

**Town of Scituate  
Conservation Commission  
Selectmen's Hearing Room  
Meeting Minutes  
February 5, 2018**

Meeting was called to order at 6:18 p.m.

**Members Present:** Mr. Snow, Chairman, Ms. Caisse, Ms. Foley, Mr. Harding, Mr. Parys, and Ms. Scott-Pipes.

**Also Present:** Amy Walkey, Agent and Carol Logue, Secretary

**Agenda:** Motion to accept the amended agenda to include discussion for a Trail Grant and update on beach nourishment and dredging Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Request for Determination:** Columbia Gas, portion of Turner Road (replace gas main/connect to properties)\*

**Request for Determination:** Columbia Gas, portions of Cherry Lane/Birch Lane/Ocean Ave. (replace gas main/connect to properties)\*

Dana Altobello from Merrill Engineers and Land Surveyors was present at the hearing. The projects are for gas main replacements for Turner Road: 2,450 feet and Cherry Lane, Birch Lane and Ocean Ave. 2,060 with connections to residents. Work is within existing maintained roadways, some within the 100' buffer, land subject to flooding and Turner Road barrier beach. This is an open trench method backfilled in one day; no open trenches overnight; using erosion controls to protect the catch basins. No work will be done in wet weather; no impacts to wetlands. Ms. Scott-Pipes: when do you plan on doing the work? Early spring. Don't want to do it in June, will get crowded. Usually have temporary paving each night and come back in a few months to pave, normally in early fall. Gravel areas will remain. Ms. Walkey: only resource delineation was done January 9, there was quite a bit of snow cover, but don't see a major hindrance; not confirming the wetland line. Both qualify for a negative 3, 5 and 6. Utility in a roadway could be exempt under WPA, but not under the bylaw. Mr. Snow: do they typically contact the agent before they start? Typically we do some type of preconstruction. Can put that in the determination; contractors have been calling. Motion for a negative 3, 5 and 6 determination for Turner Road #3: "The work described in the Request is within the Buffer Zone, as defined in the regulations, but will not alter an Area subject to protection under the Act. Therefore, said work does not require the filing of a Notice of Intent, subject to the following conditions (if any)." Call the Conservation Office for a preconstruction. #5. The area described in the Request is subject to protection under the Act. Since the work described therein meets the requirements for the following exemption, as specified in the Act and the regulations, no Notice of Intent is required. Exempt Activity (site applicable statutory / regulatory provisions): Section 30700 of the Town of Scituate Code of Bylaws. 6. The area and/or work described in the Request is not subject to review and approval by: Scituate. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote. Motion for a negative 3, 5 and 6 (see above) on Cherry Lane, Birch Lane and Ocean Ave. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Wetlands Hearing:** Town of Scituate, 26 & 32 Gardiner Road (drainage easement) (cont.)

Applicant requested a continuance. Waiting for confirmation from abutter regarding creating a new easement and extension of pipe. Requested March 5. Motion to continue the hearing to March 5, 2018 at 6:15 Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Wetlands Hearing:** Toll Bros., Hatherly & Tilden Roads (142 units / 10 single family homes) (cont.)

Atty. Bill Ohrenberger Ohrenberger Associates; Scott Miccile, PE, Mark Manganello, Wetlands Scientist, LEC Environmental; and Kevin Kline, Engineer were present at the hearing. Expect to probably have a vote at Planning March 6 (8). Horsley Witten is just about finished with the stormwater. This has been continued with Conservation for about six months and the purpose tonight is to find out if there are any outstanding issues and talk about a safety issue on Hatherly Road. Met with DPW and Horsley Witten and discussed with Planning about safety issues at the corner of Hatherly Road and Sixth Ave. We agreed to try and find a way to correct the problem. There are a series of catch basins and a small swale along Hatherly Road adjacent to the wetlands. Planning to capture what is coming off the site and pipe it down Sixth Ave., instead of ponding and puddling. Sketch plan was prepared for DPW and submitted to the members. Adding three catch basins and crossing Hatherly Road with new 24" pipe down Sixth Ave. Can't go right down Hatherly Road with new catch basins because of all the utilities, so we're partly in the roadway shoulder and partly on site. There is an existing pipe on the north side of Sixth Ave., but not sure where. Proposing to install new pipe to tie into the manholes down to where there is an existing 24" pipe. Starting at the east of Norwell Terrace, there are two catch basins with a series of 12" pipes which will be replaced with 24". Work will be done in the roadway of Sixth Ave.. and the concrete part of Sixth will have new sewer and water lines. This is what the town's consultant and DPW came up with. Ms. Walkey: does look like something we would support. Wondered if you have looked further to see what it would do to the NOI or impacts. Mark Manganello: 10 x 10 area. One catch basin at the edge of the wetland; so there would be some impact with that one, but there is more than enough replication to cover that disturbance. Will put square footage of disturbance in writing. Correct the form too. Mr. Snow: add some erosion controls to the plan along that edge. Mr. Ohrenberger: In October Mark submitted a detailed letter about the 15,000 additional wet meadow that was added. Wanted to make sure if there was anything else the Commission wanted we could address it. Expect to be voting at the March 8 Planning Board meeting. Will submit final plans a week from today. Need our peer review report, there is no final wetland sign off either. Wondered if you could put us on for the 5<sup>th</sup> and if everything is copacetic we won't have to meet with you, but if there are any last minute issues we would like the opportunity to address them.

Ms. Walkey: won't really know until we get the final plans and peer review. Consultant has walked through the stormwater design with Ms. Walkey, reiterated some unresolved issues, but narrowing in on final approval. Can pencil in for March 5 and if there are issues, we could discuss. Mr. Snow: quorum – all should be able to vote except Jenn, but she can certainly comment. Mr. Ohrenberger: going to sit down with Karen Joseph and run through things in advance, so at the public meeting everything has been hashed over. We also avail ourselves to Amy to sit down and assist in any way we can. Mr. Snow: we chose Horsley to do both facets of the project, so hopefully they are working together. Mr. Ohrenberger: anticipating after March 5, if possible the Orders would be in a format to be discussed and hopefully voted. Would like to be in contact with Amy to look over the Orders. Ms. Walkey: so in other words you want to see draft conditions before the meeting. Mr. Ohrenberger: Yes. Brad Washburn has been good at figuring out all the different departments to keep them up to speed. Mr. Snow: we also don't want our hands tied. Mr. Ohrenberger: are there any unaddressed issues? Ms. Scott-Pipes: think we need to see the final plans. The plans on hand are fairly complete. Ms. Walkey: it is one thing to look at plans and see where roadways, drainage, etc. are, but when it goes to actually construct, might be helpful to have a breakdown, on what it is going to look like. Built in phases, would like more detail, like are they going to cut all the trees, etc. Hasn't been much discussion on that. There are two sheets that have about 3,000 words on construction sequence. Is there an executive summary; a condensed version? Maybe something would jump out at the members. What we have for the construction and phasing is the result of talking with Planning, recommendations of the consultant and DPW. It is numbered list on the plans. Mr. Snow: maybe there would be an opportunity to meet with a couple of members at a time to go over the plans. Ms. Walkey: most of the detail will be in drainage, erosion, sediment plans and grading, which are only on a few sheets. Is there an executive summary on the stormwater document? If you don't have one, we can get you one. We just need an understanding of it before we write conditions, so we know what to key in on. Mr. Snow: project is so large and complex; that is part of the reason we have a consultant. That's why we need to follow what the consultants have said. Realize we are part of the drainage because of all the wetlands, but mitigation and plantings is our bigger concern and the impact on the wetlands. Are we comfortable with the mitigation? Amy Ball has talked to Mark about that. Want to be assured when it is done we achieve the goals we thought; minimizing loss of habitat with the drainage more habitable to wildlife. Take a look at that to be sure we are comfortable; phasing is important also. Ms. Walkey: if the information is there and in a presentable format we could find it easier. Motion to continue the hearing to March 5, 2018 at 6:20 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Wetlands Hearing:** Millennium Maintenance & Power Sweeping / Ross, Intersection of Chief Justice Cushing Hwy. (3A) & Mann Lot Road\*

Applicant requested a continuance to March 5, 2018. Motion to continue the hearing to March 5, 2018 at 6:25 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Wetlands Hearing:** Young, 18 Lowell Street (raze/rebuild/septic)\*

Jed Hannon and the Mr. & Mrs. Young and Dana Junior were present at the hearing. Abutters' notification was submitted. Existing three bedroom house, significant damage from a burst water pipe; decided to raze and rebuild a seven bedroom house. South River is to the west, Atlantic ocean to the east. FEMA AE flood zone, elevation 13', land subject to coastal storm flowage, barrier beach, dunes; 180' to South River; 480' to the beach and coastal area. Footprint: green on the plan is the proposed, existing is in yellow. Overall footprint is actually less in square footage. There is an existing foundation that will be removed. Requires footings because more than 50% of the structure is being rebuilt; it is considered a new project. There are new 12" and 16" diameter sonotubes being installed. Fair amount of piles as well as the sonotubes. Finished floor well above FEMA 13', about 14.3'; existing is around 11'. Ms. Scott-Pipes: do you have Board of Health (BOH) approval? No, down to a few comments. Why not wood pilings? They are going to be wood pilings followed by sonotubes. Would prefer all wood pilings. Did you get any comments from DEP? No. Ms. Walkey: did you submit a revised Notice of Intent (NOI) to DEP? Yes. Basically the same footprint, not enlarging. Mr. Harding: very unusual to use sonotubes. Mr. Snow: proposing helical pilings under a monolithic footing. Are they done at each sonotubes? Yes. Spread footing with a sonotube above. Ms. Caisse: what was the thought process? Provides lateral support, rather than just vertical support. Ms. Scott-Pipes: how much disturbance? Fairly minimal. Have a major issue not using wooden pilings. Mr. Parys: can drive down Central and see rotting wooden pilings. Mr. Snow: have tried to avoid monolithic footing, essentially going to dig out the area, down 4', but also tearing down a house and removing a foundation and digging out that whole area, instead of having individual footings; they are pouring a continuous footing. Never seen it with helical piers underneath. Mr. Parys: if they proposed a vented foundation, you would have disturbance all the way around. Just another method for a foundation. Mr. Harding: not concerned with principle, but why and how it is going to work. Mr. Snow: they are not pouring a full foundation, but a footing below grade. Mr. Harding: want to be sure it meets the rules and regs. Ms. Walkey: consistent with Penny and Richard whether it meets the requirements of the regulations. Think it would be helpful to have DEP weigh in; could reach out to them. There should be no buried footings in AE flood zone, is the information received from CZM. The plan set is confusing, can see why all the questions. Met with building and have been back and forth as to what is happening here. Mr. Parys: in an AE zone you can pour a foundation and have vents. Ms. Caisse: also have been told you can't pour a foundation on a barrier beach. Mr. Parys: been approving in an AE zone. Ms. Walkey: different on a barrier beach and coastal dune. Barrier beach that might be the distinction. Mr. Snow: need erosion controls between house and septic; a lot of work is being done. Amy recommended a mulch sock all around the perimeter. Vegetation that we should be concerned about? Mr. Harding: one cedar bush. Mr. Snow: any idea of what owner will be doing? Need some sort of plantings and planting plan. See a brick walk, circular stone driveway; any other parking proposed? Parking on the right side. Anything they may be thinking about should be covered now. Ms. Caisse: there are quite a few trees. Lawrence & Susan Gianinno, 24 Lowell Street: abutters to this have all the firs and bushes. The shared easement is really disturbed. Dana Junior designed the septic: there is a reserve area that runs parallel to Lowell Street. Small septic, 1,000 gallon tank now opposite Lowell Street. Excavate tank, fill and remove; it is close to the lot line. Septic on Lowell Street side, almost in the middle of property. It will lead to a seven bedroom leaching field at least 12' from the street side of Central and at least 10 feet from any property line; all on the subject lot; no variances required. Leaching is in the easement now. Mr. Harding: how deep does the leaching go? 5' above the groundwater table. One foot difference than what it is now. Lawrence Gianinnos: welcome the installation of septic and removal of old and the erosion protection. Clearly want to make sure there is no runoff onto the eastern property line and easement. An excavation down to the tank has been done already, on part of their property. When the work is

done asking the area be restored. Concerned about the crushing, filling, and concrete removal. Want to put in own vegetative plan to the Commission after the work is completed to finish the planting that was envisioned. There is an encroachment of the deck at the edge of the property, before they do anything on their property, want to be sure the easement property is protected and that we can carry out the vegetation plan referred to. Will have to do excavation under existing home, what type of fill? Mr. Snow: erosion control is temporary with a silt sock around the property. Don't want to see runoff go to the abutters. Ms. Scott-Pipes: all concrete has to be removed. NOI covers both pieces of property. Mr. Gianinnos: part of the easement is to maintain and repair. If someone else is impacted, they are part of the filing. May be covered by the fact that there is already an easement. Look at deeds to figure out ownership and how to describe. Mr. Snow: need answers regarding grading, clarify quantity and type of fill, erosion controls, and DEP for the footings. Ms. Walkey: spent a lot of time on the revised footing plans with Bob Vogel; does not meet the requirements if it is actually buried. If they were above the ground we thought they were in compliance. Mr. Hannon: could keep the footing above grade. Bob and Amy will look at again. Motion to continue the hearing to March 5, 2018 at 6:30 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Wetlands Hearing:** Feehily Investment Trust, 119 Jericho Road (raze/rebuild) (cont.)

Applicant's representative requested a continuance to February 21, 2018. Motion to continue the hearing to February 21, 2018 at 7:25 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Wetlands Hearing:** Diamond Development, 53 Border Street (raze one dwelling & new build)\*

Brad Holmes and Stephen Bjorklund were present at the hearing. Abutters notification was submitted. Property south side of Border along the marsh to the tidal Gulf River, beginning of a tidal river is the mean high water; a survey elevation based on tides. Riverfront does not fall onto the site. BVW upgradient of the salt marsh delineated by John Zimmer, shown by a blue line, land subject to coastal storm flowage, and FEMA elevation 12' shown as dotted line. Coastal bank because of topography, shown with a dark line, delineated by Jim O'Connell, using DEP policy for anything with a greater 4 to 1 slope. Jim also included a conservative factor of 1' flood elevation, coastal bank is a little higher than normal, to take into account sea level rise. Not a sediment producer, coastal bank by definition, helps defer flood impacts. Showed 50' and 100' buffer to top of the coastal bank. Have shown a yellow line downgradient to the BVW 50' buffer. Involves some proposed work in the coastal bank and buffer zone to top of coastal bank. Proposing a single family dwelling. Along the westerly property line is an existing single family dwelling, deck, and paved driveway; sits in portions and just beyond the top of the coastal bank. Moving it back from the coastal bank. Previously approved by BOH, but moved septic tanks; revision not approved yet. With grading, we were able to keep proposed asphalt driveway out of the 50' buffer to the top of the coastal bank; existing is within the 50'. Proposing naturalized planting beds along the edge of work; silt sock will be along the limit of work. Condition #3 and #4 of the buffer strip policy allows enhancement in the buffer strips and allows for preexisting conditions in the buffer strip. Site predates the regulations and we do comply with the regulations. Shaded area on plan, existing area will be loamed and seeded. Not within the coastal bank with the proposed project. Existing shed and house would be removed, therefore making a betterment by removing the house from the coastal bank. Ms. Scott-Pipes: don't quite understand why you can't rebuild in the area that is completely disturbed. Typically it's a betterment to move from the coastal bank. Would need a lot of earth work in that area, would be difficult to limit the work area and there would be some safety issues. Doesn't give a lot of ability for protection with erosion controls. Moves asphalt and structure away. Impervious area is decreased by 28 sq. ft. But you are taking land that hasn't been touched. #1 put foundation, put house in, put driveway. How much fill is being brought in? 2,000 yards. Most of that is beyond the 50' buffer. Ms. Caisse: how much is going in the 50'? Just around the back corner of the garage. Could reduce the amount of fill if another retaining wall was put in, but what is there blends into the ledge. Consultant made a comment about blasting, but filling over the ledge; not blasting at all. Ms. Scott-Pipes: got the consultant's report today; need to read it; he has some suggestions. Brad did look at it and have addressed most of the comments he had. Do have a DEP file number with no comments. A few other pieces, but mainly housekeeping. Mr. Parys: tough one, new area of disturbance, with a new house, but can see people saying move it away from the coastal bank. Mr. Bjorklund: don't need stormwater, not close to the 25%; did not apply. Mr. Whitman: show grading onto his property, small retaining wall that runs along the two property lines. Part of what we are doing, will need an additional filing, can remove the retaining to make a better back yard for him. Ms. Walkey: thanks for the introduction. Most of what we see in this project, doesn't meet the WPA or the bylaw. Amount of fill within in the buffer, types, quantities. Glade to know there will be no blasting. Recommend each commissioner go to the site. Mr. Bjorklund: don't know if you want to set up a time for a site visit, individually or a group. Amy an organize it. Brad: top of coastal bank is there because of the increased flood elevation, before that it was down at elevation 7' and it wasn't a coastal bank. How does the project impact the coastal bank? Are you going to destabilize it? No beach below, coastal bank by definition only. Fall into the 50' buffer zone, but it is not like we are protecting a BVW. Jim Spellman, 49 Border Street: runs the eastern and southern perimeter of their land except for about 20'. The shed and garden is his. Lived there 36 years and was President of the Gulf Association for many years. Have had assess to Lucas report also. We will deal with the Lucas report at the next meeting. They address a number of concerns, well within the 50' buffer; present area is already disturbed. Exposed ledge outcropping they believe will require blasting. Property at 61 Border, took over a year of chipping away at the ledge. The fill line goes right down to the coastal bank. Whole sweep of property 8' of fill, for no conceivable reason, just to site a bigger house. Present owners of the property devastated the woodlot, that exact thing is almost certain to happen here. Most importantly they too question the demolition of the single family for a new house entirely outside the only buffer that is vegetated. Several large trees will have to be cut and many mature trees will be jeopardized; plus there is ledge; tree roots are easily damaged. Damage now is from Bonomi's backhoe going over and over the property. What type of a structure is going to be allowed on this site. It is approved for a 4 bedroom; less than 2400 sq. ft. house. Lucas: why shouldn't the existing structure be used. Will create a much larger disturbance. Will challenge that the proposed building site is significantly further from the coastal bank. Coastal bank is complicated as he reads it, southwest corner less than a 4 to 1 grade. Simply not true that they are putting the house farther from the coastal bank. It has been reviewed by Brad Holmes and Jim O'Connell. Brad: the BVW wetland line and coastal bank is accurate. When you look at DEP manual have to consider topo for the slope. Delineating a BVW and a Coastal bank is different. Mr. Snow: what is the difference in square footage between the proposed versus the existing house; impervious area versus proposed dwelling, amount of fill, planting plan - how the area where the existing house will be vegetated? Loamed and seeded. Fertilizes, what about trees? Vegetated area is bushes. Proposal is to take out the whole house. Removal of trees where the new house is going? Continue the wetland line. Wetland line goes onto Spellman's

property. Wetlands line is delineated by vegetation and soils. Line was stopped at the the property line. Mr. Bjorklund: did not set any new flags. Took flags that were there resurveyed the existing flags. Our consultant reviews the wetland line If you do not want flags on your property, at a minimum they can look at the corner of the lot. Jim Spellman: examine the original lines, somebody took out flags. Mr. Bjorklund: if it is determined by the botanist can he hang a flag on your property? Yes. Retaining wall might be better behind the garage. Ms. Walkey: need to gather our thoughts and there is information missing, could be incomplete, but could come out with the peer review. Motion to continue the hearing to March 5, 2018 at 6:35 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Wetlands Hearing:** Seaver, 28 Gilson Road (addition & deck)\*

Greg Morse, Morse Engineering and Christine Seaver were present at the hearing. Abutters' notification was submitted. Project is an addition to a single family residence. Property line is shown in bold on the plan and Brad Holmes limit of inland bank associated with a coastal river is shown with the 100' inner riparian and 200' riparian is off the page. The entire lot is in the riparian zone, salt marsh, and a BVW, red line is the 50' buffer, green is the 100' buffer. In FEMA AE flood zone at elevation 14'. Existing dwelling is in the middle of the lot, adding l-shaped addition to the back, with a proposed deck; old deck being removed. Both substantially located over an existing deck in lawn areas; deck and addition on 9 sonotubes, 13' away from the wetland, pulling back 15' from the wetlands. Ms. Scott-Pipes: lawn, all in the 50', old house. Mr. Parys: minimal impact in a lawn area. Ms. Walkey: riverfront project, but no alternatives are required; meets the requirements of WPA and bylaw. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Extension:** Deer Common, 530 Chief Justice Cushing Hwy. (Bylaw Only)

Motion to extend the Order of Conditions for three years Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Town of Scituate, 117 & 119 Edward Foster Road (dredgng/seawall/Marine Park Improvements (68-2024 – requesting 5 years)

Motion to extend the orders for five years Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Order of Conditions:** Lindberg, 265 Central (remove/replace approx. 24 lf of foundation)

Motion to condition the project Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Order of Conditions:** McKay, 20 & 22 Ocean Front Street (repair fence/add fence/backfill/restore dune)

Motion to condition the project Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Order of Conditions:** Freeman, 115 Glades Road (repair septic)

Motion to condition the project Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Ms. Scott-Pipes: Nancy Holt e-mail her a state grant for recreational trails. Just received today; need to reply by the February 15. Grant might be super for the Driftway Park. A lot of paperwork that needs to be done. Ms. Foley asked what type of work? A lot of maps; might be too late. Ms. Walkey: recreational trails come out every year. Think it needs to be thought out more.

Mr. Snow: Bids are back on the trails; mapping piece moving forward. Walked some of the trails where they have been working. Folks have been out to the Damon property doing a lot of work. Commission needs to go out to the dog park. Looks like a different spot than what they originally proposed; conflicts around that area.

Mr. Harding: saw Nancy Durfee last week. Finally have the approvals to move forward with the dredging in coroperation with Marshfield of the South River. Will be going off the beach and will not need permission of the owners. Will be going for the permits in the next month, as soon as the timeline allows, think maybe September. Going off the beach off people's properties. Do not have to send the material anywhere else. Marshfield has to pay the bill. We paid half when Marshfield dredged.

Ms. Walkey: Met with the Advisory Committee last Thursday night regarding the budget. Doesn't have a lot of surprises. One item is funding for the 10 hour a week position for Patrick Gallivan, if he choses to stay. Their response was positive, hope it will be funded through the next year. Hopefully we get approval from Selectmen.

**Minutes:** January 8, 2018

Motion to accept the minutes of January 22, 2018 Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**EXECUTIVE SESSION:** To discuss and strategy with respect to litigation because an open meeting may have a detrimental effect on the litigating position of the public body. The item to be discussed will be 24 Webster Street. The board will not reconvene to the open meeting at the end of Executive Session.

Motion to Adjourn the regular meeting and go into Executive Session at 9:11 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Roll call vote Penny Scott-Pipes, yes; Richard Haring, yes; Paul Parys, yes; Lisa Caisse, yes; Jenn Foley, yes; Frank Snow, yes.

Motion to leave Executive Session Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Roll Call Vote: Penny Scott-Pipes, Yes; Bill Schmid, Yes; Richard Harding, Yes; Lisa Caisse, Yes; Frank Snow, Yes.

Motion to authorize Town Counsel to proceed as discussed in Executive Session Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**CORRESPONDENCE****January 23, 2018 – February 5, 2018**

1. DEP File #68-2704 – Martin, 67 Border Street (in file)
2. DEP File #68-2705 – Freeman, 115 Glades Road (in file)
3. Planning Board Notice of Decision – Wireless Antenna on Utility Pole Special Permit – 14 Allen – approved with conditions
4. Planning Board Notice of Decision – Wireless Antenna on Utility Pole Special Permit – 32 Harvard – approved with conditions
5. Planning Board Notice of Decision – Wireless Antenna on Utility Pole Special Permit – 26 Kenilworth – approved with conditions
6. Request for Extension – SC#07-11A – Deer Common, 530 CJCH (in file)
7. Board of Health re: septic in failure, 51 Williamsburg Lane – upgrade within one year from December 23, 2016.
8. Board of Health re: septic determined to be in failure, 7 Milton Street. If septic not installed by February 26, 2018, will be discussed at BOH meeting on March 12.
9. Zoning hearings for February 15, 2018 – 14-16 Old Country Way, 18 Lowell, 25 & 31 Torrey's Lane (to Amy)
10. Revised plan January 23, 2018 for Freeman, 115 Glades Road – septic (in file)
11. Board of Health re: septic system failure at 1 Tilden Avenue – in failure for more than 2 years. Discussing at February 12<sup>th</sup> meeting.
12. Board of Health Agenda for January 29, 2018
13. Revised plan for Martin, 67 Border Street – revisions: relocation of garage to the left side & detached from the house. Retaining wall and stairs adjacent to the garage have been revised and are shown on Sheet 2 of 3. Sheets 1 & 3 have no revisions (in file)
14. Abutter notice from NORWELL ZONING re: Curtis Chin & Stephanie Bliss, 330 Old Oaken Bucket Road – seeking approval for an in-law apartment in the basement.
15. 119 Jericho Road – Peer Review Chessia (in file)
16. Request for a CofC for Paparazzo, 17-19 Bassin Lane – Engineer's verification, check, Request, photos – post construction, copy of recorded OofC, As-built plan (in file)
17. Scituate Education Foundation: Informing Conservation their intention to hold a fundraiser at Kennedy's Country Gardens on Saturday, April 7, 2018. Invited to join them or go to website for more information [info@scituateeducationfoundation.org](mailto:info@scituateeducationfoundation.org). 545-8815
18. Notification to Abutters re: Turner, 121 Glades Road – deck addition (in file)
19. Wetland Comparison Plan for #32 Gardiner Road (in file)
20. DEP File #68-2706 – Seaver, 28 Gilson Road (in file)
21. Notification to Abutters re: Ayers, 2 Prospect Avenue (in file)
22. Request for a continuance for 32 Gardiner Road – drainage improvement project. Waiting to hear back from abutters regarding creating a new easement and extending the pipe. (in file)
23. Massachusetts Wildlife magazine
24. Zoning Board re: Gattuso, 17 Utility Road. Requested to raze an addition and construct a larger addition – Granted
25. Revised plan for 10 New Driftway showing the wetland flags dated 1/31/18 – sent to members (in file)
26. 53 Border Street – Lucas peer review report (in file) (Amy is to send to members)
27. 67 Border Street – Lucas peer review report (in file) (Amy is to send to members)
28. Board of Health re: 65 Hollett Street – Septic System Operations & Maintenance Requirements
29. Planning Board Agenda for February 8, 2018
30. ECR / Brad Holmes re: Edward Foster Road – across from 2 Prospect Street. Delineated and confirmed BVW in 2011 by Paul Shea. January 31<sup>st</sup> re-delineated reflagged BVW A16 to A31. The current delineation follows the past delineation. (in file)
31. Hancock Associates report on Rt. 3A & Mann Lot Road Fuel Release Remediation 68-2701. (in file)
32. The Beacon
33. 8 Holmes – 68-2670 - Chapter 91 – Change in height. Complaint from abutter they couldn't walk under the pier. Raised to 5.04' above Mean High Water Line. Revised 1/29/18. (in file)
34. Planning Board re: Site Plan Administrative Review – Community Dog Park, 167 Driftway – hearing 2/22/18. (to Amy)
35. Request to continue 119 Jericho Road to 2/21/18 (in file)
36. Peer Review Services for Scituate Culvert Replacement Projects – Woods Hole Group
37. 53 Border Street – more info - 3 items (in file)
38. Request to continue Millenium Power Sweeping, 3A & Mann Lot Road (in file)

Meeting adjourned 9:35 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,  
Carol Logue, Secretary