, there is no requirement for any SW mitigation. There is landscaping along the coastal bank for stability. There was discussion regarding the SW bylaw and applicant's representative feels they meet the criteria for administrative review. Mr. Snow: transects are the first thing that popped up. Transects show DEP guidelines for determining if it meets the definition of a coastal bank. They were asked for that a while back. Top of coastal bank is difficult to determine. There is a lot of frustration with SW, but we have to try to make sure projects work within the guidelines. Want to make sure we follow the bylaws, rules and regs in this town and do our due diligence. Ms. Walkey: this is a very complicated site. Please don't exaggerate on the time line. This is redevelopment; Tom Liddy is a professional wetland scientist, not an engineer; that is one reason why he may want backup; it is an easy conformation. Don't think we are trying to complicate matters. What is the scope for the other engineer's review? He was asked to look at SW. Not trying to hold the project up. Mr. Harding: like the changes, but it is complicated. Doesn't change the fact we still have to do it right; we can't short change the project or the process. Ms. Caisse: it's clear we are in favor the reconstruction should happen, just need to be sure the numbers make sense. Monica Martin: purchased

property in May; been a very large complicated project, spent time understanding the property. Believe back in July hired Paul to provide us with expertise to comply, expecting this was complicated and it would take a little time. The issue and what's gone on, don't believe we were informed that transects were required. We want to meet the needs of the committee, however, feel like there has been no progress. Hired a landscape architect, trying to do everything up front so nothing would fall through the cracks. Taking everything seriously. Respect your job and responsibility to be sure everything is done correctly. Mr. Snow: appreciate your willingness to work with us. We try to review all properties the same; don't believe there is any repetitive review. Mr. Bjorklund: SW is the biggest disaster this town has ever seen; the costs to develop is huge, when it could be simply done with administrative review. Lynn Maloney, 49 Border: environmentally sensitive area, there is going to be a lot of development along that riverfront. Both of these properties are inappropriate for what is happening there. Ms. Walkey: with SW there are always things that have to be resolved; should be a simple review. Motion to continue to May 7, 2018 at 6:20 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Mr. Mirabito: request to instruct the peer reviewer when they e-mail report to ConCom also e-mail to Ross as a professional curiosity. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Wetlands Hearing:** Young, 18 Lowell Street (7 bedroom septic / add 1 story) (cont.)

C.J. and Jen Young, Dana Junior, and Timothy Burke, architect were present at the hearing. Board of Health has approved. Dana Junior did the septic design. Ms. Scott-Pipes: usually we ask for wood pilings; they want concrete. Had looked at wood piles, but due to the size, height and depth there is a lot of area subject to wind load. Found the wood piles wouldn't hold up. Still on pilings, helical piles with lattice of concrete that ties the piles together. They will last as long as the wood piles and there is less noise for the neighbors. There is a grid of concrete sonotube footings that support the house. There is detail of a cross section of helical piles and lattice. It is a system that is recommended by FEMA. Ms. Walkey: received a DEP file number. Ran the design by CZM and DEP and they seemed to concur it would be fine. Project seems to meet the requirement of state regs and the Scituate bylaw. Submitted a landscape plan; mostly lawn area with screen plantings; native, drought and salt resistant species. At one point there was a question if an abutter had to be a party to the application; that's resolved. You do need a limit of work behind the house. The engineer produced documentation of the property line survey. The larger piece of property is the abutter's land. The entire lot is called out as an easement for the benefit of Lot 7; Lot 6 is l-shaped. Whoever wrote the easement can park on it and put a septic anywhere on it, but the plan is to abandon what is there; a new septic will be entirely on the the applicant's lot. Existing will be pumped, excavated and removed offsite. No work proposed on the easement area or abutting lot. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Ms. Caisse. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Wetlands Hearing:** Toll Bros., Hatherly & Tilden (142 units / 10 single family7 homes) (cont.)

Atty. Bill Ohrenberger, Jeff Delisa from Ohrenberger Associates; Scott Miccillie, and Mark Manganello. Since the last time we confirm review letter from Amy Ball. Anticipate tomorrow Planning Board will vote and close. What we'd like to do is close and vote on the May 23, 2018. Nothing is changing. Mark Mangello will send out suggested special conditions. Ms. Walkey: continue and think about orders. Close at the next meeting. Atty. Ohrenberger: procedurally would like to be allowed to see draft set of conditions and give input. Commission makes their decision. Planning has 96 conditions, don't need to repeat; should be looked at. Ms. Scott-Pipes: our conditions are strictly wetland issues and how we want to see the replication, natural vegetation, and clean up of areas. Mr. Snow: we held a SW meeting with Planning and had input. Ms. Walkey: conditions will be part of a department meeting on Monday. Input we received from Horsley Witten will part of our orders. Relying heavily on that and pieces from the Planning Board. Typically we write our orders on the discussions at the meetings. All we are asking is to be able to see them. Continue to the next meeting to formulate with the Commission as far as special conditions and discuss in your presents; will distribute them in draft. In the next few days gather thoughts and work on the wording put it out in draft form so the Toll team can take a look at and have them ready for the next meeting; want to make sure we don't leave anything out. Atty. DeLisi: the special permit has the orders for the SW permit, not part of your orders, even though it was given to both boards. We will incorporate certain O&M related to the SW. Mr. Snow: if we have all the information, we can we close and issue the same night. Meet and close on the 23 and issue on May 7<sup>th</sup>. Will be getting input from many parties. Will try and do what we can. Ms. Scott-Pipes: we should close no matter what at the next meeting. Mr. Bjorklund: does it have to be on the agenda if you are doing the orders that night? By the time we post the agenda we should know if we are ready to set orders. Motion to continue to April 23, 2018 at 6:20 Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Wetlands Hearing:** Heap, 62 Glades Road (septic)\*

Waiting for Board of Health approval. Motion to continue the hearing to April 23, 2018 at 6:20 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Wetlands Hearing:** Diamond Development, 53 Border Street (raze one dwelling & new build) (cont.)

Steve Bjorklund was present at the hearing. Have Board of Health approval. There is 14,600 sq. ft. of disturbance, so we are not under the stormwater (SW) bylaw. Hopefully Zoning will act on this on the 19<sup>th</sup>. Ms. Scott-Pipes: you are saying you are not doing SW. If you go over 15,000 you will have to go to Planning. Pulled grading in 30' on left. If someone purchases and decides to do more, they can request SW, then Conservation would issue. Sensitive to the SW out there, but not changing drainage. All going to be leveled off and planted. Ms. Scott-Pipes: couldn't use the same septic? It is down toward the coastal bank. Still don't like the fill. Fill should be contained by the foundation. Roof drywells and recreate buffer where the old house is. Basically that's what he is doing. Construction pad at the front of the property. Substantial amount of fill peer review concerned about drainage patterns. Technically meets the requirement, but not strictly the Scituate bylaw. Follow-up letter went back and forth. Second site visit for \$350.00 was to verify the flags they moved. Jim Spellman: 49 Border Street: left delineation of the coastal bank. Could rebuild modestly, but chooses not to. Refuses to rebuild at the present location where it is already disturbed. Would rather bring in over a 1,000 cu. yds. of fill, calcs suggest it could be more, and remove every mature tree on the lot disturbing the only portion that is naturally vegetated, regrade the one acre parcel even over the coastal bank, and alteration in the 50' buffer. Claims he is enhancing the area. Why the foundation can't be used in the already disturbed area. The Commission has the right to approve and or prohibit. Could look at past precedence and future. By approving, risking erosion of a presently undisturbed area. He says he's placing new structure farther from coastal bank. Consulted with Peter Rosen who feels the coastal bank is delineated inaccurately, perhaps

significantly so; he's ready to testify. There is no concrete slab under the house. Find it convenient that disturbance is just under the 15,000 and the SW doesn't apply to him or this project. Deliberate skirt of the regs, that in this case this board is the most obvious authority. You have an opportunity to push back. Invitation here from Tom Liddy that you need not approve this project. No credible argument that this project is better. More harmful, when there is a perfectly acceptable alternative. By building on existing footprint, no trees, no fill, and no barrier. Respectfully request that this project not go forward. Motion to continue the hearing to April 23, 2018 at 6:20 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Wetlands Hearing:** Burwick, Lot 2 (25) Torrey's Lane (new build) (cont.)

Greg Morse was present at the hearing. Single family home. Presented about 2 weeks ago. Commission hired Ivas Environmental for a peer review; submitted a report. Property line is bold, the wetland is in blue; local under bylaw only. The house is located outside the 100' buffer, but between the 100' and 50' there is some grading. Inside the 50' to remove a stockpile of soil. Took a couple of pictures; has erosion controls around it. Restoring area with wild seed mix. As far as the wetland piece close out for an Order of Conditions. Secondly stormwater (SW) on this site. Just got Ivas's report today, need time to review. Is the pile what you are calling the gravel spot? Yes. Whole site has been altered. Appears not to be a natural wetland. Ms. Walkey: recommend time to look at the findings. May have been some comment on the proposed restoration. Mr. Morse: not hearing that additional info from the applicant is needed, should close the hearing and authorize issuance of an Order of Conditions within 21 days. Mr. Snow: you are also showing work between the 50' and 100' for stormwater; that work is within the Commission's jurisdiction. Proposing three stormwater BMPs; series of drywells in sandy soil. The back yard has a shallow grassed depression for runoff and there is a rain garden that captures driveway runoff. He brought copies of SW. This project was referred to as low impact, subject to administrative review. Discussed sections of SW bylaw. The bylaw has the clause that allows the agent to issue and shall not require a public hearing; no fee is required; and timelines for review process. Needs to be acted on within 30 days. Got a letter from the Town Clerk stating that 30 days had gone by; then the Town Clerk retracted the letter. ConCom requested a review fee, which is not part of the application. Ms. Walkey: there are inconsistencies and there will be a working group; the goal is to address some of these inaccuracies and work toward a common goal especially for single family dwellings. But we have these bylaws now that we have to work with. Requested funds for this and received the OK from Jeff Hassett. Lot 1 is running concurrently with Lot 2. Planning signed with peer reviewer and both lots will be looked at together. Lot 1 did have issues; streamline some of the comments that were already identified on Lot 2. Need it done in a timely fashion; haven't need peer reviews in the last several years. Trying to do the best that we can. Mr. Snow: can see both sides of the coins. You are trying to do the best for client and Amy is trying to do best she can to protect the residents and town. The wetland piece is relatively simple, but you are working right up to the 50' buffer to the isolated wetland. This is definitely an altered site, saw a mix of asphalt, and barrels; not pristine. We are improving a site that has been degraded, all the stuff would be cleaned up. If removal of the stock pile is too detrimental, could have an order not to remove. Ms. Walkey: that's where a peer review is good. Also these lots are not legally recognized. No approved water connection and still waiting for ZBA. ZBA has approved and issued their decision. In front of the Planning Board for endorsement of the Form A plan; don't anticipate any issues. Regarding the water main, bringing new water line down Greenfield Lane. Mr. Morse: make Ivas's recommendation part of the orders and administrative approval for the SW, which does not require a public hearing. Any modification in the SW permit, have to take one of three actions: approval, approve with conditions or deny. Could do additional mitigation outside the 100' buffer. Mr. Snow: they can request us to close. Would like to take a little time to review the SW and discuss with the agent; not thrilled about constructive approval. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Ms. Caisse. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Wetlands Hearing:** Town of Scituate/McCarthy/DPW, Cedar Point (replace gravity sewer system)\*

Mike Pollan from Weston & Sampson and Sean McCarthy from DPW were present at the hearing. Abutters notification was submitted. This area has the oldest sewers in town. Stormwater is the biggest culprit. Replacing gravity sewer system with new pressure sewers and individual grinder pump units at each house, a new six inch PVC gravity connection from the home to the new grinder pump unit and installation of electrical connection, control panel, and discharge pipe from the pump unit to the new pressure sewer within the roadway. Will use straw wattles in the roadway where needed and filter sacks within the catch basins. Will pave on a daily basis and will probably take three weeks. Will need permission slips for each home. Ms. Walkey: think it will be a great improvement in this area of town. Appears to meet the requirements of the different regulations. Going to have a meeting with residents and the local representative. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Wetlands Hearing:** Monaco, 6 Brookline Road (new garage & driveway)\*

Bob Crawford from EET Inc. and Karen Monaco were present at the hearing. Abutters' notification was submitted. Consists of a 9,000 sq. ft. lot, 100' west of Jericho Road. There is a 550' sq. garage on the west side. Resources: land subject to coastal storm flowage AE flood zone, elevation 15', grades are between 12' and 13'. Proposing to double the width of the driveway. Losing driveway to the rear. Ms. Scott-Pipes: what type of surface? Probably blacktop. Losing a lot of driveway. Goes about 6' from the corner of the garage. Mr. Snow: try to have as much pervious material as possible. Garage becomes impervious as well as the addition of the driveway. Would be nice if all or a portion could be more pervious; maybe have gutters into drywells. There are three vents in the garage. Ms. Monaco: maybe paving could go in front of the garage and to the side could put gravel? That would be a good compromise. Proposed driveway is about 1-1/2' wider than the garage, on the right - 23', 16' garage door. If you had a section 20' wide paved in front of the garage and the remaining portion would be gravel or crushed stone. Motion to close the hearing pending revised plan Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous.

**Wetlands Hearing:** Hurley, 125 River Street (new foundation under portions of dwelling)\*

Jullie Johnson with Custom Home Design was present at the hearing. Abutters' notification was submitted. Existing is a two story with a two story bump out. The single story is failing, it sits on the ground. Pulled up some of the floor and there are just bricks holding up the corners. It really is a repair; rebuilding what is there. Value is \$94,000; repair will be well under \$40,000. AE flood zone, elevation 14'; 1st floor is 10.85' 4". Came up with a pressure treated pier system; bring the floor up to match the house. Rebuild exactly what is there and put a foundation under it. Mr. Harding: only issue limit of work. There is a retaining wall and a

sidewalk. Can put a separation; it is a good size retaining wall. Mr. Snow: did Bob Vogel have any concerns if it was the correct elevation? Just under 20% of the whole house; tearing down the wing. The condition is, that what is there doesn't match building code. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Order of Conditions:** Tuck, 224 Central Ave. (reconstruct & enlarge deck)

Motion to condition the project Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Order of Conditions:** Fitzpatrick, 43 Collier Road (r/r)

Motion to condition the project Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Order of Conditions:** Millenium Maintenance & Power Sweeping, intersection of 3A & Mann Lot Road (clean up)

Motion to condition the project Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Order of Conditions:** McLaughlin, 135 Glades Road (elevate)

Motion to condition the project Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Order of Conditions:** Marshall, 17 Nelson Road (shed)

Motion to condition the project Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Sign Order of Conditions:** Welby Builders, 90 Ann Vinal Road (landscape, clear for lawn, portion of SW basin)\*

Closed and issued the same night and it wasn't signed. Should have had the Commission come in and sign, but because there is insanity in the office, that didn't happen.

Atty. Ohrenberger: re: 90 Ann Vinal Road: discussed outdated language in the orders.

**Extension:** Schwartz, 14 Kimberly Road (access driveway)

Motion to extend the Order of Conditions for three years Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Certificate of Compliance:** Tedeschi, 64 Cornet Stetson Road - OK

### **CORRESPONDENCE**

#### **February 22, 2018 – March 5, 2018**

1. Trails Committee request time on March 5 meeting per Frank Snow
2. Seaside at Scituate – February Revision 1 – Operation & Maintenance Manual for Stormwater Drainage Systems (in file)
3. Board of Health Agenda for February 26, 2018
4. 2 Prospect Ave. - Revised NOI Site Plan (in file)
5. Water Supply Exploration Project Satuit Meadow & Green Properties – January 2010
6. 43 Collier Road – Merrill – Comments have been satisfactorily addressed (in file)
7. Notification to Abutters re: Sheerin, 90 Ann Vinal Road - RDA (in file)
8. Notification to Abutters re: Curtis Estates Subdivision, 90 Ann Vinal Road (in file)
9. Herring Brook Meadow Peer Review – Woodard & Curran
10. Zoning Board Public Hearings 31 Common, 41 Beaver Dam – hearing Thursday, March 15 (to Amy)
11. 3A & Mann Lot Road – LEC – Wetland Resource Area Analysis Report and Deed (in file)
12. 31 Common Street – Wetland Delineation Memo from Brad Holmes (in file)
13. Recording of 68-2680 – Dipesa, 537 Hatherly Road Bk 49121 Pg 209 (in file)
14. Recording of 68-2692 – Town of Scituate. 100 Cole Parkway Bk 49417 Pg 184 (in file)
15. Request to continue the hearing 68-2701 - 3A & Mann Lot Road to March 19, 2018 (in file)
16. 90 Ann Vinal Road – Ross Engineering – Response to Commission's request for additional information: 1. Stormwater Calculation Report; 2. Operation & Maintenance Plan; 3. Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan; 4. Approved Subdivision Plan; 5. Approved Special Permit Flexible Open Space Development Plan. Stormwater was reviewed by Planning's consulting engineer; fill for 5 lawn areas is 350 cu yds., within the 100' buffer is 35 cu yds. & 20 cu yds. of loam. Total area of work in the buffer is 1,700. Detail on spreader trench is included in the NOI. (in file)

### **MARCH 5, 2018 MEETING CANCELLED**

### **CORRESPONDENCE**

#### **March 6, 2018 – April 11, 2018**

1. Recording of Extension 68-2024 – Town of Scituate, 117 & 119 Edward Foster Road – Bk 49581 Pg 35 (in file)
2. Revised plans for 68-2704 - 67 Border Street – Dated 3/19/18 (in file)
3. Recording of OofC for 68-2702 – Feehily, 119 Jericho Road – Cert. 125362 Bk 626 Pg 162 (in file)
4. DEP File #68-2718 – Heap, 62 Glades Road (in file)
5. Revised site plan, title 5 septic layout and landscape plan for 18 Lowell Street (in file)
6. 18 Cliff Road – would like to file a permit to bring backfill to buttress the wall and fill in the lawn – what form after she and neighbors discuss with a contractor. (April 3 e-mail - Roeder)
7. Go Green encroachment issue – complaints
8. Recording of OofC for 68-2710 – Bongarzone, 17 Gates Circle (in file)

9. 12 Revere 68-2686 – Engineer's certification, as-built and check (in file)
10. Recording of OofC for 68-2709 – Turner, 121 Glades Road – Cert. 125600 Bk 00627 Pg 200 (in file)
11. 135 OOB, Amari – 68-2561 – regarding plantings. (in file)
12. BOH - 21 Central Avenue - Septic system in failure
13. Economic Development Commission Agenda for April 11, 2018
14. DEP File #68-2720 – Hurley, 125 River Street (in file)
15. DEP File #68-2721 – Monaco Trust, 6 Brookline Road (in file)
16. Planning Board re: Form A Plan for property located at 25 & 31 Torrey's Lane – Comments no later than April 11, 2018 (to Amy)
17. BOH – 7 Milton Street – septic replaced – waiting for as-built to be able to issue CofC
18. Zoning Board re: 50' frontage lot at 25 & 31 Torrey's Lane –GRANTED (in #25 Torrey's Lane file)
19. Planning Board Agenda for April 12, 2018
20. Request for CofC for 68-1505 – Tedeschi, Lot 1, 64 Cornet Stetson Road – Request, as-built, engineer's verification, check (in file)
21. Letter re: 2 Prospect Ave. – Revised plan shows total square footage of disturbance 12,561 sf; stone retaining wall details; boat storage area behind an 8' hedge & picture (to members & in file)
22. The Beacon
23. Request for a Partial CofC for 101, 103, 105 Hatherly Road – 68-2620 – Request, As-built, engineers' verification, check (in file)
24. DEP File #68-2722 – Skolnick, 4 Postscript Lane (in file)
25. Site Plan for 2 Prospect Ave – Limit of work area (in file)
26. 43 Collier Road – North River Commission's Decision from October 3, 2017 (in file)
27. BOH letter re: 129 Ann Vinal Road re: progress made for bringing yard into compliance.
28. Ivas report re: Lot 2, Torrey's Lane (in file)
29. Request to continue 62 Glades Road
30. Lot 2 Torrey's Lane – 68-2711 - Report from Steve Ivas (in file)
31. 31 Common Lane – 68-2717 – Report from Steve Ivas (in file)
32. 67 Border Street – 68-2704 – Lucas report - applicant has not enough supplied enough info for the Commission to set OofC (in file)

Motion to adjourn the meeting Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Ms. Caisse. Motion passed by unanimous vote.  
Meeting adjourned at 10:25: p.m.

Respectfully submitted,  
Carol Logue, Secretary