

**Town of Scituate
Conservation Commission
Town Hall Selectmen's Hearing Room
Meeting Minutes
January 13, 2016**

Meeting was called to order at 6:22 p.m.

Members Present: Mr. Snow, Chairman, Ms. Caisse, Mr. Harding, Mr. Parys, Mr. Schmid and Ms. Scott-Pipes.

Also Present: Patrick Gallivan, Agent, Carol Logue, Secretary

Agenda: Motion to accept the agenda Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Request for Determination: Historical Society, 16 Country Way (install 7 steps to complete access to Gristmill) (cont.)
Applicant requested a continuance. Motion to continue to February 17, 2016 Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Request for Determination: O'Neill, 14 Stanton Lane (cobble nourishment for septic & pilings)*

Jim O'Connell, Coastal Geologist and Steven & Kelli O'Neil were present at the hearing. Photos were shown. Stanton Lane is a vulnerable coastal area. O'Neils own the lot to the south #12 and the unnumbered lot to the north. Dwelling is pile supported, more than 2' above base flood elevation; in compliance with FEMA and state building code; precisely the elevation of the benchmark. Septic system is exposed. Need to protect it and the pilings with cobble nourishment, approximately 4700 sq. ft. / 263 cu. yds. research shows that 4" to 6" subrounded cobble goes landward and some moves seaward to nourish other parts of the beach. Didn't think a survey was appropriate since topo is nonexistent once storms move the cobble. Fill would be 2' high on the north side of the house, 12" over the septic and 2' at an angle on the south side; slope would eventually be lessened by storms. To provide longevity to the cobble, would like to move existing boulders in front of the pilings. Believe they were brought in before 1978; they are not natural and their location is basically doing nothing. If allowed to reset the boulders, no filter fabric would be used. They will not effect the migration or adversely effect the function of the beach, will be redistributed over time, and believe would be fully compliment. Ms. Scott-Pipes: fine with the cobble, but concerned about moving the boulders. What type of equipment? Also wonder if this should have been a Notice of Intent. Can move the boulders with the same equipment as the cobble; small dozer or small front end loader. Mr. Parys: tight tank or leaching field? Leaching field? Will research to see if boulders should go in front of the septic. Will have pre- and post-construction photos; will monitor and inform the Commission. Ms. Caisse: Where will you get the cobble? There is a quarry on Cape Cod or PA Landers; no sand, just cobble. Mr. Gallivan: wouldn't allow new boulders now, not sure how the state will view approval without a Notice of Intent. They are almost in front of the house now. In 1978 there was a big revetment. Part of an Order was to remove all the steel sheeting and as much concrete as possible. Louise Pfund: dangerous things are being exposed all the time, like steel bars and other stuff from years ago. Shheeting is getting more exposed every year. Mr. Snow: Is the cobble similar to what is to the north? It is a little more angular, will tend to slump, and won't have the tendency to move landward. Not as much protection as the subrounded. Another portion for a filing is to maintain the access road, it is undermining the beach. There has been discussion of letting the road get higher, rather than digging down. That is OK for a mixed sediment beach, but if you build the berm too high, you start narrower the barrier beach. Motion for a negative 3 determination - "The work described in the Request is within the Buffer Zone, as defined in the regulations, but will not alter an Area subject to protection under the Act. Therefore, said work does not require the filing of a Notice of Intent, subject to the following conditions (if any)." 1. Sample of cobble shall be provided for approval by the Commission; 2. Before work begins there shall be a preconstruction on site. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Town of Scituate/Bangert, Country Way (multi use trail)*

Al Bangert was present at the hearing. 1. Commission asked what the impact would be to the Watershed Protection District. Followed up with the Zoning Officer; his opinion was the construction of the recreational trail was not a concern; preexisting use, disturbed area and improvement to drainage. 2. Peer review of the project; spoke with DPW, spring of 2013 they oversaw Horsely & Whitten's, 30% and 50% design stages; meets or exceeds public work. 3. Contacted John Clarkson, Chairman of Waterways and updated him of what the project is. Mr. Gallivan: areas where they cross streams that feed the reservoir, want to see erosion controls and want a preconstruction. Erosion controls are shown on the plan. Greg Morse: does it meet DEP's Stormwater Management standards? They included all the drainage on all the areas and added cleanup from the street. Checked off as part of DEP stormwater standards and constituents redevelopment. Satisfied. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: DeConcilis, 142 Humarock Beach Road (septic repair)*

Bob Crawford, EET was present at the hearing. Abutters' notification was submitted. Replacing existing cesspool with a 1500 gallon tank, and 15' x 32' leaching chambers. Elevation between 9' and 10'. House itself is in a VE flood zone, but entire septic is in an AO flood zone. Connection of water main partial and partial relocation of gas service. Ms. Scott-Pipes: existing cesspools? One will probably pumped and dug out, but the other is on the abutting property; can't do anything about that one. Can't see a pipe going between the two. Board of Health requires showing abutting systems. There is a big area of vegetation, replace any that is impacted. Tried to keep it away from it. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Forde, 31 Hawthorne Street (elevate)*

Darren Grady from Grady Consulting was present at the hearing. Abutters' notification was submitted. Proposing to raze the existing dwelling onto concrete piers. Resources: Barrier beach, FEMA flood zone AO elevation 2', and coastal dune, but not near where the work is being done. Does have a DEP file #. Going up 2' or 3' in the same footprint. Foundation plan was shown and structural plans satisfy the Commission. Mr. Gallivan: couple of areas should be avoided. Vegetation behind the property and the passageway. Put in silt fence or snow fence to mark work area. Concerned about the dune getting disturbed. Order to access property from Hawthorne. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Tornetta, 2 Atlantic Drive (dune restoration & drift fence)*

Turner, 6 Atlantic Drive (dune restoration & drift fence)*

Dobie, 128 Central Ave. (dune restoration)*

These three filings were opened together. Don Munroe from Coastal Engineering, Paul Tornetta, 2 Atlantic, Robert Turner & Kristine Doyle, 6 Atlantic and Keith & Rosemary Dobie, 128 Central Ave. were present at the hearing. Abutters' notifications were submitted. Project is to restore the dune to protect the dwellings. In addition to the nourishment at 2 and 6 Atlantic, proposing to install a double sand drip fence, 8" x 15' cedar posts, 2" x 4" slats, 2" x 6' rails and 2" x 6" support brackets. Zig-zagged approximately 160'. Also will be planting beach grass 12" on center. Poles will be augered down 10' with a small bobcat; most of the fence is buried. This fence has been installed in Dennis since 2008 and survived last years storms. Humarock has a little more cobble than Dennis. Applicant had a concern regarding the right of way. The property lines of 2 and 6 actually stop at the line common to the public beach and will bring the fence back toward the homes. Will be connected to Dobies dune and people would go up and over. 128 Central's project is just adding cobble and sand mix for nourishment. Dennis marked access with snow fence. Mrs. Dobie: The pedestrian snow fence lasted for years and defined the walk area beautifully. It is an access, but not public; not a public beach. Will work with Patrick regarding grain size and will bring in a bucket of material to review. Will be more rounded cobble rather than angular. Will touch base with Jim O'Connell to see where he gets materials. Staging area will be in front of Tornettas; build dune area in front of Dobies first, then dig trench, no need to travel on the public beach. Will construct from north to south. After that will install snow fence for pedestrians. Will add some sandy material similar to Dobies to try and get some beach grass growing. Addressed the performance standards; the project will not adversely effect the resource areas and compatible material will be used. Might be looking for some type of maintenance. Water quality fencing would be CCA or oak posts; slats are regular pine. Used arials to determine the size of the dune in the past. No structures on Dobies, just material. Filed with DEP, they gave a number, but they do have the right to appeal; also filed with Natural Heritage. Fence will be 6" to 8" above grade. Four foot trench is well above the mean high tide line and shown on the plan. Ms. Caisse: concerned about the staging area. If there is a storm where will you move the equipment? That will be directed by Larry Runy. There will be a provision to remove the equipment in the event of a coming storm. Also an Order. Excavator and bobcat will be used to do the work; will take about 2 weeks. Mr. Gallivan: Could have a condition regarding ongoing maintenance and also inspection of material. Should get a progress report; looking at this as a pilot project. Ms. Caisse: if this works, it could be the answer to a lot of areas. Mr. Dobie: Turner and Tornetta are at much more risk than we are; they should be done first. Dune lasted 15 years, but disappeared last year, don't want to spend money for the fence right now; we don't see a need for it. The order for the dune was a renewable 5 year. Mr. Gallivan: If you did decide on the fence there could be an amendment. Walter Engstrom, 12 Atlantic Drive: concerned about possible funnelling. Don't think there will be any. Mr. Snow: don't think this design changes things too much, not like a revetment or seawall. Motion to close the hearings for Torneta, Turner and Dobie Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: BTZ Realty Trust/Costello, Lots 31 & 32 Fieldstone Road (concrete driveway to access 2 lots not included in this filing)(cont.)

Greg Morse from Morse engineering and Leo Costello were present at the hearing. Driveway project off Longmeadow/Fieldstone, 2 house lots in the upland area. Three alternatives: wetland crossing, access off Bittersweet Lane, not adequate, or apply to Zoning to ask for a variance for the driveway. Zoning would not entertain a variance; withdrew. Plan shows BVW in blue, access driveway across the wetland through the 50' and 100' buffers. House lots outside the buffer zone. Alteration is outlined. The local bylaw essentially requires if less than 5,000 sq. ft. of wetland will be filled, 1:1 mitigation should be in the same location. Not within a Water Resource Protection District. Approximately 2500 sq. ft. of alteration and there is 4,100 sq. ft. of replication, 2:1 mitigation in the same wetland altered area. Visited site with Pat, Penny and Frank; twice with Pat. Ms. Scott-Pipes: Mr. Snow has asked for an overlay of the whole area; that means the 26 acres. Looks like replication area is outside the property line. Applicant owns the land the replication is on. Provided a whole area plan to the Zoning, glad to submit another copy of that. Can show an aerial photo of the entire piece. Wonder if there are wetlands in other areas. Mr. Schmid: would like to see the replication connect to the same wetland area; contingent to the area. Depends on the site, based on topography, have to find a suitable place; doesn't have to be contiguous. Mr. Gallivan: discussed previously about creating his own hardship. Past submission DEP denied, in their opinion alternate access deemed filling unnecessary. A Commission shouldn't approve something DEP denied. There is a lot of land out there, appreciate the fact they went to Zoning, but could have chosen to divide the parcel differently. Mr. Snow: Do you recall what the application was for? Very similar to this plan. A new filing should and could have been made at the local level. Access off Longmeadow Road no longer exists. DEP clearly left this open. Commission approved the wetland crossing in 1990, DEP denied it, but at that time there was an alternate access. Still would like to see how this whole property lays out. Important for this Commission to know just how this is going to be used. Mr. Bjorklund: there are a lot of projects that change their plans. Not a subdivision road; divided the land officially. Parcel is 20 acres plus in size; fairly narrow crossing; couldn't be further developed. Most likely have a common driveway. Mr. Snow: another thought would be Fieldstone Road being an improved road. At one point could have been a subdivision, but it is a farm. Look at the impact on the property overall, it could have been developed more. Not here for a subdivision. Discussed limited projects. Looking for a complete view of the site; plan of the property with the barns and structures with an overlay of the wetlands. Motion to continue the hearing to January 27, 2016 at 7:05 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Brennan, 8 Aquinnah Path (new build)*

Jim Pavlik and Jim & Linda Brennan were present at the hearing. Abutters' notification was submitted. Existing gravel way 20,044 sq. ft. lot. At the back of the lot there is an isolated wetland. The 25', 50', and 10' buffer zones are shown on the plan. Existing lot currently has a paved tennis court and the back of lot is woods. Discussed this project informally at the December 2 meeting. Brad Holmes prepared a mitigation plan, also reconfigured the septic system, longer and narrower, and pulled the house forward a couple of feet. Silt fence is 25' from wetland. Small portion of deck would be within the 50' buffer, offset that by the mitigation planting plan of 40 to 45 shrubs planted within the 50' buffer. Approximately 1900 sq. ft. within 50' buffer; plantings are approximately 4500 sq. ft. Other mitigation is removal of the tennis court which is approximately 4900 sq. ft. of impervious area. House and drive approximately 3900 sq. ft. Tennis closer to the street within the 100' buffer. Providing roof drains for the house with no direct runoff to the wetland. Ms. Scott-Pipes: tight lot. Probably biggest problem is not much of a back yard and a couple years down the road people will go right into the wetlands. It has happened too many times. Posts should be put out there with signs of no disturbance/no cutting. Mr. Schmid: not a fan of having anything in the 50'; something he would not like to see happen. Mr. Harding: appreciate the change made, not in favor of getting into the 50' buffer either. Do recognize the tennis court would be removed. How do we determine the plantings are more of an asset? Mr. Parys: good job taking the information from informal meeting and it's not a BVW; think it looks good. Ms. Caisse: agree with Bill don't think anything should be allowed in the 50' even if the impervious is being removed. Mr. Gallivan: mostly invasive plants. If there was no tennis court, but looks like there is a tradeoff. Judgement call on the part of the

Commission. Tennis court is bigger than the house and driveway and half the lot was previously developed. Mr. Snow: Aquinnah Path is further developed, 2 houses now; it is an existing way, but road can't go any further. Been in existence since 1928. Stormwater permit issued on all 3 lots. Over time people expand. Put up conservation posts telling people this is a conservation area and really be noted this site is maxed out. Ms. Scott-Pipes: to get back to Bill's point, the more I think about it the more the 50' buffer shouldn't be disturbed. Mr. Parys: we have mitigation ratios. We seem to always go with what would the state think; they wouldn't think anything, they don't protect isolated wetlands. Mr. Snow: the thing you think about is if this were appealed. They are disturbing 1900 sq. ft. and mitigation is 4500. It is an existing lot, created long ago and the last one in the neighborhood to be developed. We have had the builder do everything right and new owners go in and just wipes everything out. Conservation Posts would be along the silt fence line. Shed at neighbor's house is right up against the wetlands. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Princi, 134 Humarock Beach Road (replace garage with 2nd story guest house)*

No applicant or representative was present. Opened the hearing and get the abutter notification next time. If they don't have the abutter cards, then we will have to readvertise. No Zoning Board hearing until February. Motion to continue the hearing to February 17, 2016 at 6:30 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Discussion: Water Resource Discussion (vote support letter)

John Clarkson Chairman of the Scituate Water Resources Committee was present. The committee covers three basic categories, drinking water, wastewater, and stormwater. Working with DEP's permit that gets renewed every 20 years. DEP has issued a conditional permit. No issues with Scituate and once the other communities catch up, they will finalize. We have been asked to complete a Water Conservation Plan. There was an 8 or 12 page survey to fill out. The Conservation Plan makes it more of a public process. Worked on it for a couple of years. Have set up an agenda of work to be done over the next 5 years. May involve bylaw recommendations that are brought to the Board of Selectmen. Permit renewal will likely allow the community about 2,000,000,000 million gallons per day. With 700 to 750 residents with 2 to 2-1/2 people per residence, our current use is under 65 gallon per person per day. People want to build homes, but we are limited on the amount of water, the better we conserve the greater ability we have to grow as a community. Caroline Keefe student at Villanova researched and wrote most of the plan. Indebted to her for her hard work. This plan is just suggestions. Mr. Gallivan: sometimes just suggestions suddenly become the rule. Mr. Snow: Conservation and Water Resources should be fairly parallel in our thinking. Attended a lot of the meetings for the school and public safety building and you learn as you go. Just assumed you just plunked another well in to get more water. We appreciate the work done. As with the Commission trying to conserve open space, we see that as being most important, and you go through all this work and then you see it fissile out. As already been said recommendations sometimes result in rules. Don't always see how things will impact us down the road. Want alternative methods and a cheaper supply of water. Not using and wasting treated water for irrigation. How do you separate people pumping from a private well and those using public water. Those regs will go to Jenn Keefe, Board of Health and apparently they have an organization that can give input. Water drawn from a private well isn't calculated into the water draw, the state doesn't have a way to do that, but it has been confirmed there is actually an impact. If not sufficient stream flow, DEP can put restrictions on the public water supply. Mr. Schmid: you are drawing from the same aquifer? Yes. Wells are using up the water. NSRWA, showed a very simple explanation. Working closely with NSRWA and Jim DeBarros regarding the Herring Brook and fish habitat. Herring have been seen returning. Penny's removal of Hunter's Pond Dam will also help that. Often time removal of a structure is cheaper than repair. If the reservoir reaches a certain level, there will be further restriction on watering lawns. Could be a standing policy to restrict all lawn watering. Have been fortunate the last couple years. Ms. Caisse: is there anything we should do? Already have taken some steps; private irrigation is limited to one day a week; then later came back last March or April and do not allow private lawn irrigation for new homes and can no longer tap into the system. Obvious answer is drop a well to operate an irrigation system. There are other systems such as roof catchments that would supply irrigation all year around from a 2100 sq. ft. roof. Mr. Snow: concerned if people spend money to dig a well so they don't draw on municipal water and then still have to follow the one day a week watering. Looked at smaller areas where they aren't impacting the aquifer. DEP looks at it on a watershed basis. In the late nineties came up with 65 gallons per person, per day. Mass is fortunate, have as a goal to get below 65; average in California is 120 gallons per day. Any one of these recommendations will be discussed publicly. Have provided the plan to Commission, Board of Health, Planning Board and the idea is we will have one more public meeting and invite the entire community, then go to the Board of Selectmen. By signing a support letter, it provides the community the ability to focus on the next few years. Motion to endorse the plan and write a letter Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Bob Brian, 11 Concord: Repaired wall and also added to it. Ms. Caisse: he was misled. Poured concrete up against a salt marsh. There is an existing wall next to it, maybe that could be raised. Need to file something or take the wall out. Contractor is in New Hampshire. Contact someone that is familiar with that type of work. To do any work in the area, you need to file. There are 2 walls, one parallel to river and one to keep water off Concord Street. Owned house for 30 years, but actually there was a wall there. Will do whatever the Commission wants. Wall is mostly on Mr. Brian's property. Ms. Caisse: would think digging up would cause more damage, but Notice of Intent either way. Mr. Gallivan: It is a complicated form, should get an engineer or surveyor to help you, assuming the state would be willing to approve it. Five feet away there is a collapsed wall. Will talk about it, wouldn't have to be an engineered plan. Appreciate you coming in.

Haufler, Lot 2 Peggotty Beach Road: Atty. Bob Galvin, Greg Morse, Morse Engineering and Christian Haufler were present. Plan was for Greg to go out to the site and try and determine where the fill was put. ORAD is based on an Isolated Vegetated Wetland (IVW) and extension expires at the end of the month. Mr. Gallivan thinks it is a Bordering Vegetated Wetland (BVW), but even if it is IVW it is still a fill issue. Greg Morse: walked the site, flagged original location of wetland line, it is evident that the middle of lot ranges from 0' to 2'. Area of fill is revegetated, has been almost 10 years. Doesn't hold water, but serves as a wildlife area. Could remove the fill, but would remove the vegetation too. Mr. Gallivan believes it should be classified as BVW. Found a pipe that goes under Peggotty Beach Road that appears to drain into the salt marsh; typically that would be a hydraulic connection. But that pipe may be severed with no connection any more. There has been a lot of development; three houses and alteration in the street. Had alterations on two abutting properties and sewer work might have severed the connection. Intent is to file a Notice of Intent and as part of that propose off site mitigation. Mr. Haufler, need as much cooperation as possible, have an inoperable brain aneurism, have about 6 months to a year. Asking fast track, got property in 1973, grew up next door at 21 Peggotty; need to get affairs in order. It was never his intent on filling any wetlands. Intention is to build a single family and sell it. Could offer property on Grigley Bryant that has a stream on it. Mr. Snow: extend sympathy, but unfortunately it has become a more complicated issue, without knowing the proper identification of the wetlands. Originally you were told you could fill it. Nobody is saying that you did this maliciously. Changes resource area substantially if it is a BVW instead of an IVW. Can't figure the pipe out at this time of year and it doesn't change the fact we have other issues with this property. Accepted the ANRAD and ORAD agreed it was an IVW. DPW offered

to snake the pipe, but couldn't, but they feel there is a connection. The only thing to make a BVW is the hydraulic connection. Mr. Gallivan: Not sure if you will find it severed or blocked. Water could have flowed over the road, but it was built up and a pipe put under it. Question is whether we want to extend the ORAD for another month or two. Mr. Haufler: originally thought process was a house lot; 20' away there is a drain at 21 Peggotty Beach which goes directly to pump house now. Drainage also comes down the hill and goes to the street drain, however, at the same time, willing to take leave three quarters of the lot open space in perpetuity and give \$10,000 to take phragmites out of the Driftway, but don't want to overthink. Have to come back on the 27th. Looking at lot size and most likely only one dwelling could be built.

140 River Street: wall in the river, spoke to owner today asked him to get anything he can from Army Corp. He will come in on the 27th.

31 Mary's Lane: May not put the survey on a plan, may want to talk about a planting plan.

Staging area Lawson Road: Work was done under DPW. Contractor will come to the next meeting. There will have to be a replanting.

24 Webster: wall - should have the same choice file or take it down. Mr. Parys: wasting people's time. File and we would deny; should file to remove it.

Dodge Road/140 Humarock Beach Road: owners were present, letter sent to wrong house. Road has been bricked, may be pervious. Owners asked what they should do. File after the fact. Fence on inland side of the property. Didn't discuss that.

135 Old Oaken Bucket Road: put the erosion up – DEP # on tree.

Lot 4, 174 Branch access from Curtis: Gillespie – needs planting plan.

31 Candlewood – need a plan from Ralph Cole with 25' buffer marked.

12 Rebecca: they got the letter; may have raised the wall and brought fill in.

Update: Feb. 6 Widows Walk – Feb. Input where people can do that Feb. 6 11:30-3:30 p.m.
Trail maps set up a table.

Coastal Advisory RH FS just getting feet

Ingrid, pulling everything out of the 200' riverfront area. Stormwater issues with Planning and quality of fill. Believe it will be looked into. Could be a Board of Health issue.

Eco Tech is doing a cleanup from the spill on 3A – asking for an emergency cert,

Questions from people work on trails. Howard Matthews and Ernie Foster. Preliminary thing anxious to do more. Feedback one of the trails that got removed chatted Laurie Bates. Landscape architect replacement of the trail at some point when it seems safe get some people to do some flagging.

Minutes: November 4, 2015 and November 18, 2015

Motion to accept the minutes of November 4, 2015 & Nov. 18, 2015PSP. Second BS. Unanimous.

Beach Commission: working with town talked to Kim Stewart about dogs, meeting with Kevin Cafferty.

CORRESPONDENCE

December 16, 2015 – January 13, 2015

1. Plymouth County Mosquito Control re: Town Way Extension. Reclamation of a deteriorated water course. Work beginning 12/16/15 weather permitting.
2. Recording of CofC for 68-2105 – South River Partners/Solimando, 33 Central Ave. (aka 8 Dartmouth St.) (in file)
3. Recording of OofC for 68-2570 – O'Brien, 19 Kenilworth Street (in file)
4. NOI Withdrawal – 68-2552 – Boyajian, 94 Marion Road (in file)
5. Army Corps of Engineers – re: change of mitigation requirement of salt marsh restoration from 12,000 to 5,000 sq. ft. plus a payment of \$99,820.00 to the In-Lieu Fee Program. (in Marine Park file)
6. Zoning re: Granting 579 Country Way to be razed and rebuilt.
7. DEP File #68-2582 – Joy, 262 Central Ave. (in file)
8. DEP File #68-2583 – Alice's House, 112 Humarock Beach (in file)
9. DEP File #68-2584 – Clarke, 258 Central Ave. (in file)
10. DEP File #68-2585 – Tornetta, 2 Atlantic Drive (in file)
11. DEP File #68-2586 – Turner/Doyle, 6 Atlantic Drive (in file)
12. DEP File #68-2587 – Dobie, 128 Central Ave. (in file)
13. DEP File #68-2588 – Brennan, 8 Aquinnah Path
14. Recording of OofC for 68-2573 – STC Construction Services/Alden Shores, 304 Clapp Road (in file)
15. Recording of OofC for 68-2579 – Justason, 220 Central Ave. (in file)
16. Recording of Extension of OofC 68-2130 – Cohasset Water Commissioners, Aaron River Reservoir (in file)
17. Recording of OofC for 68-2568 – Smith, 151 Border Street (in file)
18. BOH re: 140 River St – complaint re: septic discharge into South River – couldn't substantiate (in Enforcement file)
19. Nationalgrid flyer re: Natural Gas Lines May Intersect Sewer Lines
20. 2016 Water Watch Lecture Series – January 13 – March 9 – Wednesdays at 7:00 p.m. – free admission at the South Shore Natural Science Center, Norwell (except where noted) (scanned & sent to members & Nancy)

21. Country Way Trail re: Water Resources Protection District – Al Bangert consulted with Zoning Officer/Neil Duggan about trail crossing the WRPD Buffer Zone in a couple locations. He said it was no concern to him. (in file)
22. DEP File #68-2589 for DeConcilis, 142 Humarock Beach (in file)
23. DEP File #68-2590 for Princi, 134 Humarock Beach (in file)
24. Zoning Board re: public hearings: 10 Summit Ave., 169 Jericho Road, 4 Grasshopper Lane, 54 Dreamwold Road, 134 Humarock Beach – hearing 1/21/16.
25. Planning Board re: Accessory Dwelling at 9 Blanchard Farm Lane
26. Country Way Trail Engineering Review – DPW Engineering has supervised Horsley-Witten throughout the project and is satisfied with their work; it meets or exceeds the standards required by DPW. (in file)
27. Picture across from 161 River – No dock
28. Recording of OofC for 68-2578 – Scituate Historical Society, 50 Old Oaken Bucket Road (in file)
29. DEP File #68-2591 – Forde, 31 Hawthorne Street (in file)
30. Recording of OofC for 68-2581 - Donovan, 12 Pond View Ave. Ext. (in file)
31. Recording of CofC for 68-2035 - 38 Ocean Drive (in file)
32. The Beacon
33. Planning Board re: Form A Application – 65 & 67 Gilson Road & 2 Bassin Lane – purchased 65 Gilson, razed the dwelling and dividing the property between equally.
34. PAT: Two parcels on Town Way 55-6-23 & 55-6-25, primarily salt marsh, but there is a strip along the road suitable for parking. Do regs permit parking a camper overnight or tenting? – Robert H. Rogers bobrogers@netzero.net
35. MACC re: Annual Environmental Conference, March 5 at Holy Cross. One free member, with one paid member. Register by 2/26/16 – **MEMBERS PLEASE LET ME KNOW IF AND WHAT YOU WANT TO SIGN UP FOR**
36. Recording of OofC for 68-2583 – Gibson / Alice's House, 112 Humarock Beach (in file)
37. Recording of Extension of OofC for 68-2122 –The Glenn, 89 Summer Street; Restriction of Lot B & Lot C approx.. 3.7 and 4.6 shall be maintained in perpetuity as habitat and open space. (in file)
38. Picture of Cedar Point/Lighthouse Road – Request for Memorial Bench (possibly a MAP for installation)
39. Marine Fisheries reviewed the EENF for Scituate's DPW for proposed North Scituate Beach Nourishment Project consisting of 392,000 cu yds of cobble, gravel & sand mix along 4,900 linear ft. of intertidal and subtidal habitat. 1. Request a shellfish survey by 12/15/15/review by Fisheries; 2. Time of year restriction of May 1 to Nov. 1.
40. e-mail re: 31 Hawthorne St. – in favor of raising the house, but concerns regarding access and request for an order stating only access would be via Hawthorne St.; also request an order that prevents any destruction of the barrier beach existing dune. In 2010 DEP issued a positive Determination concluding properties abutting passageway contain a coastal dune, barrier beach & LSCSF. (in file)
41. Brad Holmes report re: 36 Mann Hill Road – all work outside 100' buffer to BVW
42. Recording of OofC for 68-2577 – Morrissey, 240 Clapp Road (in file)
43. Request for CofC for Barclay, 403 Country Way – letter, request, as-builts and check (in file) (closing 1/29/16)
44. ECR report re: Snow property @ 36 Mann Hill Road – addition beyond the 100' buffer.
45. Recording of OofC for 68-2551- Lindberg, 265 Central Ave. (in file)
46. Engineer's verification letter re: 68-2570 – 19 Kenilworth Street – As-built, no request for CofC or check. (in file)
47. Request for CofC for 68-2189 – Barclay, 403 Country Way – Engineer's verification, as-built, recorded order, picture and check (in file)

Meeting adjourned 10:20 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Carol Logue, Secretary