

Conservation Commission, April 2, 2012
TOWN OF SCITUATE MASSACHUSETTS

Town of Scituate
Conservation Commission
Town Hall Selectmen's Hearing Room
Meeting Minutes
April 2, 2012

Meeting was called to order 6:15 at p.m.

Members Present: Mr. Snow, Chairman, Mr. Breitenstein, Mr. Greenbaum, Mr. Parys, and Mr. Tufts, and Ms. Scott-Pipes.

Also Present: Jim O'Connell, Agent, Carol Logue, Secretary, Allan Greenberg, Associate Member

Agenda: Motion to accept the agenda as amended to add North River Clean Up Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Parys. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Request for Determination: McInerney/Bernasconi, 147 Hollett Street (vine & scrub brush removal/answer to Show Cause Hearing)*
Walter McInerney and Andrea Bernasconi were present at the hearing. Showed Commission some pictures. Took down vines from trees that were being strangled in the middle of the back yard, prior to receiving the notice. Reeds come out 15'x10', left alone. Would like to eradicate them, if possible, they weren't there when we moved in. Cleaned up around the edges. Want to finish cutting the vines and replant a small area of grass. Mr. O'Connell: Typical RDA; don't know where the wetlands or buffers are. There is a 50' no disturb zone, needs to be delineated, it is easy to tell where the wetland is. Should be able to remove the vines; reeds should stay. Ms. Scott-Pipes: under pile of roots, there definitely is an existing section of grass. Don't have an issue pulling roots, far enough away. Mr. Breitenstein: mark the 50' for yourself, so you know where the no touch zone is and put a post and rail fence or some type of delineation. Take a couple of photographs and drop them off. Motion for a negative 3 - "The work described in the

Request is within the Buffer Zone, as defined in the regulations, but will not alter an Area subject to protection under the Act. Therefore, said work does not require the filing of a Notice of Intent, subject to the following conditions (if any).” Delineation the 50’ buffer. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Parys. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Request for Determination: Tornetta, 2 Atlantic Drive (relocate rocks behind snow fence/replace snow fence)*

Larry Reny from Landscape by Reny was present at the hearing. Storm surge brought the rocks up, can’t access the three separate staircases. Move rocks to the face of the berm and to the backside of the dune and rebuild the snow fence. All work will be done by hand. Mr. O’Connell: by next spring the cobble will be right back. What if you left the cobble where it is and put an inexpensive walkway around the house to be removed at the end of the season? Could ask the homeowner. The work is labor intensive, very little vegetation. Don’t remove any material from the site. Motion for a negative 2 - “The work described in the Request is within an area subject to protection under the Act, but will not remove, fill, dredge, or alter that area. Therefore, said work does not require the filing of a Notice of Intent.” Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Parys. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Request for Determination: Connolly, 60 Surfside Road (10’x10’ pergola & flag pole)*

Michael and Kathleen Connolly were present at the hearing. Want to place a 10’x10’ pergola about 25’ back from Musquashicut Pond. Should draw where you want it to go. Whenever you want to do something, should make it as clear as possible. 4 dots for the pergola and 1 dot for the flag pole shown on the plan. Mr. O’Connell: Won’t except anything like this again. Motion for a negative 2 - “The work described in the Request is within an area subject to protection under the Act, but will not remove, fill, dredge, or alter that area. Therefore, said work does not require the filing of a Notice of Intent.” Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Parys. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Show Cause Hearing: Corbin, 77 Cedar Street (driveway)

John Corbin, Wanda Craig and Ed Sheehan, landscape architect, were present at the hearing. Mr. O’Connell: there is an Order of Conditions

for the septic, but the driveway was extended toward the wetland without authorization and previously trees had been removed. Don't think the wetlands edge is correct. Have Brad Holmes do a restoration plan. Lost 3 parking spaces because of the shed and had extra crushed stone so we extended the driveway. Didn't think it was a big deal. Want parents to park in a safe area. Didn't realize had to come before the Commission. Figured I could cut the trees down, stand corrected again. Ms. Scott-Pipes: Anything else you want to do in your yard, check with the agent. Mr. Greenbaum: obviously a lot of cleaning out on the east corner of property from a casual observation. Almost your entire property is under our jurisdiction. Mr. Corbin: can I go ahead and clear briars and poison ivy and put in native plants? Mr. O'Connell: in terms of process, amend the Order of Conditions for that work. 25' buffer comes up to the house. Hits the driveway on one end and house on the other end. File an Amended Order within a month and describe mitigation for the driveway and possibly have some other wetland vegetation planted around the wetland edge. Also on the backside, delineate the closest point of the 25' buffer as a no disturb zone and enhance; leave it up to Brad Holmes. Buffer helps the wetland function. Is Ed Sheenin new working in town? Yes. Anytime you see something is wet, call. We have the ability to fine for violations. Contractor should have enough knowledge to ask. Motion that the applicant file an Amended Order within 30 days including a planting plan Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Parys. Motion passed by unanimous vote. Mr. Corbin: Some stumps 2' high, can I cut down? Mr. O'Connell will look at. Would like to continue landscaping and put a granite lamppost in the front yard. OK to put lamppost in, but put on plan.

Frank arrived 6:53 p.m.

Request for Determination: Costello, Tr., Ingrid Lane (install 8" water main & conduits for utilities)*

Ed McLaughlin and Paul Mirabito from Ross Engineering were present at the hearing. Last year received approval from Planning Board for a 2-lot subdivision, required to bring in an 8" water main from Hatherly Road. Allowed to install water main in gravel road, and to the left underground utilities. There is a wetland on North side, placed silt sock entire length of roadway. Straightforward project, excavate put pipe in and cover trench. Mr. Breitenstein: Why did the wetland flags stop

midway? Flags are part of a single-family project built by Paul Sheerin. On the bottom side of the road there is a section bulldozed with a lot of work in the buffer zone. Work in road for water line is one thing, but wonder how other work is already done. Sewer project is using an area for staging. There is a big pile of dirt. Whoever is using the road is impacting the buffer zones. Mr. Greenbaum: couldn't find flags. Flagged a long time ago. Any gas or sewer lines coming in? No, just underground electric and water. Two septic systems have been approved by BOH. Concerned didn't file for the existing water line. Road freshly compacted - why 8" line? Seems large. No answer. Mr. Snow: do you know where Sheerin's wetlands line is? Think we ended up with 3 delineations before we were done. Believe it was taken off the final approved plan. Did anyone go up further to the construction yard? Has any wetlands been delineated up there? Did an ANRAD. Sufficient upland area for the houses. Hard to know where the wetlands lines are. Do you know if all the stockpiles are outside the buffer zone? They are almost up to the edge of the silt fence? Don't know. Commission would like to be sure, because of neighbors concerns. Take a look at that area; make sure there are no other issues. A couple of areas along the edge of road should be cleaned up. Maybe at least put a silt fence so no one drifts to the other side of the road. Extended silt sock all the way to the intersection. Doing work along the whole road. Mr. O'Connell: Suggest continuing the hearing to completely delineate the wetlands. Need to have the wetlands on the plan. Creek runs through, therefore riverfront area. Mr. Greenbaum: Someone dug 10' over the stream. Possibly issue an Enforcement Order. Garbage in the culvert; protect both sides of the road. Need to address what work has gone on in the staging area. Make sure it is out of jurisdiction. Will pass on to the owners about the staging area. Don't know how much farther up the wetlands go. Yellow line on plan is the hay bale line. Even if wetlands shown on plan, nothing would change on the gravel road. Mr. Snow: don't think it is unreasonable to know where the wetlands and buffer zones are. Not careful about working over the sides, need a limit of work. There is a lot of erosion near the work area. Bill Schmidt, 33 Egypt Beach Road. Only hearing? Basically for the road. A lot more work going on there, other than the road. There is an ANRAD for the whole piece of property. Wasn't notified. What is the purpose of the enormous amount of dirt? Four or 5 huge

piles. Mr. McLaughlin: diggings from all the sewage work from Surfside and Hatherly Roads. The dirt is replaced with sand, which produces a large amount of dirt to be thrown away, all related to the town project. Mr. Snow: there should be green cards for the ANRAD filing. See if they are in the file. Didn't know the water main was going to loop. Originally one water line was coming in. They are rebuilding the water line along Hatherly; probably a town requirement. Have received calls and letters, using this opportunity to look at everything. Judith MacDonald, Egypt Beach Road. Where did Ingrid Lane come from? It was never a road. Should take that question to the Planning Board or Building Dept.. Our jurisdiction is wetlands. Listed as a way, probably private. Mr. Schmidt: the only wetlands shown, is for another project. We're asking the question of what is going on in the back? We don't know the answer to that, until applicant tells us. Nancy Fey, 45 Egypt Beach Road: Last May 23 you met and stated there were infractions. This is volunteer board. We've had transitions with agents, etc. How come they didn't come in as responsible landowners, when asked to do something? A whole year goes by and nothing happens. Perhaps if they had done what was asked last year I wouldn't have water in my basement until July. Never had water before, probably because of all the clearing. They could be out of jurisdiction. How do we delineate what wetlands are there, still not developed and belongs to the archdiocese. Between parking lot on Hatherly Road and Ingrid Lane, who is responsible for getting it back to what it was? That's from the sewer project. Mr. O'Connell and Mr. Snow have been out several times. Can see if the siltation is in place. It is a town project; the town should be keeping an eye on it. Get silt sock in now. All work should be done within a month. Motion to continue the hearing to Wednesday, May 9th Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Greenbaum. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Michael Clark re: High School Students cleaning up Driftway Park
Couple of projects had in mind for community service. Introduced his daughter Kate and friend Lindsay. Due to lack of funds available, proposing the kids clean up Driftway Park and the bike trail from Dunkin' Donuts parking lot, a couple of times a year. Recently the Commission has lost funding for the Special Needs students. Along those lines, trails get overgrown. Possibly start a program that helps

both the students and the Commission. Haven't spoken to anyone at the high school yet. Didn't want to do anything until we heard Commission's concerns. Ms. Scott-Pipes: only thing kids have to keep a time schedule and have the agent sign off. Great thing, called over there and asked, nobody ever came. Mr. Breitenstein: go on to DOE, they have the curriculum frameworks that would relate to your project. Mr. O'Connell: fabulous idea, there are volunteers doing ongoing maintenance along trails. Talk to associate members link the two up. Mr. Greenberg has trimmed along Driftway Trail some. Mr. Tufts could use some help at the Ellis property. Mr. Snow: appreciate your interest. Katie has volunteered to shepherd it through the high school.

Show Cause Hearing: Scituate Country Club, 91 Driftway (cutting) Steven Graig and Tony Petrolia, owner, were present at the hearing. Office received an anonymous call and Mr. Greenbaum went out and also Ms. Scott-Pipes. Along the stream cut birch trees, should come to us or call the agent when you want to prune. Did do quite a bit cutting of birch and other types of trees. Northern side of property a group of birch trees on the ground, don't know if cut or fallen, drainage ditch that runs parallel, fresh stumps there. Most likely jurisdictional. Driveway right into the country club on the right, round patch of trees, mostly white birch were downed and had been cleaned up. Further on, got back into some sort of resource area. Recommendation would be to submit a plan to show what had been cut. This winter did some clearing in the high areas and cleared brush in the other areas. What was cut was very small, 2" to 3". Start with them, to show what was done. Whatever was cut should grow back. May have some maintenance in the orders. If there are no maintenance orders, do a plan. Be cautious of what you do out there. Apologized for not notifying the Commission. You should have the orders and plans on file. The Country Club has changed hands a couple of times. Get back to us in a couple of weeks.

Wetlands Hearing: McSharry Brothers, Lot 1 – 218 First Parish Road (new build)*

Wetlands Hearing: McSharry Brothers, Lot 2 – 218 First Parish Road (new build)

Applicant's representative requested a continuance to the next

scheduled. Motion to continue the hearing to May 9, 2012 at 6:30 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Greenbaum. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: EBC Bldg Corp./Ellis, 277-283 Chief Justice Cushing Hwy (2 single-families)*

Brad McKenzie, McKenzie Engineering, Jay Ellis, EBC, and Mark Manganello, from LEC were present at the hearing. Since last meeting, have approval from Planning Board. There were some subtle changes. Relocating the detention basin and drain, none can be located in the state highway layout. Added conservation posts, to make it apparent to the future homeowner that there could be no encroachment. Mark Manganello was originally involved in the ANRAD application and he and Mr. Shea went to the site, discussed buffer and resource restoration; outlined and highlighted on the plan. Also proposed additional plantings near the stormwater basin and between the two houses. Mr. O'Connell: received a letter from abutter. Engineer worked on an adjacent piece of property, has a flagged wetland line that goes through the houses. Abutter asking for investigation, constraints on his property because of that line. Brad Holmes delineated in 2009, believe he flagged off property. Could get an independent verification, wetland vegetation there. Believe there is a clause in the regs, if unforeseen circumstances come about, can revisit. Mr. Greenbaum: understand there is a 50' greenbelt along 3A, work within that 50' greenbelt. When he was on the Master Plan Committee the greenbelt that was the number one feature of the town. It didn't come up at the Planning Board. Have applied for all the variances. Can't apply to Mass Hwy. until there is an OofC. Mr. Breitenstein: How is the mitigation going to benefit this resource? Currently openings in the understory? What is being proposed in terms of square footage? Don't recall. Can't just plant a few bushes, with this amount of impact. One requirement we had to meet was recharge. This plan incorporates BMPs to encourage recharge within that district, not required for a single family home; runoff of pre-and post-development is essentially the same. Complied within the Water Resource Protection District. While water leaches back into the ground, it is also being slowly released downstream into the wetland and eventually into the stream. Planning Board hired Armory Engineers to review stormwater. If there was one house would

you need the basin? No. Culvert runs to the fish ladder; serious concern that this project will impact the herring run. Meeting all the applicable regs under bylaw and state regs. Not increasing runoff. Understand Todd's concerns re: fish ladder, changes in groundcover type, but the same amount of water will stay on site. Have to analyze existing conditions and proposed conditions, can't increase rate of runoff. Compliant with DEP guidelines, and if you comply, the presumption is the wetland is protected. Slow release is different than rains. 25% portion of site is being developed. Either recharging peak rates or storing them. Mr. Parys: Mitigation should be 2 to 1; new build in a sensitive area, Not in the buffer strip. 0' to 50' is the buffer strip. Allan Greenberg: local bylaw the buffer is a resource. The other thing is the conflict between the wetland lines; somehow it has to be resolved. Jim Duffy, 32 OOB. Happy to hear signage was added for subsequent owners. Downside, it seems like awful gymnastics to squeeze 2 houses on this property. Any possible negative impacts regarding water flows? According to calcs, no. Mr. Bjorklund: regarding other wetland line, believes they didn't come in with an ANRAD, wasn't a line we agreed to. No consultants hired to look at the other line. They could build 1 enormous dwelling, but 2 smaller roofs might be considered better for the environment. Mr. Snow: should look at the mitigation. Abutter did pay for the wetland line and we approved that plan. Should we close this tonight, or sort things out? Typically don't trespass on someone else's property. Don't know if they had an agreement. Thinks it needs to be resolved. Believe Paul Shea can resolve fairly quickly. Look at amount of mitigation. Not unreasonable to find out where line came from. Ms. Scott-Pipes: need to know square footage of mitigation offered. Not happy not knowing. Put in orders how much we want. Want a couple of things settled; want to feel comfortable; very uncomfortable now. Also clarify greenway on 3A; if detention can't be put there, the job is much different. Motion to continue the hearing to April 18, 2012 at 6:50 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Greenbaum. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Cilento, 260 Central Ave. (rebuild concrete wall/patio & slap/rip rap)

Bob Crawford, Bartley Cilento and Mike Farrar, general contractor were present at the hearing. Last meeting Mr. O'Connell saw some

concerns regarding the riprap. Wanted less intrusion to the beach. Revised detail. Revised depth of footings. Have copies of letter from abutters. Corner is tapered in. Met all his concerns. Mr. Greenbaum: top of concrete wall, optional to curve it out. What is the final design of concrete wall? Straight up and down, hard to curve part of it. Continuous wall is all straight. Comment from DEP that it is not compliment. They don't know the site. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Greenbaum. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Doherty, Lot 2 Edward Foster Road (new build)* Paul Mirabito, Ross Engineering was present at the hearing. Abutters notification was submitted. Single family home on lot created by an ANR. Wetland line established and reviewed by Paul Shea. Has been lawn since the late '30s; pre-dates the 1967 WPA, therefore allowed use under the regs, even if it was fill. Proposing to construct the dwelling between the 50' and 100' buffer. Proposing elevated deck off the first floor on the west and north side of house with a few sonotubes in the 50' buffer, having no impact on the resource area. Some grading at the left front corner and near the garage. Walkout basement for less site alteration; lawn area will stay. Talked about mitigation, putting bayberry along edge of lawn. Proposing series of infiltrators to collect roof runoff. House is moved forward as much as possible, about 21' back. Ms. Scott-Pipes: 4 sonotubes in the 50' buffer? Couldn't cantilever? Not really. Why aren't the plants on the line? Putting at edge of lawn area. Fishpond on plan with lawn around it. Mr. Greenbaum: Fill for driveway? Driveway is flat, 1% slope to street, outside 100' buffer. Is rate of flow increased? Don't have increase in flow if going to a tidal area. Mr. O'Connell: issue will be answered if bayberry survives at edge of lawn. No wetland vegetation on site, solely hydrology. Westport case follows this closely. Hydric soil would also require wetland vegetation. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Greenbaum. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Stanton, 0 Hatherly Road & 0 Mann Hill Road (wetland delineation)* Brook Monroe, Joseph Stanton, Bill & Joe Stanton, and Jeff Lowell were present at the hearing. Abutters notification was submitted. Need

consultant to evaluate. Mr. Greenbaum: There are different wetland lines. Did see some wetland flags at the top of the hill, don't know if it is an isolated wetland. Have had some delineations in the area. Should have a pretty good line from the sewer project. Motion to continue the hearing to May 9, 2012 at 6:40 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Greenbaum. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Brosnan Realty Group, 6 Gannett Pasture Lane (septic repair)*

Greg Morse from Morse Engineering was present at the hearing. Abutters notification was submitted. Septic repair project. Not located in a flood plain, no estimated habitat, and no vernal pools. Developed with 4 bedroom single-family home. BVW on-site with no disturbance of the 50' buffer. Out of the 50' and 100' buffer on Henry Turner Bailey Road. Plumbing on south side of house. Soil absorption outside 100'. Septic tank 60' from wetland. Lawn surfaces will be maintained. Taking down one dead tree. Has Board of Health approval. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Greenbaum. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Amendment to Order of Conditions: Grable, 43 Oceanside Drive (raze/rebuild smaller dwelling, with 1 driveway)*

Steve Grable and Dana Altobello from Merrill Associates were present at the hearing. Abutters notification submitted. 7,578 sq. ft lot. Existing dwelling dilapidated. FEMA elevation 17'. Original proposal 6.5 above existing grade, now 4.5'. Existing 2010 Order of Conditions. April 12, 2011 requested a pile foundation from DEP; nothing issued. Original foundation 2,340, proposing 1,643 sq. ft., 29% reduction. Originally approved two pervious driveways, one off 11th Ave. and 1 off Marion Road; proposing one crushed stone drive off Marion. Original 7.1' off property line, now 19'. Requested to amend Superceding Order. Ms. Scott-Pipes: putting in same foundation with breakaway panels? Yes, breakaway panels. Mr. Breitenstein: Corner at 11th Ave. saw a whole car sucked away. Mr. Greenbaum: much better plan than originally approved. Previous owner wanted to use underneath as a garage. Did have an on-site there with DEP and they held up our decision. There was an issue with neighbors. Mr. Grable spoke to the neighbors; they are fine with the new plans. Motion to close the hearing and approve

the amendment Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Parys. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Discussion: Scott, 274 Gannett Road (tight tank required by Board of Health)

Phil Spath and Peggy Fantozzi were present to discuss a tight tank required by Board of Health at the above address. Met with Paul Shea. Mr. O'Connell's understanding is that the BOH agent issued a requirement to replace a cesspool with a tight tank in the salt marsh. Ms. Sullivan is on vacation. Ms. Fantozzi: Unusual situation being forced to upgrade a septic system. Showed picture of house predates '70s locus map, right next to river. BOH essentially issued an Enforcement Order. Issued 5 such letters town-wide. Old cesspool with no information in their records and in a very sensitive resource allows them to do this. Cesspool is right next to the saltmarsh and up against the house. Phil Spath drew the plan, but in order to get tight tank DEP has to approve after BOH approves. Homeowner wants to correct right away. There are three options to be able to proceed quickly: consider it maintenance, Commission could issue an EO stating it is adversely impacting the salt marsh, or move forward as an emergency. Literally typed out an EO and Emergency Order. Ms. Scott-Pipes: hear everything you are saying, why aren't you filing a Notice of Intent? Letter from BOH March 13. Don't see where Jennifer said it had to be done tomorrow. Trying to find a solution. How is it more practicable. Can be started as soon as DEP approves. Can't be sure that state will approve. Fits the bill, but DEP does not easily give out permits for tight tank. Mr. Greenbaum: understand what's going on here. Other tight tanks in resource areas have been put on decks. Not positive without reviewing that we have the correct solution. Maybe it will float or sink. Mr. Parys: only in velocity zone would they be put up on decks. Ms. Fantozzi: Trying to find a practical solution. Phil did calcs, not going to float. Mr. Snow: the real question is BOH and approval from state, have not seen plan or letter from BOH. Fantozzi: In fairness, it is not the problem of the applicant, changing of agents, and person acting as an agent. Applicant has to go through undue process. Made out an EO and an emergency after talking with Paul. Don't think Paul had an opinion. Paul told you this had to come before the Commission. Worked for 2 weeks to meet with Paul and. Jim was on vacation. Best

route would be an EO, which gives the Commission a paper trail; it moves forward that way otherwise have to hold for two weeks. EO is not appealable. Trying to help the landowner. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Don't like anybody going around the process. Not getting a DEP number. Not getting paid because we think its right. Ms. Spath: 3 options - code allows it to be done under any one of the 3 situations. Mr. Parys has a little sympathy. Mr. Greenbaum: EO asks the person to file after-the-fact. Emergency Order asks for a full filing also. Don't see anything that gets around filing. Never allowed anyone to do work when jurisdictional. Argued there is no requirement to file with an EO or Emergency Order. Don't want to advise this gentlemen to do work and get an EO. Most commonly Commissions ask to file after-the-fact. Fairly unique situation. Won't have anything from the state. If don't hear from DEP, April 16 will be the approval date. Nothing can happen until April 16. Mr. Snow: Take under advisement. Have a little more discussion. Should remain consistent with other projects. Unique situation. If we file a NOI you won't be getting any more information.

Agents Report: 138 Edward Foster Road; 101 Ann Vinal Road (letters) Sent out another letter to Carey, 138 Edward Foster regarding altered coastal dune without approval. Sent a letter to 101 Ann Vinal Road – came in office today, wants to be on agenda for next hearing. Doesn't have funds to comply.

Being provided 700 to 800 linear feet of snow fence, by a prior applicant that didn't comply with orders and someone else will supply dune grass, could happen this Friday.

DPW has offered to buy doggy bags for the conservation areas, if volunteers will refill them. Find volunteers.

Mr. Snow: Plan to attend town meeting. Any support for any projects is appreciated.

6 Town Way – stonewall going between 2 pieces of property wants to extend along the road. Owner requesting clarification thinks Mr. O'Connell can authorize.

Order of Conditions: McClintock, 63 Glades Road (septic)
Motion to condition the project Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Parys.
Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Order of Conditions: Kelly, 56 Moorland Road (boathouse/patio/walls/
improve parking/landscaping)
Applicant's representative provided an amended plan. Hit all the points
requested. Add to conditions: Mitigation plantings proposed seaward of
the hay bale line shall be installed prior to construction of the project.
Motion to condition the project as amended Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second
Mr. Parys. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Minutes: February 22, 2012 and March 5, 2012
Motion to accept the minutes of February 22, 2012 Ms. Scott-Pipes.
Second Mr. Greenbaum. Motion passed by unanimous vote.
Motion to accept the minutes of March 5, 2012 Ms. Scott-Pipes.
Second Mr. Greenbaum. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Extension: Proctor, Lot 57 Crescent Ave.
Motion to extend the Order of Conditions for 3 years Ms. Scott-Pipes.
Second Mr. Greenbaum. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

North River Cleanup - Saturday, April 28 – using Driftway Park.

CORRESPONDENCE

March 20, 2011 – April 2, 2012

1. Public Notice U.S. Army Corps re: North River Marine, 12 CJCH
2. Planning Board Agenda for March 22, 2012
3. Recording of OofC for 68-2130 - Aaron River Reservoir (in file)
4. Planning Board re: Form A Application – 27 & 31 Lowell Street
5. Request to install a well at 104 Edward Foster Road for the purpose of irrigation
6. DEP re: extension permit 68-1988 – 126-132 CJCH – premature expires March 27, 2014 (in file)
7. Pictures of 68-2395 – 4 Garfield Street – haybales & DEP sign. (in file)
8. DEP File #68-2400 – Kelly, 56 Moorland Road (in file)
9. Request for irrigation well 68-2252 Digan, 104 Edward Foster Rd for

new landscaping to be planted soon. Paul said OK to drill hole 4" wide (in file)

10. Recording of OofC 68-2387 – McDermott, 60 Chittenden Road – Bk 41124 pg 324

11. Recording of OofC 68-2398 – Sheehy, Lot 4B Pheasant Hill Drive (73) – Bk 41125 pg 1 (in file)

12. Received check for extension of OofC for 68-2145 – Lot 57 Crescent Ave. (in file)

13. Superceding Order Request for DEP to review changes for Grable, 43 Oceanside Drive 68-2222 – smaller dwelling on full foundation, with one driveway off Marion Road (in file)

14. DEP On-Site – 0 Seamore Road – THURSDAY, APRIL 12, 2012 AT 3:00 P.M. (in file)

15. Stormwater Magazine

16. DEP file #68-2401 – Cilento, 260 Central Ave. (in file)

17. Revised plans for 68-2400 – 56 Moorland Road (in file)

18. Abutter letter 266 Central Ave. re: 260 Central Ave. agreement to use right side of property for access for repairs with stipulations – access between 3/29/12 through 5/15/12 and if needed 9/30/12 – 10/30/12 plus other stipulations (in file)

19. Town Council/Laurelwood Acceptance of Service for Conservation & Planning re: Coler & Colantonio, Inc. vs. Simeone Properties

20. Town Council/Laurelwood Notice of Appearance for Conservation & Planning re: Coler & Colantonio, Inc. vs. Simeone Properties

21. Recording of Order of Conditions for Lilly, 147A Border Street (in file)

22. Request to continue hearings for McSharry Brothers, Lot 1 & Lot 2 218 First Parish Road (in file)

23. Revised plan re: Wall & Patio Restoration Plan 260 Central Ave (in file)

24. 273 CJCH concern re: identification of wetland areas at 18 OOB & 277-283 CJCH believes proposed development needs to be redesigned to avoid wetland impacts. (in file)

25. DEP File #68-2402 for Doherty, Lot 2 Edward Foster Road (in file)

26. DEP File #68-2403 for Brosnan Realty Group, 6 Gannett Pasture Lane (in file)

27. DEP File #68-2404 for Stanton Family Nominee Trust, 0 Hatherly Road & 0 Mann Hill Road (in file)

28. NSRWA's 25th Annual River Clean Up Day – Saturday, April 28, 2012 10:00 a.m. – 12 noon
29. 2012 Wampanoag Canoe Passage Fundraiser – Saturday, June 9
30. Abutter letter 258 Central Ave. re: 260 Central Ave. permission to proceed as planned (in file)
31. Abutter letter 262 Central Ave. re: 260 Central Ave. permission to proceed – existing patio does extend beyond the property line and rip-rap blended into existing rip-rap (in file)
32. Abutter letter 264 Central Ave. re: 260 Central Ave. permission to proceed, but any damage no expense to 264 (in file)
33. Recording of Extension Permit for Woolf, 25 Julian Street (in file)

Meeting adjourned 10:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Carol Logue, Secretary