

Conservation Commission April 12, 2011

Town of Scituate

Conservation Commission

Town Hall Selectmen's Hearing Room

Meeting Minutes

April 12, 2011

Meeting was called to order 6:19 at p.m.

Members Present: Mr. Snow, Chairman, Mr. Breitenstein, Mr. Greenbaum, Mr. Jones, Ms. Scott-Pipes, Mr. Tufts.

Also Present: Paul Shea, Agent, Jim O'Connell, Agent, and Carol Logue, Secretary,

Agenda: Motion to accept the agenda Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Jones. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Mr. Snow introduced Kevin Tufts, the Commission's newest member. Kevin has a forestry background. Town Meeting voted positively for Crosby and Hubbell properties, and other pieces are coming together; Wheelwright & Bjorklund.

Introduced Jim O'Connell as co-agent.

Agents Report: Phil Spath would like to discuss septic vs sewer connection at 35 Tilden Road

Phil Spath: Septic in failure. Wetland runs off into Satuit Brook. The system would need to be 26' from wetland. Cannot meet regulations; many variances required. The alternative would be to tie into the town sewer. No work within the 50' buffer. If the board approves and would recommend no septic in close proximity to the wetlands, could they send a letter to Board of Health asking support for a sewer tie in? Has to go to Board of Health to grant sewer tie-in, then to the Selectmen. If the Selectmen won't allow, will be back with this design. Motion to write a letter to Board of Health recommending a connection to the sewer line, since septic system can't meet the performance standards because of the proximity to the wetland Mr. Jones. Second Ms. Scott-Pipes. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Request for Determination: MA Dept. of Transportation, Hollett St./ Gannett Road Intersection (3,000' sidewalk)

Mikel Myers, RPE, Lawrence MA, was present at the hearing. This is a Safety to Schools Project that will serve Hatherly Elementary School. It is a federally funded program for design and construction. Project will include approximately 3000' of asphalt sidewalk with 6" granite curbing, which ties into existing sidewalk, on westerly side of Hollett and crosses over at Bullrush Farm Road. Section from Bullrush Farm to Ann Vinal will include a grass strip between the sidewalk and granite curb.

Coordinating with DPW and water line project along Hollett, which was issued an Order last November. Water line expected to be done this summer. Spring of 2012 set curb and sidewalk, and town will do final course of pavement. Erosion controls will be used within the 100' buffer. No wetlands on the other end. Mr. Shea: everything is outside the 50' buffer zone, piece together with DPW Orders. Mr. Snow: take a look at where Ann Vinal connects with Hollett, south. May want to take a look at the staging area at Ann Vinal and Hollett, also Egypt Garage. Mr. Greenbaum: Add haybales at the end of Ann Vinal south. Motion for a negative 3 determination - "The work described in the Request is within the Buffer Zone, as defined in the regulations, but will not alter an Area subject to protection under the Act. Therefore, said work does not require the filing of a Notice of Intent, subject to the following conditions (if any)" Ms. Scott-Pipes, with haybales added to the southeast corner of Ann Vinal Road. Questioned if stormwater permit required? Tim Dexter, from wetlands unit: DOT is not subject to local bylaws; vegetated areas should offset the sidewalk. Commission will take a look at the stormwater question. Second Mr. Breitenstein. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Request for Determination: Parsons, 42 Pin Oak Dr (renovate porch & deck/addition/new deck)

Sterling Wall and Tim Brennan were present at the hearing. Orange on plan shows existing building. Existing covered deck and open deck. The Parsons wish to build a 30' x 36' addition. House originally built in 1965; served by sewer; gently sloping almost flat site. Adding less than 25%, stormwater bylaw does not apply. Limited site work; limited equipment required for half foundation, 2 drywells, and small deck. All

work taking place in existing lawn area. Silt fence proposed for erosion control. The deck is the only thing near the 50' setback, could be as close as 45'. Ms. Scott-Pipes: how high off the ground? 1 1/2' to 2' off grade. Existing footprint of deck is 18' x 12', new footprint 10' x 20' and run behind building, 4' x 26'. Corner of building is right on the 50' buffer. Entire deck is in the 100'. Mr. Jones: All work is within the 50' and 100' buffer. Digging foundation would require a Notice of Intent. Mr. Wall disagrees, limited work for a half foundation, which can be approved with a Request for Determination. Mr. Breitenstein: could you make the addition a little smaller to get out of the 50'? Will end up working in the 50'. Mr. Snow: Who delineated the wetlands? Don't know. Mr. Wall would be glad to submit his copy with the 50' and 100' buffer zones marked. Mr. Shea: no runoff going toward wetlands and all lawn area. Submit 2 copies of plan with 50' buffer zone for the file. Whenever lawn area is removed, it is a plus. Put some native plantings at the edge of the wetlands. Suggest silt fence 15' from work area. Mr. Jones: in the past, in areas like this, we have required fencing, as well as some plantings. Mr. Shea: Add some proposed plantings, high bush blueberry, etc. on the revised plan. No mowing and native plants in area of BVW flags A7-A14. Motion for a negative 3 determination – "The work described in the Request is within the Buffer Zone, as defined in the regulations, but will not alter an Area subject to protection under the Act. Therefore, said work does not require the filing of a Notice of Intent, subject to the following conditions (if any)." - Submit 2 revised plans showing the 50' buffer and plantings in back area Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Jones. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Bennett, 230 Central Ave. (construct rip-rap wall)
(cont.)

Have proof of abutters' notification. Placement of boulders directly on seaside of house. Ms. Scott-Pipes: Bothered there was a propane gas tank under the deck. Bad idea with storms, or rocks being thrown around. Ms. Bennett: Made as secure as possible; cabled onto a cement pad. Fire dept made them do it after storm of '92. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Greenbaum. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Seoane, Cavanagh Rd (new build) (cont.)

Applicant's representative requested a continuance. Motion to continue hearing to April 25, 2011 at 6:30 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Greenbaum. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Nelson, 117 River St (septic)

Bob Crawford, E.E.T., Inc., was present at the hearing. Notification to abutters was submitted. Plan was before the Commission in 2003. It was approved for deck on rear and septic system. Mr. Nelson never installed septic, did build deck. Back to construct system, which is in a dune at rear of house. 1500 gal septic tank, 3 leaching chambers in front of house. Board of Health has approved this plan as they did in 2003. Four variances required: setback to street, property line, and foundation and reduction of 1' to groundwater 5' to 4'. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Jones. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Perry, 105 Gilson Rd (raze/rebuild)

Joshua Bows, Merrill Associates, and George & Laurie Perry were present at the hearing. Abutters notification was submitted. Raze existing home and garage in need of repair and rebuild with an attached 2-car garage. Most of the property is essentially manicured lawn, void of mature vegetation. Runoff from property is divided by existing house. Narrative and application for stormwater bylaw is attached and describes the capturing of all roof runoff to infiltrate into 2 drywells. Other impervious area is the driveway. Runoff in southerly direction to a grassed swale into a rain garden. Maintaining existing direction of runoff, but providing more treatment. Staked haybales at limit of work at top of bank. Ms. Scott-Pipes: would like to see house closer to the road, too close to the bank. Wouldn't be quite as bad if you were using the same footprint. Mr. Greenbaum: don't see any borings, proving support for the new construction, less than 5' from edge of bank. Bank has definitely failed, horseshoed in where the house is. Need documentation for stabilization of bank. Need engineered stamped plan that confirms the bank will hold this dwelling. Trees cut near the road; don't like to see trees cut, especially mature ones, before a filing is submitted. Mr. Jones: Agree with all the comments, especially distance to the bank. Required to be 30' from

road, proposing 63'. Mr. Bows: number of parameters trying to consider, not discrediting comments, but located house in line with other homes. Proposed house is no closer to the cliff. We understand the cliff is a concern. Rest of the bank is quite vegetated only one area free of vegetation and aware of the condition of the cliff. Analyzed the reason for lack of vegetation: runoff is concentrated in a funnel-type depression. Installing a 1 1/2' wall along the cliff to flatten the area and provide a more cascading effect, less velocity and less erosion. Green area on plan shows native species and not manicured lawn. Tried to recognize the Commission's concerns. No recent signs of cliff failing, pretty stable, except where the stump is and where void of vegetation. Mr. Greenbaum: believes it is unstable. 113 Gilson filed for stabilization and right next door has a filing to reinforce the cliff. Continually eroding. No reason the house can't be further back from the cliff. Borings have been done all along the cliff. Ms. Scott-Pipes: existing house has a full basement, if removed, it will impact the stability more. Mr. Shea: at least look at the borings on other projects in this area. Zoning wise you have 30' to 32' to play with. Take borings where house is and 30' back. Mr. Snow: no need to put house that close to the bank. Need geotechnical engineer to look at stability and foundation. Building is much larger in the 50' buffer. There has been a lot of slope failure--you need to show the stability of the cliff. Consider making the house smaller and moving away from the cliff, something between 30' and what is shown, look at the averages of the homes, provide mitigation, and retain a geotechnical engineer to stamp plan for stability. You will be destabilizing that bank when doing earthwork. Motion to continue the hearing to May 9, 2011 at 6:30 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Greenbaum. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

7:30 p.m.: Mr. Snow excused himself from the rest of the meeting and Mr. Greenbaum will take over as chairman.

The following 3 hearings were heard at one time.

Wetlands Hearing: DiBenedetto, 25 Julian St (rebuild east face of dune)

Bob DiBenedetto and Bob Crawford, E.E.T., Inc. were present at the hearing. Abutters notification was submitted.

Wetlands Hearing: DiBenedetto, 52 Ocean Dr (rebuild east face of dune)

Bob DiBenedetto and Bob Crawford, E.E.T., Inc. were present at the hearing. Abutters notification was submitted.

Wetlands Hearing: DiBenedetto, 54 Ocean Dr (rebuild east face of dune)

Bob DiBenedetto and Bob Crawford, E.E.T., Inc. were present at the hearing. Abutters notification was submitted. After-the-fact filings, dunes washed out in December 2010 storm. Previous plans show close to what existed prior to the storm. 52 & 54 are AE flood zone elevation 15'. 25 Julian is flood zone VE elevation 16', some in 15'. Currently there is no vegetation on the restored portions. Rest of dune is heavily vegetated. Ms. Scott-Pipes: Do they plan on vegetating the dune? One of the parties was interested. Feel they should all be vegetated. Looking where to buy the shoots. Mr. O'Connell: have done dune restoration plans; could consider putting a snow fence in front; very inexpensive. Two have snow fence. Best time to plant is early or mid-March – end of April is approaching the end of optimum planting season. Some should be available. Mr. Breitenstein: certainly would protect their investment. These parties could file for rip-rap along their property. 52 & 54 have a concrete seawall, 56 has a seawall 4' to 5' higher. Mr. Shea: Emergency permit was to put back what was there; would have to file another Notice of Intent for rip-rap. Motion to close the hearings 25 Julian St, 52 & 54 Ocean Dr Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Jones. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Wannop, Lot 2 Glades Rd (new build)

Carmen Hudson, Cavanaro Consulting, Jon Studebaker, and Jim Kelleher, Axiom Architects, Attorney Don Nagle, Attorney John Dugan, and Alexandra Wannop, daughter of applicants, were present at the hearing. This is a vacant 3.5 acre lot with many ledge outcrops, a coastal bank, and isolated wetland in middle. Septic system approved in 2008. Restrictions: building setback, 50' to wetland. coastal bank, and setbacks for septic field; it is a difficult lot. February 28 hearing there were three main concerns. One conflict was a well and the approved septic field. The Commission requested to see what the Board of Health had to say. Meeting was scheduled for March 21,

waited for that meeting. Board of Health issued a written decision, which stated the septic system was approved and they ordered Mr. Burek to use well as irrigation. Another issue was whether or not a well would be under the jurisdiction of the Commission - the well itself is not under the Commission. Lack of mitigation and need for a landscape plan was brought up by Mr. Snow and reiterated by Mr. Shea. Increased amount of mitigation: Previously 3,000 sq. ft, of native buffer plantings, doubled to 6,000 and the debris clean-up includes the entire wetland, approximately 6,900 sq ft. The rain garden was increased from 200 to 500 sq. ft. A landscape plan was included with the number, size and location of native buffer plantings listed. Footprint of the dwelling and deck was reduced and pulled a distance of approximately 53' from the isolated wetland. A temporary 4' high chain link construction fence has been added to ensure no intrusion into the 50' buffer zone. Proposing haybales and silt fence or sock. Mr. Jones: is a person on the Commission not allowed to vote if the project has had 6 hearings and he's missed one, but is totally familiar with the project and has the minutes of the one missed? Some attorneys would say can't vote. Only Mr. Greenbaum and Ms. Scott-Pipes have been to all the meetings. No quorum available Mr. Snow had to leave tonight. Mr. Shea: Jennifer Sullivan came in about 5:30 p.m. and relayed that Atty. Ohrenberger has filed a request to reopen the hearing regarding the well. That's a Board of Health matter. Each commission and board acts independently. Mr. Greenbaum: walked the site and really looked, actually have some problems with proposed mitigation. Didn't observe any invasive species. Some cutting may have been done. Thought there was some construction debris, couldn't get into the property, bayberries were thick. Almost of the opinion that leaving it alone might be better, some dead trees decaying naturally. Isolated wetland is natural. What is the footprint of house and garage in square footage? House and garage approximately 3,550 sq ft. Mr. Snow isn't here and had expressed his concern as to the amount of work in the resource areas. Work is between the 50' and 100' buffer. There is 3,000 sq ft of mitigation along the road. Is there any other mitigation that the client could think of to offset this work in a resource area? Couple of states are considering isolated wetlands as nonjurisdictional. Laws coming through that towns won't have jurisdiction. Ms. Scott-Pipes: we can ask for more mitigation in the orders. Carmen Hudson: no work is

proposed in any resource area, cleaning up the isolated wetland. The work that is closest is new buffer plantings, no intention to remove vegetation. Tried to make it clear that the gray on the plan is the 50' buffer zone, the 50' is not a resource area. Clipping the 50' with the utilities. Nothing is proposed within any resource area. Mr. Shea: Approval or denial has to be based on rules that are in existence today. Still have the coastal bank that has a buffer zone. Atty. Nagle: confused about mitigation, not enough and in some areas too much. Need mitigation for the isolated area, possibly offsite. Mr. Burek, 171-172 Glades Rd: intend to vote tonight to close the meeting? Aware of Board of Health's ruling on the status of the well, but have retained Atty. Ohrenberger and reapproached Board of Health, letter copied to Commission, asking perhaps the board put off voting tonight until after April 26, 2011. Board discussed briefly about the quorum. Feel the members are well informed regarding the project. Motion to close the hearing already waited a month for Board of Health: if their opinion changes, has nothing to do with our hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Breitenstein. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Winchester/Tilden Woods LLC, 77 Elm St (grading & outlet structure)

John Zimmer was present representing Tilden Woods. Had another hearing scheduled with Planning Board. One thing changed, created a separate lot for the detention basin and a separate lot for the wetlands and modified the open space. Original design had the basin – isolated the basin as its own separate lot, walking easement to access the open space. Stormwater management system remains the same. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Jones. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Papile, 8 Bonnie Briar Circle (septic)

Terry McSweeney was present at the hearing. Abutters notification was submitted. Straightforward upgrade. Approved by Board of Health. System is being moved from the rear to the front yard. The pump system allows them to get everything out of the 50' buffer zone. Cesspool is right at the wetland line. Two large trees need to be taken out. All chambers removed from the driveway. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Breitenstein. Motion passed by

unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Conti, 282 Central Ave. (repair to existing seawall) Augie Bloomstein, contractor, Leon Bombidier, engineer, and David Conti, owner, were present at the hearing. Abutters notification was submitted. Retaining wall and patio repair. This wall was constructed in 1968. Propose to replace the wall and patio same size, shape and location of original wall. Mr. Shea: wall was damaged by December 2010 storm. Mr. Conti's brother was at the hearing January 3, issued the Conti's an Emergency permit to repair the wall, and at the same time work on a Notice of Intent. Had about 18 emergency issues. Mr. Bloomstein was the selected contractor, let Carol know he was starting emergency repairs. The project engineer had been to site and was working on site plans; while taking down wall and patio, whole thing collapsed. Took out all the broken pieces, actually poured a new footing, same location as the old wall, believe new wall is being built to the new standards. The house is on pilings, septic underneath, wall is protecting the septic. When construction was started there was a lapse of time; emergency only good for 30 days, left out there for 60 days, He tried to shoot for astronomically low tide. All of a sudden excavated some material out and placed it on neighbor's property with permission of the renter. The property owner knew nothing about it and called the office. Paul Parys took some photos, narrowed it down to Conti site. Not sure if he was permitted to do this work under the emergency; afraid of a storm coming in after St. Patrick's Day. Told him to put whatever was needed for boulders. Said he'd have a NOI for this hearing. Understanding is that this filing will replace what was there, except bringing up to code. Ms. Scott-Pipes: the footing is in, same as original? Why did you pick a concrete wall instead of rip-rap? To put back what was there. Why not just rip-rap? Doing both. Want to put concrete patio back. This is the same as David Keyes slab that had been recently approved. Brenda Roche's pad going over the top of wall with rebar, would add a great deal of stability to the pad. Have right to put wall back as long as there had been one previously. Thinks the wall was overtopped. There was nothing under the footing to support the concrete slab. What will go in space below patio, compacted fill. Going to have a drain for any back pressure. Half the wall is still there. With one storm they could lose the septic system. Hoping to save the wood

deck. Talked to Greg DeCesare, DEP# 68-2318. He's got the plan, went over job for a half-hour. He had no problem with a couple of boulders in front of house. Humarock is a moving target, policy changes with DEP, and Corps of Engineers, but because it was there in 1968 they have rights to rebuild. Consistent with DEP approval. Close the hearing and issue the orders to keep this project moving. Continue with the work to secure the property. Rip rap buried 6' below adjacent seawalls, 2 rows of rocks 4 to 6 tons. Won't even see the rip-rap, it keeps the toe from shooting out. Jim O'Connell: minimum amount of rip rap is beneficial to the resource. Don Hourhihan, graduate of "Humarock University": Concrete wall and rip-rap best of both worlds. Probably a house builder built the wall, Augie put one hell of a footing in. Mr. Bjorklund: the one that we approved, the slab was over the wall to begin with; this one was tied into the wall. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Jones. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Mr. Hourhihan: last night at town meeting, we saw how the town feels about seawalls, they approved article. Humarock is not a barrier beach, it doesn't perform as a barrier beach, therefore no longer a barrier beach. Humarock is discriminated against.

Order of Conditions: Papile, 8 Bonnie Briar Circle (septic)
Motion to condition the project Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Jones.
Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Order of Conditions: Wall, 12 Oceanside Dr (rebuild deck/repair garage)
Still waiting for revised plans showing deck away from seawall.

Order of Conditions: Hand, 264 Central Ave. (concrete wall/patio & rip rap)
Motion to condition the project Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Jones.
Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Order of Conditions: Town of Scituate, 163 Stockbridge Rd (new build)
Motion to condition the project Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Breitenstein. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Order of Conditions: Town of Scituate, 165 & 167 Stockbridge Rd (new duplex)

Motion to condition the project Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Jones.

Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Order of Conditions: Clover Realty Tr./Caulfield, 10 Shoal Water Rd (r/r single-family)

Question of whose land phragmites was on and just cutting down won't help. Motion to condition the project Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr.

Jones. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Order of Conditions: Marsh, 126 Edward Foster Rd (remove & dispose overwash)

Motion to condition the project Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Jones.

Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Order of Conditions: Scituate Harbor Yacht Club, 84 Jericho Rd (r/r outbuildings, etc., snack bar)

Mr. Greenbaum: the applicant never identified area as a coastal beach. Everything south of Jericho Road is a coastal beach. As a coastal beach goals are to reduce impervious surface. Applicant increased impervious surface and proposed to remove vegetation. Want to remove the trees, neighbors don't want the trees, still have opportunity to plant native shrub species. Replace trees with native salt tolerant species. Minimize impervious surfaces in Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage. Should we permit increasing pervious surface? Minimize and perhaps don't allow where it isn't necessary.

Order that there will be no increase in impervious surface and provide a revised plan. Mitigation in bylaws is 2: 1. Need planting plan to be approved by Agent or the Commission with salt tolerant species at a ratio 2:1. Motion to accept amendment regarding impervious surfaces and planting plan approved by agent Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Jones. Motion passed by a vote of 4 to 1.

Minutes:

Motion to accept the minutes of March 14, 2011 Ms. Scott-Pipes.

Second Mr. Breitenstein. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

CORRESPONDENCE

March 29, 2011 – April 12, 2011

1. Ohrenberger re: 68-2222 – Boyajian, 43 Oceanside Dr – stating no Motion for Reconsideration by Petitioner, Mark Hamacher, should be denied. (in file)
2. DEP File #68-2304 – Scituate Harbor Yacht Club, 84 Jericho Rd (in file)
3. DEP File #68-2305 – Town of Scituate, 163 Stockbridge Rd, L1 (in file)
4. DEP File #68-2306 – Town of Scituate, 165 & 167 Stockbridge Rd L2 (in file)
5. DEP File #68-2307 – Hand, 264 Central Ave. (in file)
6. DEP File #68-2308 – Marsh, 126 Edward Foster Rd (in file)
7. DEP File #68-2309 – Cassarino, 230 Central Ave. (in file)
8. DEP File #68-2310 – Clover Realty Trust, 10 Shoal Water Rd (in file)
9. BOH re: 172 Glades Rd – voted that well is not approved as a potable well. (in file)
10. BOH re: 130 Beaver Dam Rd – washing machine soapsuds complaint – 15 days to tie washing machine into septic system
11. Recording of Extension Permit for 68-2072 – Lot 3 Glades Rd (in file)
12. Request for CofC, 68-2106 - 264 Clapp Rd –for addition (septic still outstanding), (in file)
13. Request for CofC for 68-2283 – Laubenstein, 17 Dartmouth St (in file)
14. Site Plan for Walnut Tree Hill Lot 61 – 172 Cornet Stetson Rd, dated March 29, 2011 (in file)
15. CLE re: 68-2235 – Mitchell, 31 Surfside Rd – Minor Plan Modification request. 1. Add a row of 3-4 ton stone behind the rehabbed revetment to reduce scour; 2. Repair undermined sections of the recently reconstructed seawall including removal of chink stones from the site, displaced during 12/26/10 storm; 3. As per ConCom's policy, sand, gravel and beach stones will be placed on beach during yard cleanup. (in file, for Paul)
16. CLE re: 68-2236 – Donovan, 33 Surfside Rd – same as 31 Surfside Rd (in file – file out to show Paul)
17. CLE re: 68-2161 – Scituate Harbor Yacht Club, 84 Jericho Rd.

Progress: Lynch Construction has removed approx. 80' of existing breakwater, reset approx. 30'. A further 25' is approx. 80% complete & remaining 30' approx. 25% complete. (in file)

18. DEP – Inadequate Appeal for 68-2295 – Hallisey, 29 Hawthorne. Must state how the OofC is inconsistent with 310 CMR 10.00 & does not contribute to the protection of the interests identified in the Act. 310CMR10.05(7)(c). (in file)

19. Return of 2 signed Notices for 230 Central Ave. (in file)

20. Pictures of 272 Central Ave. – septic cover back on

21. Recording of OofC Roche, 232 Central Ave. (in file)

22. Proposed erosion control line for 10 Shoal Water Rd (in file)

23. DPW re: Access to Seawalls for Repairs & Maintenance: All structures and attachments must be at least 10' landward of the foundation of the seawall to ensure maintenance and repair when seawall is damaged.

24. Plymouth County Conservation District Seeks Input on Natural Resource Concerns. Local Working Group to identify needs, critical natural resource issues & geographic areas of concern. 5:00 P.M. Thursday, April 28, 2011 at the Carver Library, 2 Meadowbrook Way, Carver. RSVP by April 26.

25. RiverWatch newsletter

26. Interim As-Built Plan 172 Cornet Stetson Rd – dated March 28, 2011 (in file)

27. Planting Plan 24 Lowell St (in file)

28. Atty. Guimond – Amended Request for Superseding OofC – 68-2295 – Hallisey, 29 Hawthorne St (in file)

29. Revised plan for 8 Bonnie Briar Circle – BOH changes (in file)

30. Groundwater Sample Analytical Results – Former Exxon Facility, 157 First Parish Rd. Next groundwater monitoring event is scheduled for 9/11.

31. Selectmen re: North Eastern Regional Association of Coastal Ocean Observing Systems – Monitoring the water off Scituate in order to provide inundation projections. Would warn residents of projected flooding along vulnerable coastline areas. Would like to meet to educate the Town of Scituate. TA suggests Mr. Manning, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institute meet with Laura Harbottle, Neil Duggan, Jim O'Connell.

32. Cavanaro re: 68-2290 - Cover letter and Mitigation Planting Plan

(in file)

33. Information submitted re: Walsh, 36 Brunswick St – Letter and pictures (in file)
34. Information and minutes May 28, 2003 re: Hallisey, 29 Hawthorne St and pictures (in file)
35. BOH Decision and Order re: 172 Glades Rd (well) (in file)
36. Recording of CofC for 68-1528 – Vergnani, 7 River St (aka Lot 50) (in file)
37. Recording of OofC for 68-2301 – McCarthy, 63 Lighthouse Rd (in file)
38. Picture of 282 Central Ave. work (in file)
39. Letter from BOH re: Well issue at 170/172 Glades Rd (members have copies at meeting) (in file)
40. Request to use Driftway Park May 7 for approx. 2 hrs, a.m. if possible. Girl Scout Troup 11 girls, friends/families will be attending. Can't imagine more than 20/25 guests for a Pet Fashion Show to raise money for the Animal Shelter.
41. Appointment document for Kevin Tufts
42. Planning Board agenda for Apr 14, 2011
43. Recording of OofC 68-2267 – Akerblom, 228 Central Ave. (in file)
44. Elevation Certificate for Geaman, 87 Lighthouse Rd (in file)
45. Elevation Certificate for Brown, 89 Lighthouse Rd (in file)
46. Pictures at Appleton Field of two trees that have splits. Vin Bucca put caution tape around them. The large cedar might hold better if wanted to spend money to have it cabled. Would like to leave alone.
47. Recording of OofC for 68-2296 – Walsh, 36 Brunswick St (in file)
48. Planning Board re: form A Application for 359 Country Way – Comments by 4/14/11
49. Request for CofC for 68-2057 - 8 Dunbar Lane (in file)
50. Superseding OofC for Boyajian, 43 Oceanside Dr
51. Notification to Abutters re: Kelly, 47 Lighthouse Rd (in file)
52. DEP File #68-2311 – Perry, 105 Gilson Rd (in file)
53. DEP File #68-2312 – Nelson, 117 River St (in file)
54. DEP File #68-2313 – DiBenedetto, 52 Ocean Dr (in file)
55. DEP File #68-2314 – DiBenedetto, 25 Julian St (in file)
56. DEP File #68-2315 – DiBenedetto, 54 Ocean Dr (in file)
57. Squeek Realty Trust's floats – 68-2171 – 7 Berry Lane – modifications approved by Corps & DEP re: Chapter 91; decking plank

removal and removal of most southerly float.

58. Revised plan for Tilden Estates, 77 Elm St (4 plans – 1 to Frank (I file)

59. Mitchell, 2 Stipulations of Dismissal for McEllin v. Hallisey and McEllin v. Walsh.

60. Request to continue Cavanagh Rd hearing.

Meeting adjourned 9:30 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Carol Logue, Secretary